Supplemental Table 1. DWI acquisition parameters.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Time to repetition (TR) | 5300 ms |
| Time to echo (TE) | 81 ms |
| Averages | 3 |
| Field of view | $330 \mathrm{~mm} \times 248 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Voxel dimensions (in-plane) | $2.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Slice thickness | 5 mm |
| B-values | $50,500,1000 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |
| Diffusion directions | 3 |
| Fat suppression | SPAIR |
| Parallel acquisition technique | GRAPPA |
| Acceleration factor | 2 |

Abbreviations: GRAPPA - generalized autocalibrating partial parallel acquisition; SPAIR - spectral attenuated inversion recovery

Supplemental Table 2. PET reconstruction parameters.

|  | OSEM |  | PSF |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | $\mathrm{PET} / \mathrm{CT}$ | $\mathrm{PET} / \mathrm{MRI}$ | $\mathrm{PET} / \mathrm{CT}$ | $\mathrm{PET} / \mathrm{MRI}$ |
| Dimensionality | 2 D | 3 D | 3 D | 3 D |
| Iterations | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Subsets | 8 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Matrix | $168 \times 168$ | $172 \times 172$ | $168 \times 168$ | $172 \times 172$ |
| Zoom | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Filter | 5 mm Gaussian | 7 mm Gaussian | 2 mm Gaussian | 3 mm Gaussian |

Note: For the OSEM reconstructions, parameters were selected to achieve identical recovery coefficients for PET/CT and PET/MRI. Similarly, for the PSF reconstructions, manufacturerprovided resolution recovery parameters were first applied, and then the filters were adjusted to achieve identical recovery coefficients for PET/CT and PET/MRI. Time-of-flight imaging was not available for either scanner used in this study.
Abbreviations: OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point-spread function

Supplemental Table 3. Mean values of SUV max and SULpeak from OSEM and PSF reconstructions for PET/CT and PET/MRI.

|  |  | 1 min |  |  | 3 min |  |  | 5 min |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reconstruction | Metric | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ |
| OSEM | SUV max | $18.7 \pm 5.6$ | $16.3 \pm 5.5$ | 0.006* | $18.3 \pm 5.4$ | $15.7 \pm 5.3$ | 0.006* | $18.2 \pm 5.6$ | $16.4 \pm 5.8$ | 0.02 |
| PSF | SUV ${ }_{\text {max }}$ | $24.0 \pm 8.0$ | $23.6 \pm 6.5$ | 0.83 | $23.2 \pm 7.6$ | $22.8 \pm 6.4$ | 0.472 | $22.7 \pm 7.7$ | $22.0 \pm 6.2$ | 0.12 |
| OSEM | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ | $9.3 \pm 2.5$ | $8.2 \pm 2.3$ | 0.001* | $9.3 \pm 2.5$ | $8.1 \pm 2.2$ | 0.001* | $9.2 \pm 2.5$ | $8.4 \pm 2.4$ | 0.001* |
| PSF | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ | $10.5 \pm 2.8$ | $9.3 \pm 2.2$ | 0.001* | $10.3 \pm 2.6$ | $9.8 \pm 2.5$ | 0.036 | $10.3 \pm 2.7$ | $9.5 \pm 2.4$ | 0.003* |

Note: All data are based on whole tumor contours. Numbers provided are means $\pm$ standard deviations across all patients and reflect values obtained for both imaging sessions averaged on a per-patient basis. The $p$ values are based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Abbreviations: OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point spread function; SUL - lean body mass-adjusted standardized uptake value

* Significant $p$ value, based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Supplemental Table 4. Mean values of exploratory ${ }^{18}$ F-FDG-PET metrics from OSEM and PSF reconstructions for PET/CT and PET/MRI.

