

**Supplemental Table 1.** Characteristics of DAT studies. Values are n or mean (SD/range) unless specified otherwise.

Study	Site	Groups	n (m/f)	Age (yrs)	Disease duration (yrs)	Motor UPDRS	Hoehn & Yahr	Injected dose (MBq)	Scan dur (min)	Tracer/ Scanner	Analysis method
Messa et al 1998(31)	MIL	PD	13 (8/5)	59 (13)	2.2 (1.4)	-	2.2 (0.2)	130	30	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	(str-occ)/occ
		PSP	5 (4/1)	66 (8)	3.8 (1.3)	-	3 (0)			Ceraspet	
Kim et al 2000(32)	SEO	MSA-P	7 (4/3)	55 (9)	-	-	-	185-370	30	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	str/occ
		MSA-C	9 (5/4)	53 (7)	2.4 (1.5)	-	-			Triad XLT	
Parkinson Study Group 2000(33)	MUL	PD	43 (30/13)	68 (8)	-	-	-	185	30	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	(str-occ)/occ
		PSP	17 (10/7)	72 (6)	-	-	-			5 different scanners	
Pirker et al 2000(12)	VIE	PD	48 (27/21)	68 (10)	8.6 (5.2)	35 (13)	3.5 (0.5)	89-197	40	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	(str-cer)/cer
		MSA	18 (7/11)	63 (11)	3.6 (2.2)	37 (10)	3.9 (0.5)			Siemens Multispect 3	
		PSP	8 (6/2)	65 (6)	3.3 (2.1)	32 (11)	4.3 (0.5)				
		CBS	4 (1/3)	68 (9)	2.3 (1.0)	42 (9)	3.8 (0.5)				
Varrone et al 2001(34)	NEW	PD	157 (102/55)	61 (34-84)	4.0 (0.3-23)	18 (6-40)	1.7 (1-4)	217	-	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	(str-occ)/occ
		MSA	26 (19/7)	66 (48-81)	4.0 (0.2-10)	31 (10-73)	2.8 (1-5)			Pickler PRISM 3000 XP	
Kim et al 2002(35)	TOR	PD	12 (6/6)	62 (10)	3.5 (3.1)	18 (7)	1.9 (0.6)	-	-	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	B <sub>max</sub> /K <sub>d</sub> V <sub>2</sub> (fcx as reference)
		MSA	7 (5/2)	62 (14)	3.4 (1.4)	50 (17)	4.0 (0)			Pickler PRISM 3000 XP	
		PSP	6 (5/1)	63 (7)	3.6 (0.8)	27 (11)	3.3 (0.8)				
Berding et al 2003(13)	HAN	PD	14 (7/7)	57 (9)	13 (5)	-	3.9 (0.7)	-	120	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT	(str-ref)/ref (ref = occ and cer)
		MSA	10 (2/8)	63 (7)	2.5 (1.7)	32 (12)	3.2 (1.1)			Siemens Multispect 3	

