SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1. Cell lines evaluated in this study and relevant mutations.

| Cell line | Mutation |
| :--- | :--- |
| IMR-05 | neurofibromatosis type 1 |
| IMR-32 | N/A |
| NBL-S | anaplastic lymphoma kinase |
| NB-EBc1 | tumor protein p53 |
| CHP-134 | N/A |
| CHP-212 | anaplastic lymphoma kinase |
| SMS-SAN | tumor protein p53 |
| NB-69 | tumor protein p53 |
| KELLY | N/A |
| NGP | N/A |
| NB-1691 | N/A |
| SK-N-SH | N/A |
| SK-N-BE(2)-C | N/A |
| NLF | N/A |
| SK-N-DZ | N/A |
| SK-N-BE(2) | N/A |
| SK-N-AS | N/A |
| NB-SD | N/A |
| SK-N-FI | N/A |

*N/A=not applicable

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of radionuclide therapy in IMR-05 and NLF

|  | IMR-05 | NLF |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $\left[{ }^{[211} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{MM} 4$ | $620 \pm 30 \mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $20 \pm 4 \mathrm{nCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| $\left[{ }^{[25}\right] \mathrm{KX} 1$ | $12.5 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{nCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $980 \pm 60 \mathrm{nCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| $\left[{ }^{[11} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{NaAt}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |  |
| $\left[{ }^{[31}\right] \mathrm{KX} 1$ | $22.6 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{nCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $3.8 \pm 0.1 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |
| $\left[{ }^{[25}\right] \mathrm{MIBG}$ | $82.8 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{nCi} / \mathrm{mL}$ | $8.2 \pm 0.5 \mu \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ |

*Values are reported as mean $\pm$ SEM.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3: Parameters of IMR-05 and NLF in the linear-quadratic model

|  | IMR-05 |  | NLF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a | $\beta$ | a | $\beta$ |
| [ ${ }^{1251}$ ]KX1 | $3.8 \pm 0.2$ | N/A | $0.42 \pm 0.03$ | N/A |
| [ ${ }^{1311}$ ]KX1 | $2.00 \pm 0.03$ | N/A | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ | N/A |
| [ ${ }^{1251}$ ]MIBG | $0.65 \pm 0.09$ | N/A | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ | N/A |
| [ ${ }^{11} \mathrm{At}$ ]MM4 | $13.6 \pm 0.6$ | N/A | $1.3 \pm 0.2$ | N/A |
| $\left.{ }^{211} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{NaAt}{ }^{\text {x }}$ | $3.7 \pm 0.2$ | N/A | $0.86 \pm 0.08$ | N/A |
| External gamma | $0.81 \pm 0.06$ | $0.22 \pm 0.06$ | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ | N/A |

*Values are reported as mean $\pm$ SEM. N/A=not applicable (approximately zero)


SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 1. (A) Radioligand saturation binding study with [ $\left.{ }^{125}\right]$ KXX1 revealed higher $\mathrm{B}_{\text {max }}$ in IMR-05 ( $2.30 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{6}$ targets/cell) than NLF ( $1.41 \pm 0.07 \times 10^{6}$ targets/cell) but similar $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}(5.8 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{nM}$ in IMR-05; $5.1 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{nM}$ in NLF). (B) Comparison of target binding affinity between [ $\left.{ }^{125 I}\right] \mathrm{KX} 1$ and $\left[{ }^{[11} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{MM} 4$ under non-saturating conditions yielded similar $\mathrm{K}_{d}$ of [ $\left.{ }^{211} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{MM} 4$ in IMR-05 ( $4.3 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and NLF ( $4.5 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{nM}$ ). (C) Direct measurement of cellular [ ${ }^{125}$ ] MMIBG uptake at cytotoxic concentrations showed $3.29 \pm 0.07$ times greater uptake in NLF compared to IMR-05. (D) IMR-05 and NLF cell lines demonstrated exponential growth pattern with doubling times of $16.2 \pm 0.1$ hours and $25.5 \pm 0.5$ hours, respectively. (E) Bright field and fluorescence microscopy with DAPI staining allowed measurements of nuclear and cellular radii in IMR-05 (6 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and NLF ( $9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) cells.


SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 2. Three-dimensional structure of PARP1 (purple) bound to DNA (yellow). The distance from the PARP1 active site (green) to DNA was measured at $50.0 \AA$ (dotted line) (16-18).

SUPPLEMENTAL EQUATION 1. Calculation of the binding affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ of $\left[{ }^{221} \mathrm{At}\right] \mathrm{MM} 4$ under non-saturating conditions

When [Ligand] $\ll K_{d}$ (less than 1\%),

$$
(\text { Specific binding })=\frac{B_{\max }[\text { Ligand }]}{K_{d}+[\text { Ligand }]} \approx \frac{B_{\max }[\text { Ligand }]}{K_{d}}
$$

Then, solving for $\mathrm{B}_{\text {max }}$ yields

$$
B_{\max }=\frac{(\text { Specific binding })\left(K_{d}\right)}{[\text { Ligand }]}=\alpha \cdot K_{d}
$$

where $\alpha$ is the slope of the specific binding vs. [Ligand] plot.
Since $B_{\max }$ is shared between [ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{KX} 1$ and $\left[{ }^{211} \mathrm{At}\right] M M 4$,

$$
\alpha_{(M M 4)} \cdot K_{d(M M 4)}=\alpha_{(K X 1)} \cdot K_{d(K X 1)}
$$

Therefore, solving for $\mathrm{Kd}_{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{MM} 4)}$ yields

$$
K_{d(M M 4)}=\frac{\alpha_{(K X 1)} \cdot K_{d(K X 1)}}{\alpha_{(M M 4)}}
$$

SUPPLEMENTAL EQUATION 2. Calculation of cumulated activity
Let
$B=$ number of bound molecules per cell at equilibrium
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{s}}=$ specific activity ( $\mathrm{Bq} / \mathrm{moles}$ )
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Avogadro's number
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=$ physical half-life
$\mathrm{T}=$ duration of treatment
$\mathrm{t}=$ time
Then, the activity of bound molecules in the cell can be represented as a function of time:

$$
A(t)=\frac{B}{N_{A}} \cdot A_{s} \cdot e^{-\lambda t}
$$

where $\lambda=\ln (2) / t_{1 / 2}$.
Then, the cumulated activity Ã can be obtained by integrating $A(t)$ over the duration of treatment:

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{A}}=\int_{0}^{T} A(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} \frac{B}{N_{A}} \cdot A_{s} \cdot e^{-\lambda t} d t=\frac{B}{N_{A}} \cdot A_{s} \cdot\left(\frac{1-e^{-\lambda T}}{\lambda}\right)
$$