|  |  |  | 1 min |  |  | 3 min |  |  | 5 min |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reconstruction | Contour | Metric | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ | PET/CT | PET/MRI | $p$ |
| OSEM | WT | SUV mean | $7.8 \pm 2.4$ | $7.3 \pm 2.2$ | 0.05 | $7.9 \pm 2.3$ | $7.1 \pm 2.1$ | 0.03 | $7.9 \pm 2.3$ | $7.5 \pm 2.3$ | 0.08 |
| PSF | WT | SUV mean | $8.0 \pm 2.3$ | $7.3 \pm 1.8$ | 0.16 | $8.1 \pm 2.3$ | $8.0 \pm 2.3$ | 0.64 | $8.0 \pm 2.3$ | $7.8 \pm 2.2$ | 0.25 |
| OSEM | WT | SUV ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ | $14.8 \pm 4.7$ | $13.1 \pm 4.2$ | 0.001* | $14.8 \pm 4.7$ | $12.9 \pm 4.1$ | 0.001* | $14.8 \pm 4.7$ | $13.5 \pm 4.4$ | 0.001* |
| PSF | WT | SUV ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ | $16.4 \pm 5.0$ | $14.9 \pm 4.2$ | 0.01 | $16.1 \pm 4.3$ | $15.6 \pm 4.8$ | 0.16 | $16.4 \pm 5.2$ | $15.3 \pm 4.6$ | 0.004* |
| OSEM | WT | SUV ${ }_{\text {TLG }}$ | $611 \pm 769$ | $575 \pm 778$ | 0.25 | $616 \pm 771$ | $558 \pm 730$ | 0.03 | $615 \pm 770$ | $596 \pm 790$ | 0.33 |
| PSF | WT | SUV ${ }_{\text {TLG }}$ | $608 \pm 759$ | $538 \pm 676$ | 0.05 | $616 \pm 757$ | $615 \pm 805$ | 0.87 | $614 \pm 755$ | $595 \pm 758$ | 0.51 |
| OSEM | WT | SULmax | $11.7 \pm 2.9$ | $10.2 \pm 3.1$ | 0.01 | $11.5 \pm 2.8$ | $9.8 \pm 3.0$ | 0.006* | $11.4 \pm 2.9$ | $10.2 \pm 3.3$ | 0.02 |
| PSF | WT | SULmax | $15.3 \pm 4.2$ | $14.7 \pm 3.3$ | 0.27 | $14.5 \pm 4.0$ | $14.2 \pm 3.4$ | 0.27 | $14.2 \pm 4.0$ | $13.7 \pm 3.2$ | 0.18 |
| OSEM | WT | SULmean | $4.9 \pm 1.4$ | $4.5 \pm 1.2$ | 0.03 | $5.0 \pm 1.3$ | $4.5 \pm 1.1$ | 0.006* | $5.0 \pm 1.3$ | $4.7 \pm 1.3$ | 0.04 |
| PSF | WT | SULmean | $5.1 \pm 1.3$ | $4.5 \pm 1.0$ | 0.04 | $5.1 \pm 1.3$ | $5.0 \pm 1.3$ | 0.47 | $5.1 \pm 1.3$ | $4.9 \pm 1.2$ | 0.20 |
| OSEM | WT | SULtlg | $386 \pm 493$ | $361 \pm 497$ | 0.10 | $389 \pm 495$ | $350 \pm 466$ | 0.01 | $388 \pm 494$ | $374 \pm 506$ | 0.36 |
| PSF | WT | SULtlg | $391 \pm 487$ | $338 \pm 431$ | 0.01 | $388 \pm 485$ | $386 \pm 515$ | 0.73 | $387 \pm 484$ | $371 \pm 483$ | 0.20 |
| OSEM | WT | MTV (ml) | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 |
| PSF | WT | MTV (ml) | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 | $67.8 \pm 74.6$ | $68.7 \pm 77.2$ | 0.33 |
| OSEM | 40\% | SUV mean | $11.0 \pm 3.4$ | $9.7 \pm 3.1$ | 0.002* | $10.9 \pm 3.3$ | $9.4 \pm 3.0$ | 0.001* | $10.8 \pm 3.4$ | $9.8 \pm 3.2$ | 0.02 |
| PSF | 40\% | SUV mean | $13.4 \pm 4.3$ | $13.0 \pm 3.6$ | 0.25 | $13.1 \pm 4.3$ | $13.0 \pm 3.9$ | 0.60 | $12.9 \pm 4.3$ | $12.6 \pm 3.8$ | 0.22 |
| OSEM | 40\% | SUV ${ }_{\text {TLG }}$ | $436 \pm 602$ | $409 \pm 546$ | 0.78 | $463 \pm 640$ | $399 \pm 495$ | 0.18 | $468 \pm 643$ | $430 \pm 537$ | 0.10 |
| PSF | 40\% | SUV TlG $^{\text {a }}$ | $351 \pm 504$ | $266 \pm 338$ | 0.04 | $389 \pm 546$ | $387 \pm 609$ | 0.87 | $390 \pm 564$ | $390 \pm 569$ | 0.93 |
| OSEM | 40\% | SULmean | $6.9 \pm 1.7$ | $6.0 \pm 1.7$ | 0.002* | $6.9 \pm 1.7$ | $5.9 \pm 1.6$ | 0.001* | $6.8 \pm 1.7$ | $6.1 \pm 1.7$ | 0.004* |
| PSF | 40\% | SULmean | $8.6 \pm 2.2$ | $8.1 \pm 1.8$ | 0.04 | $8.2 \pm 2.2$ | $8.1 \pm 2.0$ | 0.36 | $8.1 \pm 2.2$ | $7.8 \pm 2.0$ | 0.12 |
| OSEM | 40\% | SULtlg | $277 \pm 387$ | $257 \pm 348$ | 0.73 | $294 \pm 412$ | $251 \pm 317$ | 0.04 | $295 \pm 413$ | $271 \pm 345$ | 0.08 |
| PSF | 40\% | SULtlg | $226 \pm 323$ | $168 \pm 216$ | 0.004* | $247 \pm 350$ | $247 \pm 391$ | 0.98 | $248 \pm 361$ | $245 \pm 364$ | 0.60 |
| OSEM | 40\% | MTV (ml) | $36.1 \pm 46.8$ | $37.6 \pm 43.6$ | 0.08 | $39.4 \pm 51.5$ | $38.3 \pm 42.9$ | 0.08 | $39.9 \pm 52.2$ | $39.4 \pm 44.2$ | 0.08 |
| PSF | 40\% | MTV (ml) | $25.7 \pm 36.2$ | $19.9 \pm 24.8$ | 0.01 | $29.6 \pm 41.2$ | $29.0 \pm 44.2$ | 0.98 | $29.9 \pm 43.1$ | $30.4 \pm 44.0$ | 0.83 |