Antonini et al 2003(36)	MIL	PD	70 (-)	62 (13)	5.0 (4.0)	-	-	110-140	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT Prism 3000	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA-P	10 (-)	60 (8)	4.0 (2.0)	-	-				
		PSP	10 (-)	64 (8)	4.0 (3.0)	-	-				
Lai et al 2004(37)	TAO	PD	10 (3/7)	60 (16)	1.9 (0.7)	22 (10)	1.8 (0.6)	925	-	<sup>99m</sup> Tc-TRODAT-1 Siemens Multispect 3	(str- occ)/occ
		CBS	5 (4/1)	59 (16)	1.6 (0.9)	30 (7)	1.9 (1.2)				
Lu et al 2004 (38)	TAI	PD	36 (20/16)	63 (7)	4.8 (3.5)	30 (14)	2.3 (0.9)	925	-	<sup>99m</sup> Tc-TRODAT-1 Siemens Multispect 3	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA-P	30 (12/18)	62 (8)	4.5 (3.3)	43 (15)	3.5 (1.3)				
		MSA-C	19 (9/10)	64 (7)	4.0 (2.5)	30 (13)	3.7 (1.2)				
Plotkin et al 2005(14)	BER	PD	25 (18/7)	60 (13)	4.0 (4.1)	-	1.8 (0.8)	200	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT Siemens Multispect 3	str/fcx
		MSA	13 (6/7)	64 (8)	4.0 (2.3)	-	-				
		PSP	8 (6/2)	67 (7)	3.0 (1.9)	-	-				
		CBS	9 (4/5)	63 (11)	3.0 (1.6)	-	-				
Scherfler et al 2005(39)	INN	PD	15 (10/5)	61 (7)	1.7 (0.8)	22 (7)	1.9 (0.9)	148-185	43	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT ADAC Vertex-Plus	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA	15 (8/7)	62 (9)	2.0 (0.8)	39 (11)	2.6 (0.7)				
Swanson et al 2005(40)	PHI	PD	130 (87/43)	63 (10)	6.4 (5.4)	-	-	740	-	<sup>99m</sup> Tc-TRODAT-1 Picker PRISM 3000 XP	(str- ref)/ref <sup>B</sup>
		MSA-P	25 (17/8)	66 (9)	4.9 (3.6)	-	-				
Im et al 2006(26)	SEO	PD	20 (10/10)	62 (7)	2.8 (1.7)	-	2 (0)	251	30	<sup>123</sup> I-IPT Triad XLT 24	(str- occ)/occ
		PSP	9 (6/3)	56 (11)	1.8 (0.8)	-	-				
Filippi et al 2006(41)	ROM	PD	21 (12/9)	64 (8)	2.7 (1.9)	-	-	185	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT Millenium VG	(str- occ)/occ
		PSP	15 (9/6)	64 (6)	2.7 (1.2)	-	-				
	INN	PD	17 (10/7)	62 (7)	2.0 (1.1)	22 (7)	-	148-185	-		

Seppi et al 2006(42)		MSA	15 (8/7)	62 (9)	2.0 (0.8)	39 (11)	-			<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT ADAC Vertex-plus	(str- occ)/occ
		PSP	14 (6/8)	67 (-) <sup>A</sup>	2.2 (0.7)	36 (7)	-				
Roselli et al 2010(43)	BAR	PD	15 (9/4)	78 (6)	3.5 (2.5)	25 (8)	2.5 (-)	111	22	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT GE Infinia	(str- occ)/occ
		PSP	10 (5/5)	66 (8)	1.5 (1.2)	25 (19)	1.8 (-)				
Lin et al 2010(15)	TAO	PD	10 (7/3)	61 (7)	4.6 (2.3)	25 (9)	2.1 (0.5)	925	40	<sup>99m</sup> Tc-TRODAT-1 Siemens E.CAM	(str- occ)/occ
		PSP	6 (2/4)	64 (3)	5.2 (1.9)	47 (13)	3.7 (1.0)				
Goebel et al 2011(44)	INN	PD	15 (10/5)	61 (7)	1.7 (0.8)	22 (7)	-	148-185	43	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT ADAC Vertex-plus	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA	15 (8/7)	62 (9)	2.0 (0.8)	39 (11)	-				
		PSP	15 (7/8)	66 (7)	2.0 (0.9)	35 (7)	-				
Cilia et al 2011(45)	MIL	PD	37 (18/19)	70 (5)	4.4 (2.9)	22 (8)	1.9 (0.7)	110-185	30-45	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT Prism 3000	(str- occ)/occ
		CBS	36 (16/20)	71 (7)	3.9 (1.6)	39 (13)	3.1 (0.8)				
Oh et al 2012(25)	SEO	PD	49 (21/28)	62 (11)	5.1 (6.0)	20 (13)	2.0 (1.0)	185	10	<sup>18</sup> F-FP-CIT Biograph 40	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA	24 (8/16)	62 (11)	3.0 (1.7)	35 (17)	4.0 (1.2)				
		PSP	19 (9/10)	68 (8)	3.9 (2.1)	26 (13)	3.4 (1.3)				
Nocker et al 2012(46)	INN	PD	11 (7/4)	61(6)	2.4 (1.2)	19 (8)	1.9 (0.5)	148-185	43	<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT ADAC Vertex-plus	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA	8 (4/4)	60 (8)	2.4 (1.0)	40 (5)	3.0 (0)				
Jacobson Mo et al 2013(47)	UME	PD	29 (18/11)	74 (4)	1.5 (0.8)	27 (11)	2.0 (0.6)	185	60	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT GE Infinia	str/occ
		MSA	7 (-)	71 (14)	1.3 (0.9)	22 (11)	2.8 (1.0)				
		PSP	13 (-)	76 (9)	1.9 (1.5)	34 (15)	2.9 (1.0)				
Hammesfahr et al 2016(48)	DÜS	PD	18 (6/12)	65 (7)	1.9 (0.9)	18 (11)	-	184	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT Prism 2000	str/occ
		CBS	19 (6/13)	67 (8)	2.0 (0.9)	28 (15)	-				
Kim et al 2016(49)	DAE	MSA-P	13 (-)	-	-	-	-	185	10	<sup>18</sup> F-FP-CIT Biograph 40	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA-C	21 (-)	-	-	-	-				
	AMS	PD	30 (16/14)	66 (8)	3.6 (3.0)	27 (12)	-	185	30	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT	

Joling et al 2017(50)		MSA-P	9 (2/7)	61 (10)	3.2 (2.6)	41 (23)	-			E.Cam, Siemens	(str- cer)/cer
		MSA-C	7 (3/4)	68 (11)	3.6 (1.4)	37 (8)	-				
		PSP	13 (7/6)	70 (6)	5.7 (4.7)	33 (12)	-				
Ohta et al 2017(51)	OKA	PD	21 (8/13)	70 (11)	6.3 (5.8)	37 (12)	-	-	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT	-
		PSP	13 (8/5)	70 (6)	4.5 (3.3)	37 (8)	-			-	
Saari et al 2017(52)	TUR	PD	11 (10/1)	69 (7)	1.5 (1.5)	-	-	185	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT	(str- occ)/occ
		MSA	5 (2/3)	53 (7)	1.3 (0.7)	-	-			<sup>123</sup> I-β-CIT Picker, ADAC Vertex, GE Infinia	
Nicastro et al 2018(53)	GEN	MSA-P	28 (13/15)	70 (10)	2.6 (2.4)	36 (11)	3.0 (0.7)	185	-	<sup>123</sup> I-FP-CIT	-
		MSA-C	6 (4/2)	62 (8)	1.6 (1.1)	20 (8)	2.8 (0.8)			GCA-9300A/UI Toshiba	

MIL = Milan, Italy; SEO = Seoul, Korea; MUL = Multisite; VIE = Vienna, Austria; NEW = New Haven, CT, USA; TOR = Toronto, Canada; HAN = Hannover, Germany; TAO = Taoyuan, Taiwan; TAI = Taipei, Taiwan; BER = Berlin, Germany; INN = Innsbruck, Austria; PHI = Philadelphia, PA, USA; ROM = Rome, Italy; BAR = Bari, Italy; UME = Umeå, Sweden; DÜS = Düsseldorf, Germany; DAE = Daegu, Korea; AMS = Amsterdam, The Netherlands; OKA = Okayama, Japan; TUR = Turku, Finland; GEN = Geneva, Switzerland

<sup>A</sup> Extremely large SD for the age of PSP patients, an apparent typographical error

<sup>B</sup> Reference region = supratentorial structures above the basal ganglia

**Supplemental Table 2.** Characteristics of AADC studies. All included studies performed with 6-<sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-L-dopa as the tracer. Values are n or mean (SD/range) unless specified otherwise. None of the studies reported motor UPDRS values.