Note: Numbers provided are means $\pm$ standard deviations across all patients and reflect values obtained for both imaging sessions averaged on a per-patient basis.
SUV peak and SULmax were calculated only for the WT contour, as some of the $40 \%$ isocontours were not large enough for calculation of a peak value and because maximum values for the WT contour and the $40 \%$ isocontour are identical.
Abbreviations: $40 \%-40 \%$ isocontour; MTV - metabolic tumor volume; OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point spread function; SUL - lean body mass-adjusted standardized uptake value; TLG - total lesion glycolysis; WT -whole tumor contour

* Significant $p$ value, based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Supplemental Table 5. Mean values of ADCmedian from PET/MRI.

| Metric | Session 1 | Session 2 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADC median $\left(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | $904 \pm 104$ | $904 \pm 113$ | 0.76 |

Note: Numbers provided in the session 1 and session 2 columns are means $\pm$ standard deviations across all patients. For the majority of patients, two usable DWI acquisitions were available for each imaging session. For these patients, the two data points from a given session were averaged to obtain a single value per imaging session. The $p$ values are based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Abbreviations: ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient

Supplemental Table 6. Mean values of exploratory ADC metrics from PET/MRI.

| Metric | Session 1 | Session 2 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADC $_{\text {mean }}\left(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | $942 \pm 92$ | $933 \pm 101$ | 0.64 |
| ADC $_{20}\left(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | $708 \pm 79$ | $704 \pm 75$ | 0.81 |
| ADC $_{\text {trough }}\left(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$ | $750 \pm 106$ | $753 \pm 115$ | 0.39 |
| DTV $(\mathrm{ml})$ | $64.7 \pm 80.5$ | $62.7 \pm 77.5$ | 0.64 |