Study	Site	Groups	n (m/f)	Age (yrs)	Disease duration (yrs)	Hoehn & Yahr	Injected dose (MBq)	Scan duration (min)	Scanner	Analysis method
Brooks et al 1990a(54)	LON	PD	8 (7/1)	64 (6)	10.6 (8.7)	3.0 (0.8)	111-185	90	CTI 931/08/012	Ki <sup>occ</sup>
		MSA	10 (6/4)	59 (9)	4.4 (3.2)	3.6 (1.0)				
Brooks et al 1990b(55)	LON	PD	16 (11/5)	56 (11)	9.2 (5.1)	2.7 (1-4)	74-185	90	CTI 981/08/012	Ki <sup>occ</sup>
		MSA	18 (13/5)	56 (10)	4.1 (3)	3.2 (1-5)				
		PSP	10 (10/0)	68 (4)	3.5 (2.2)	3.3 (2-5)				
Burn et al 1994(56)	LON	PD	28 (-/-)	61 (38-77)	7.2 (0.5-20)	- (1-4)	111-185	94	CTI 931/08/012	Ki <sup>occ</sup>
		MSA	25 (-/-)	58 (40-73)	4.4 (1-10)	- (2-5)				
		PSP	10 (-/-)	68 (62-75)	3.5 (0.5-8)	- (3-4)				
Otsuka et al 1995(57)	FUK	PD	4 (-/-)	-	-	-	110-240	127	Headtome III	ROI/cer
		PSP	3 (0/3)	56 (6)	1.7 (0.6)	-				
		CBS	2 (1/1)	65 (5)	4.0 (0)	-				
Otsuka et al 1997(11)	FUK	PD	15 (8/7)	49 (10)	7.0 (7.1)	1.9 (0.9)	110-240	127	Headtome III	ROI/occ
		MSA	9 (4/5)	52 (14)	6.4 (5.5)	2.4 (0.5)				
Antonini et al 1997(58)	VIL	PD	10 (7/3)	63 (5)	10 (5)	3.7 (0.6)	90-160	124	CTI 933/04-16	Ki <sup>occ</sup>
		MSA	9 (5/4)	57 (7)	5 (2)	3.9 (0.9)				

LON = London, UK; FUK = Fukuoka, Japan; VIL = Villigen, Switzerland, Disdur = disease duration, ROI = region of interest, occ = occipital cortex, cer = cerebellum

**Supplemental Table 3.** MSA subgroups in included studies. Values are n.

Study	MSA total	Subgroups <sup>A</sup>			Comment
		MSA-P/SND	MSA-C/OPCA	SDS	
Brooks et al. 1990a	10	-	-	-	-
Brooks et al. 1990b	18	-	-	-	-
Burn et al 1994	25	-	-	-	-
Otsuka et al 1997	9	4	5	-	No separate mean values reported for subgroups
Antonini et al 1997	9	-	-	-	-
Kim et al. 2000	16	7	9	-	Separate mean values reported for MSA-P and MSA-C
Pirker et al. 2000	19	15	3	-	No separate mean values reported for subgroups
Varrone et al. 2001	26	14	-	12	Separate mean values reported for SND and SDS
Kim et al. 2002	7	7	-	-	-

Berding et al 2003	10	7	3	-	No separate mean values reported for subgroups
Antonini et al. 2003	10	10	-	-	-
Lu et al 2004	49	30	19	-	Separate mean values reported for MSA-P and MSA-C
Plotkin et al 2005	13	8	5	-	No separate mean values reported for subgroups
Swanson et al. 2005	25	25			
Scherfler et al 2005	15	15	-	-	-
Seppi et al 2006	15	15	-	-	-
Goebel et al 2011	15	15	-	-	-
Oh et al 2012	24	24	-	-	-
Nocker et al 2012	8	8	-	-	-
Jacobson Mo et al 2013	7	-	-	-	-
Kim et al. 2016	34	13	21	-	Separate mean values reported for MSA-P and MSA-C
Joling et al 2017	16	9	7	-	Separate mean values reported for MSA-P and MSA-C
Saari et al 2017	5	-	-	-	-
Nicastro et al 2018	34	28	6	-	Separate mean values reported for MSA-P and MSA-C

MSA = multiple system atrophy, MSA-P = parkinsonism variant multiple system atrophy, SND = striatonigral degeneration, MSA-C = cerebellar variant multiple system atrophy, OPCA = olivopontocerebellar atrophy, SDS = Shy-Drager syndrome

<sup>A</sup>Studies that did not report subgroups were included in the MSA-P group for the analysis (4 AADC studies and 2 DAT studies).

**Supplemental Table 4.** Quality of the included studies (Newcastle-Ottawa Scale).

Study	Case definition	Age-/sex-differences between groups	PET/SPECT imaging methodology & resolution	Disease duration	UPDRS/UMSARS/HY-scale	Analysis method	Total Score
-------	-----------------	-------------------------------------	--	------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	-------------

Brooks et al 1990a	*	*	-	*	*	*	5
Brooks et al 1990b	*	-	-	*	*	*	4
Burn et al 1994	*	-	-	*	-	*	3
Otsuka et al 1995	*	*	-	-	-	*	3
Otsuka et al 1997	*	*	-	*	*	*	5
Antonini et al 1997	*	*	-	*	*	*	5
Messa et al 1998	*	*	-	*	*	*	5
Kim et al 2000	*	*	-	-	-	*	3
PSG 2000	*	*	-	-	-	*	3
Pirker et al 2000	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Varrone et al 2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Kim et al 2002	*	*	*	*	*	-	5
Berding et al 2003	*	-	*	*	*	*	5
Antonini et al 2003	*	-	*	*	-	*	4
Lu et al 2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Lai et al 2004	*	-	*	*	*	*	5
Plotkin et al 2005	*	*	*	*	-	-	4
Swanson et al 2005	*	*	*	*	-	-	4
Scherfler et al 2005	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Im et al 2006	*	*	*	*	-	*	5
Filippi et al 2006	*	*	*	*	-	*	5
Seppi et al 2006	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Roselli et al 2010	*	-	*	*	*	*	5
Lin et al 2010	*	*	*	*	*	*	6



Goebel et al 2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Cilia et al 2011	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Oh et al 2012	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Nocker et al 2012	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Jakobson et al 2013	*	-	*	*	*	*	5
Kim et al 2016	*	-	*	-	-	*	3
Hammesfahr et al 2016	*	*	*	*	*	*	6
Joling et al 2017	*	-	*	*	*	*	5
Ohta et al 2017	*	*	*	*	*	-	5
Saari et al 2017	*	-	*	*	-	*	4
Nicastro et al 2018	*	*	*	*	*	-	5

**Supplemental Table 5. Clinical diagnostic criteria for PD, MSA, PSP and CBS in the included studies.**

Study	Diagnostic criteria
Messa et al 1998	PD: Calne et al. Ann Neurol 1992;32:S125-S127, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Kim et al 2000	MSA-C: Criteria modified from Yamaguchi et al. J Neurol Sci 1994;125:56-61, MSA-P: Quinn, J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1989;special suppl:8-89
Parkinson Study Group 2000	<p>PD:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. At least two of the following: resting tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, postural reflex impairment, and freezing phenomenon</li> <li>2. Hoehn and Yahr stage of 1.0 to 3.028</li> <li>3. Has a known positive response to antiparkinsonian medications</li> <li>4. No other known or suspected cause of parkinsonism</li> </ol> <p>PSP:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. At least two of the following: axial rigidity, bradykinesia, postural reflex impairment, speech impairment</li> <li>2. Ophthalmoparesis including restriction of downgaze</li> <li>3. No significant response to antiparkinsonian medication</li> <li>4. Ability to ambulate without assistance</li> <li>5. No other known or suspected cause of parkinsonism</li> </ol>

Pirker et al 2000	MSA: Quinn, Movement Disorders 3 1994;262-281, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), CBD: Litvan et al. Neurology 1997;48:119-125
Varrone et al 2001	PD: Age older than 35 years, and at least two of the following: bradykinesia, resting tremor, rigidity, postural instability, or freezing phenomena (one of which is rest tremor or bradykinesia). MSA: A known negative, unsustained, or inadequate response to L-dopa, with at least two of the following: resting tremor, bradykinesia, postural reflex impairment, or freezing phenomenon; and with a concurrent presence of cerebellar dysfunction, symptomatic autonomic failure, or pyramidal signs.
Kim et al 2002	PD: Hughes et al J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, MSA: Quinn, Movement Disorders 3 1994;262-281, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Berding et al 2003	Not reported.
Antonini et al 2003	Not reported.
Lai et al 2004	CBD: Lang et al. In: Calne DB (editor). Neurodegenerative Disease. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders 1994;877-894
Lu et al 2004	PD: Calne et al. Ann Neurol 1992;32:S125-S127, MSA: Gilman et al. J Neurol Sci 1999;163:94-98
Plotkin et al 2005	PD: Hughes et al J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, MSA: Gilman et al. J Neurol Sci 1999;163:94-98, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)