Note: Numbers provided in the session 1 and session 2 columns are means $\pm$ standard deviations across all patients. For the majority of patients, two usable DWI acquisitions were available for each imaging session. For these patients, the two data points from a given session were averaged to obtain a single value per imaging session. The $p$ values are based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Abbreviations: ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient; ADC $_{20}$ - mean value obtained from the intra-lesion voxels with the lowest $20 \%$ of ADC values; ADC ${ }_{\text {trough - low }}$ lowest mean ADC value obtainable for a $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ sphere placed within the confines of the lesion (analogous to the inverse of peak for PET imaging) ; DTV - diffusional tumor volume

Supplemental Table 7. Repeatability results for SUV $_{\text {max }}$ and SUL peak from OSEM and PSF reconstructions for PET/CT and PET/MRI.


Note: All data are based on whole tumor contours.
Abbreviations: \% $\Delta$ - percent change between sessions; OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point-spread function; wCV - within-subject coefficient of variation; SUL - lean body mass-adjusted standardized uptake value

* Distribution of values for mean \% $\Delta$ was non-normal.

Supplemental Table 8. Repeatability results for exploratory ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-FDG-PET parameters from OSEM and PSF reconstructions for PET/CT and PET/MRI.

| Reconstruction | Contour | Metric | 1 min |  |  |  | 3 min |  |  |  | 5 min |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | PET/CT |  | PET/MRI |  | PET/CT |  | PET/MRI |  | PET/CT |  | PET/MRI |  |
|  |  |  | Mean $\% \Delta$ | wCV | Mean $\% \Delta$ | wCV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \% \Delta \end{gathered}$ | wCV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \% \Delta \end{gathered}$ | wCV | Mean $\% \Delta$ | wCV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \% \Delta \end{gathered}$ | wCV |
| OSEM | WT | SUV mean | 2.1\% | 12.2\% | -4.2\% | 11.1\% | 3.0\% | 13.1\% | -4.1\% | 10.9\% | 2.2\% | 12.9\% | -4.6\% | 10.9\% |
| PSF | WT | SUV mean | 0.1\% | 13.7\% | -2.1\% | 14.4\% | 4.4\% | 14.5\% | -4.8\% | 11.9\% | 2.3\% | 13.4\% | -4.1\% | 11.6\% |
| OSEM | WT | SUV peak | -0.5\% | 9.6\% | -5.8\% | 10.1\% | 0.7\% | 10.3\% | -4.5\% | 8.6\%* | 0.7\% | 10.7\% | -5.0\% | 9.4\%* |
| PSF | WT | SUV ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ | -1.2\% | 11.2\% | -3.6\% | 11.0\% | -0.8\% | 14.5\% | -5.9\% | 9.8\%* | 0.5\% | 10.1\% | -5.4\% | 9.3\%* |
| OSEM | WT | SUVTLG | -4.2\% | 13.8\% | -0.4\% | 10.5\% | -3.3\% | 13.6\% | -0.3\% | 10.2\% | -4.0\% | 13.9\% | -0.8\% | 10.4\% |
| PSF | WT | SUVTLG | -6.1\% | 13.9\% | 1.8\% | 12.7\% | -1.9\% | 13.6\% | -0.9\% | 10.2\% | -4.0\% | 13.5\% | -0.3\% | 9.6\% |
| OSEM | WT | SULmax | -2.3\% | 8.8\% | -5.4\% | 8.2\% | -1.8\% | 9.8\% | -4.8\% | 7.0\%* | -1.9\% | 10.0\% | -5.3\% | 8.4\%* |