Scherfler et al 2005	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, MSA: Gilman et al. Clin Auton Res 1998;8:359-362
Swanson et al 2005	PD: Ward & Gibbs. In: Streifler et al (eds). Advances in neurology: anatomy, pathology and therapy. New York: Raven, 1990, MSA: Gilman et al. J Neurol Sci 1999;163:94-98
Im et al 2006	PD: CAPIT Committee, Mov Disord 1992;7:2-13, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Filippi et al 2006	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Seppi et al 2006	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), MSA: Gilman et al. Clin Auton Res 1998;8:359-362
Roselli et al 2010	PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Lin et al 2010	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Goebel et al 2011	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), MSA: Gilman et al. Clin Auton Res 1998;8:359-362
Cilia et al 2011	CBS: Mahapatra et al. Lancet Neurol 2004;3:736-743
Oh et al 2012	

	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), MSA: Gilman et al. Neurology 2008;71:670-676
Nocker et al 2012	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, MSA: Gilman et al. Neurology 2008;71:670-676
Jacobson Mo et al 2013	MSA: Gilman et al. J Neurol Sci 1999;163:94-98, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), clinically uncertain parkinsonian syndromes (CUPS) at the time of imaging
Hammesfahr et al 2016	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, CBS: Mathew et al J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2012;83:405-410 and Armstrong et al. Neurology 2013;80:496-503
Kim et al 2016	MSA: Gilman et al. Neurology 2008;71:670-676
Joling et al 2017	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9), MSA: Gilman et al. Neurology 2008;71:670-676
Ohta et al 2017	PSP: NINDS-SPSP International workshop criteria (Litvan et al. Neurology 1996;47:1-9)
Saari et al 2017	Neuropathological diagnoses
Nicastro et al 2018	MSA: Gilman et al. Neurology 2008;71:670-676
Brooks et al 1990a	Individual clinical details reported
Brooks et al 1990b	Individual clinical details reported

Burn et al 1994	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184
Otsuka et al 1995	Individual clinical details reported
Otsuka et al 1997	MSA-P: Fearnley & Lees, Brain 1990;113:1823-1842, individual clinical details reported
Antonini et al 1997	PD: Hughes et al. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1992;55:181-184, individual clinical details reported.

**Supplemental Table 6.** Summary of DAT results (hemispheric values and ratios).  $g$  = Hedges'  $g$ , CI = 95% confidence interval for  $g$ ,  $n$  = number of studies/number of patients,  $I^2$  = heterogeneity index. AI = asymmetry index. There were no available studies that have compared MSA-C patients to PSP or CBS patients. Data were insufficient also for MSA-P vs. CBS comparison. Statistically significant comparisons are highlighted with bold text.

	PD vs MSA-P	PD vs PSP	PD vs MSA-C	PD vs CBS	MSA-P vs PSP	MSA-P vs MSA-C	PSP vs CBS
<b>Caudate Contralateral</b>	$g=-0.61$ CI=-2.35 to 1.13 $n=3/268, I^2=74.9\%$	<b><math>g=-1.05</math></b> <b>CI=-2.09 to -0.01</b> <b><math>n=6/181, I^2=78.5\%</math></b>	Insufficient data $n=1/55$	$g=-0.36$ CI=-1.63 to 0.91 $n=3/122, I^2=49.4\%$	Insufficient data $n=1/13$	Insufficient data $n=1/49$	Insufficient data $n=1/17$
<b>Caudate Ipsilateral</b>	$g=-0.76$ CI=-2.52 to 1.01 $n=3/268, I^2=77.6\%$	<b><math>g=-1.35</math></b> <b>CI=-2.45 to -0.25</b> <b><math>n=6/181, I^2=79.0\%</math></b>	Insufficient data $n=1/55$	$g=-0.24$ CI=-0.85 to 0.37 $n=3/122, I^2=0.0\%$	Insufficient data $n=1/13$	Insufficient data $n=1/49$	Insufficient data $n=1/17$
<b>Putamen Anterior</b>	$g=-0.40$ CI=-3.11 to 2.32 $n=3/247, I^2=90.2\%$	<b><math>g=-0.66</math></b> <b>CI=-1.25 to -0.07</b> <b><math>n=4/133, I^2=0.0\%</math></b>	Insufficient data $n=0$	Insufficient data $n=0$	$g=-0.05$ CI=-8.74 to 8.65 $n=2/56, I^2=79.8\%$	Insufficient data $n=1/34$	Insufficient data $n=0$
<b>Putamen Posterior</b>	$g=-0.12$ CI=-1.57 to 1.34 $n=4/266, I^2=85.4\%$	$g=-0.23$ CI=-1.30 to 0.83 $n=4/133, I^2=63.6\%$	Insufficient data $n=0$	Insufficient data $n=0$	$g=0.19$ CI=-11.29 to 11.66 $n=2/56, I^2=87.4\%$	Insufficient data $n=1/34$	Insufficient data $n=0$
<b>Putamen Contralateral</b>	$g=-0.10$ CI=-4.71 to 4.50 $n=2/249, I^2=80.0\%$	$g=-0.63$ CI=-2.04 to 0.77 $n=4/145, I^2=82.3\%$	Insufficient data $n=1/55$	$g=0.48$ CI=-0.48 to 1.44 $n=3/122, I^2=24.8\%$	Insufficient data $n=0$	Insufficient data $n=1/49$	Insufficient data $n=1/17$
<b>Putamen Ipsilateral</b>	$g=-0.58$ CI=-3.20 to 2.05	$g=-1.40$ CI=-3.63 to 0.82	Insufficient data $n=1/55$	$g=0.57$ CI=-0.53 to 1.67	Insufficient data $n=0$	Insufficient data $n=1/49$	Insufficient data $n=1/17$