| PSF | WT | SULmax | 4.3\% | 13.0\% | -2.4\% | 8.9\% | 2.8\% | 11.8\% | -1.9\% | 6.9\% | -0.9\% | 9.1\% | -3.8\% | 7.9\% |
| OSEM | WT | SULmean | 2.0\% | 12.5\% | -4.2\% | 11.4\% | 2.9\% | 13.3\% | -4.1\% | 11.1\% | 2.2\% | 13.2\% | -4.7\% | 11.2\% |
| PSF | WT | SULmean | 3.5\% | 13.3\% | -2.1\% | 14.6\% | 4.3\% | 14.7\% | -4.8\% | 12.2\% | 2.2\% | 13.6\% | -4.1\% | 11.9\% |
| OSEM | WT | SULtlg | -4.2\% | 13.9\% | -0.5\% | 10.8\% | -3.4\% | 13.7\% | -0.3\% | 10.6\% | -4.1\% | 14.0\% | -0.8\% | 10.7\% |
| PSF | WT | SULtlg | -2.7\% | 14.0\% | 1.7\% | 12.9\% | -1.7\% | 13.6\% | -1.0\% | 10.5\% | -4.1\% | 13.6\% | 0.3\% | 9.3\% |
| OSEM | WT | MTV (ml) | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* |
| PSF | WT | MTV (ml) | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* | -6.2\% | 13.8\% | 3.8\% | 9.1\%* |
| OSEM | 40\% | SUV mean | -1.3\% | 8.2\% | -5.4\% | 7.9\% | -1.5\% | 9.6\% | -5.4\% | 7.6\%* | 0.0\% | 10.4\% | -5.2\% | 7.9\%* |
| PSF | 40\% | SUV ${ }_{\text {mean }}$ | -0.7\% | 12.0\% | -3.2\% | 8.3\% | 1.8\% | 10.5\% | -4.4\% | 7.2\% | -0.3\% | 9.1\% | -5.4\% | 8.2\% |
| OSEM | 40\% | SUVTlG | -0.8\% | 16.3\% | 0.7\% | 15.0\% | 0.4\% | 13.7\% | 0.9\% | 14.1\% | -2.5\% | 15.2\% | 0.7\% | 13.5\% |
| PSF | 40\% | SUV ${ }_{\text {tlG }}$ | -9.8\% | 17.9\% | -1.5\% | 22.2\% | -0.7\% | 14.7\% | -2.9\% | 18.5\% | -4.5\% | 15.4\% | 0.5\% | 16.3\% |
| OSEM | 40\% | SULmean | -1.4\% | 8.5\% | -5.5\% | 8.2\% | -1.6\% | 10.0\% | -5.2\% | 7.4\%* | -2.3\% | 9.8\% | -5.3\% | 8.2\%* |
| PSF | 40\% | SULmean | 2.7\% | 11.8\% | -3.3\% | 8.5\% | 1.7\% | 10.7\% | -4.2\% | 7.7\% | -0.4\% | 9.3\% | -5.5\% | 8.5\% |
| OSEM | 40\% | SULtLg | -0.9\% | 16.6\% | 0.6\% | 15.3\% | 0.4\% | 13.9\% | 0.8\% | 14.4\% | -0.6\% | 14.1\% | 0.6\% | 13.8\% |
| PSF | 40\% | SULtlg | -6.4\% | 16.5\% | -1.5\% | 22.4\% | -0.8\% | 14.9\% | -5.1\% | 18.9\% | -4.6\% | 15.6\% | 0.4\% | 16.6\% |
| OSEM | 40\% | MTV (ml) | 0.5\% | 11.9\% | 6.0\% | 14.3\%* | 1.9\% | 8.3\% | 6.0\% | 11.7\%* | 1.7\% | 9.1\% | 5.9\% | 10.6\% |
| PSF | 40\% | MTV (ml) | -9.2\% | 15.2\% | 1.5\% | 23.0\% | -2.6\% | 10.2\% | -1.2\% | 17.1\% | -4.2\% | 10.9\% | 5.8\% | 16.3\% |

Note: SUV $V_{\text {peak }}$ and SULmax were calculated only for the WT contour, as some of the $40 \%$ isocontours were not large enough for calculation of a peak value and because maximum values for the WT contour and the $40 \%$ isocontour are identical.
Abbreviations: $40 \%-40 \%$ isocontour; $\% \Delta$ - percent change; ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-FDG - 2 - ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose; MTV - metabolic tumor volume; OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PET - positron emission tomography; PSF - point-spread function; TLG - total lesion glycolysis; wCV - within-subject coefficient of variation; WT - whole tumor contour
*Distribution of values for mean \% $\Delta$ was non-norma

Supplemental Table 9. Repeatability results for ADCmedian from PET/MRI.

|  | Mean <br> $\% \Delta$ | wCV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ADC $_{\text {median }}$ | $0.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |

Note: For patients with more than one usable set of ADC data for a given session (as two DWI acquisitions were performed per session), one set was selected at random from each session for this analysis.
Abbreviations: $\% \Delta$ - percent change between sessions; ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient; wCV - withinsubject coefficient of variation

Supplemental Table 10. Repeatability results for exploratory ADC metrics from PET/MRI.

| Metric | Mean <br> $\% \Delta$ | wCV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ADC $_{\text {mean }}$ | $-0.1 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| ADC $_{20}$ | $-0.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| ADCtrough $^{2}$ | $0.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| DTV | $-2.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |

Note: For patients with more than one usable set of ADC data for a given session (as two DWI acquisitions were performed per session), one set was selected at random from each session for this analysis.
Abbreviations: ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient; ADC $_{20}$ - mean value obtained from the intra-lesion voxels
 within the confines of the lesion (analogous to the inverse of peak for PET imaging) ; DTV - diffusional tumor volume; wCV - within-subject coefficient of variation

Supplemental Table 11. Pairwise comparisons of repeatability for SUV max, SUL $_{\text {peak }}$, and $A D C_{\text {median }}$.

| Pair | Metric 1 | Mean \|\% ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | SD | Metric 2 | Mean \|\% ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | SD | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/CT | 9.4\% | 7.6\% | SUV ${ }_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/MRI | 8.0\% | 9.2\% | 0.64 |
| 2 | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/CT | 11.0\% | 8.2\% | $\mathrm{SUL}_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/MRI | 10.2\% | 11.2\% | 0.40 |
| 3 | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/CT | 9.9\% | 8.9\% | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 6.5\% | 8.3\% | 0.22 |
| 4 | SUL $_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/CT | 10.8\% | 9.9\% | SUL $_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 8.5\% | 10.7\% | 0.14 |
| 5 | SUV max OSEM 5 min PET/CT | 9.7\% | 9.6\% | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/MRI | 7.7\% | 9.7\% | 0.73 |
| 6 | SUL $_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/CT | 10.4\% | 10.6\% | SUL $_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/MRI | 8.2\% | 11.9\% | 0.16 |
| 7 | SUV $\mathrm{max}^{\text {Pa }}$ PSF 1 min PET/CT | 15.9\% | 7.6\% | SUV max PSF 1 min PET/MRI | 9.7\% | 7.5\% | 0.08 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{SUL}_{\text {peak }}$ PSF 1 min PET/CT | 12.6\% | 9.3\% | SUL peak $^{\text {PSF }} 1 \mathrm{~min}$ PET/MRI | 12.1\% | 10.4\% | 0.93 |
| 9 | SUV max PSF 3 min PET/CT | 12.5\% | 10.5\% | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 7.1\% | 6.0\% | 0.12 |
| 10 | SUL peak $^{\text {PSF }} 3 \mathrm{~min}$ PET/CT | 12.3\% | 10.4\% | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 9.0\% | 12.3\% | 0.36 |
| 11 | SUV max $^{\text {PSF }} 5$ min PET/CT | 8.9\% | 8.5\% | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ PSF 5 min PET/MRI | 8.4\% | 7.6\% | 0.87 |
| 12 | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ PSF 5 min PET/CT | 10.5\% | 9.9\% | SUL peak PSF 5 min PET/MRI | 8.8\% | 11.4\% | 0.25 |
| 13 | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 7.1\% | 6.0\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {median }}$ | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.23 |
| 14 | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 9.0\% | 12.3\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {median }}$ | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.74 |
| 15 | SUV $_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 6.5\% | 8.3\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {median }}$ | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.90 |
| 16 | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 8.5\% | 10.7\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {median }}$ | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.41 |

Note: All data are based on whole tumor contours. Numbers in the mean $|\% \Delta|$ column are means (across all subjects) of the absolute values of relative differences (i.e., percentage changes) between imaging sessions 1 and 2 . The $p$ values are based on results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for comparisons 1-12 (paired data) and the Mann-Whitney $U$ test for comparisons 13-16 (unpaired data).
Abbreviations: ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient; OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point-spread function; SD - standard deviation