	n=2/249, $I^2=38.0\%$	n=4/145, $I^2=89.9\%$		n=3/122, $I^2=41.1\%$			
<b>Putamen AI</b>	$g=0.02$ CI=-0.89 to 0.93 n=4/264, $I^2=74.9\%$	Insufficient data n=1/31	Insufficient data n=0	Insufficient data n=1/73	Insufficient data n=1/29	Insufficient data n=0	Insufficient data n=0
<b>Putamen / Caudate ratio</b>	$g=0.36$ CI=-4.70 to 5.42 n=2/263, $I^2=75.0\%$	$g=1.08$ CI=-0.85 to 3.01 n=4/185, $I^2=88.8\%$	Insufficient data n=0	$g=1.08$ CI=-0.88 to 3.03 n=3/101, $I^2=62.0\%$	Insufficient data n=1/20	Insufficient data n=0	Insufficient data n=1/17

**Supplemental Table 7.** Summary of AADC results.  $g$  = Hedges'  $g$ , CI = 95% confidence interval for  $g$ ,  $n$  = number of studies/number of patients. All other AADC comparisons had insufficient data.

	<b>PD vs MSA-P</b>	<b>PD vs PSP</b>	<b>MSA-P vs PSP</b>
Caudate	$g=-0.54$ CI=-1.23 to 0.14 n=5/148	$g=-1.50$ CI=-5.79 to 2.79 n=2/64	$g=-0.91$ CI=-2.77 to 0.96 n=2/63
Putamen	$g=-0.01$ CI=-0.62 to 0.60 n=5/148	$g=-0.41$ CI=-4.10 to 3.28 n=2/64	$g=-0.07$ CI=-1.83 to 1.69 n=2/63



**Supplemental Table 8.** Associations of moderators with Hedges'  $g$  (the difference between PD and MSA-P/PSP) in meta-regression analyses. The only significant association in meta-regressions was detected using HY stage as the moderator in PD vs. MSA-P caudate comparison (highlighted).

Comparison	Region	Moderator	$\beta$ (95% CI)	n (studies)
PD vs MSA-P	Caudate	Disease duration	0.12 (0.0 to 0.24)	12
		<b>HY stage</b>	<b>0.74 (0.19 to 1.29)</b>	<b>7</b>
		Motor UPDRS	0.03 (-0.01 to 0.07)	9
	Putamen	Disease duration	-0.35 (-1.75 to 1.06)	10
		HY stage	0.90 (-1.95 to 3.76)	5
		Motor UPDRS	0.027 (-0.03 to 0.08)	8
PD vs PSP	Caudate	Disease duration	0.23 (-0.28 to 0.73)	10
		HY stage	0.96 (-4.0 to 5.9)	4
		Motor UPDRS	0.089 (-0.02 to 0.19)	6
	Putamen	Disease duration	0.060 (-0.84 to 0.96)	7
		HY stage	1.58 (-10.1 to 13.2)	2
		Motor UPDRS	0.059 (-0.03 to 0.14)	5