Supplemental Table 12. Pairwise comparisons of repeatability for exploratory ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-FDG-PET and ADC metrics.

| Pair | Metric 1 | Mean \|\% ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | SD | Metric 2 | Mean \|\% ${ }^{\text {\| }}$ | SD | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Volume PET/CT | 15.4\% | 13.0\% | Volume PET/MRI | 8.5\% | 10.1\% | 0.11 |
| 2 | SUV ${ }_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 1 min PET/CT | 15.6\% | 10.7\% | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 1 min PET/MRI | 15.2\% | 13.1\% | 0.73 |
| 3 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 1 min PET/CT | 14.2\% | 8.4\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 1 min PET/MRI | 9.9\% | 6.5\% | 0.16 |
| 4 | SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/CT | 16.5\% | 12.1\% | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 12.1\% | 12.4\% | 0.18 |
| 5 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/CT | 10.9\% | 9.8\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 7.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.16 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 5 min PET/CT | 15.1\% | 10.9\% | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 5 min PET/MRI | 11.8\% | 11.7\% | 0.22 |
| 7 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 5 min PET/CT | 8.8\% | 9.0\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 5 min PET/MRI | 9.0\% | 8.9\% | 0.87 |
| 8 | SUV ${ }_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/CT | 13.8\% | 10.0\% | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/MRI | 11.3\% | 11.5\% | 0.36 |
| 9 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/CT | 9.0\% | 7.0\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 1 min PET/MRI | 7.8\% | 9.4\% | 0.47 |
| 10 | SUV ${ }_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/CT | 14.8\% | 10.8\% | SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 11.4\% | 10.8\% | 0.25 |
| 11 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/CT | 9.4\% | 9.6\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 7.5\% | 9.3\% | 0.33 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/CT | 14.4\% | 10.7\% | SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/MRI | 10.3\% | 12.1\% | 0.12 |
| 13 | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/CT | 10.3\% | 10.2\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ OSEM 5 min PET/MRI | 7.3\% | 9.8\% | 0.26 |
| 14 | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (intra-session) | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 0.35 |
| 15 | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {median }}$ (inter-session) | 3.9\% | 2.7\% | 0.90 |
| 16 | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{20}$ (inter-session) | 2.9\% | 3.4\% | 0.22 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | ADC ${ }_{\text {trough }}$ (inter-session) | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 0.75 |
| 18 | SUV max $^{\text {PSF }} 3$ min PET/MRI | 7.1\% | 6.0\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.17 |
| 19 | SUL peak PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 9.0\% | 12.3\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.70 |
| 20 | SUV ${ }_{\text {max }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 6.5\% | 8.3\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.96 |
| 21 | SUL ${ }_{\text {peak }}$ OSEM 3 min PET/MRI | 8.5\% | 10.7\% | $\mathrm{ADC}_{\text {mean }}$ (inter-session) | 3.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.50 |
| 22 | SUV mean $^{\text {PSF }} 3 \mathrm{~min}$ PET/CT | 16.5\% | 12.1\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/CT | 10.9\% | 9.8\% | 0.02 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{SUV}_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 12.1\% | 12.4\% | $40 \%$ SUV $_{\text {mean }}$ PSF 3 min PET/MRI | 7.6\% | 7.9\% | 0.06 |

Note: Data are based on whole tumor contours except for metrics preceded by a ' $40 \%$ ' designation; these metrics are based on the $40 \%$ isocontour. Numbers provided in the mean $|\% \Delta|$ column are means (across all subjects) of the absolute values of relative differences (i.e., percentage changes) between imaging sessions 1 and 2. The $p$ values are based on results of the Wilcoxon signedrank test for comparisons 1-17 \& 22-23 (paired data) and the Mann-Whitney $U$ test for comparisons 18-21 (unpaired data).
Abbreviations: $40 \%$ - $40 \%$ isocontour; ADC - apparent diffusion coefficient; OSEM - ordered-subset expectation maximization; PSF - point-spread function; WT - whole tumor

