Supplemental Table 1. Hypothesis Testing of Mean Differences and Inter-observer Reproducibility of SUV_{bw} max for the 20 Most and 20 Least Metabolically Active Lesions

	$\label{eq:mean_suv_bw} \begin{aligned} Mean & SUV_{bw} max \pm Standard Deviation \\ & (95\% C.I.) \end{aligned}$			of Variation lard deviation]	Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (95% C.I., Precision)	
	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan
Highest 20	15.81 ± 5.38 $(14.61-17.01)$	$6.76 \pm 4.83*$ $(5.69-7.84)$	7.7 ± 15.8%+	$17.1 \pm 25.6\%$	0.86 (0.75-0.93, ±9%)	0.98 (0.97-0.99, ±1%)
Lowest 20	4.32 ± 1.26 (4.05-4.59)	$2.51 \pm 1.47*$ (2.19-2.82)	4.1 ± 6.4% ¥	22.3 ± 29.7%¥	0.94 (0.88-0.97, ±5%)	$0.88 \\ (0.78 - 0.94, \pm 8)$

^{*}p < 0.001 for pre-treatment versus post-treatment scan

⁺p=0.009 and p=0.01 compared to post-treatment scan for the highest 20 and lowest 20, respectively.

 $[\]stackrel{.}{\pm}$ No differences in CV of SUV_{bw}max for the 20 lesions with the highest versus the lowest metabolic were found within pre-treatment (p=0.82) and post-treatment scans (p=0.28).

Supplemental Table 2. Hypothesis Testing of Mean Differences and Inter-observer Reproducibility of CT Size Parameters for the 20 Largest and Smallest Lesions

	Mean Size ± Standard Deviation (95% C.I.)		Coefficient of Variation [mean ± standard deviation]		Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (95% C.I., Precision)	
	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan*	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan	Pre-treatment Scan	Post-treatment Scan
Longest CT size (RECIST)						
Largest 20 (mm)	34.99 ± 11.92	28.45 ± 13.34 *	$15.7 \pm 25.5\%$	$29.6 \pm 41.8\%$	0.40	0.81
	(32.43-37.54)	(2.55-31.34)	·		$(0.18\text{-}0.65, \pm 24)$	$(0.65 - 0.91, \pm 13)$
Smallest 20 (mm)	15.85 ± 4.07	11.36 ± 6.86 *	$16.1 \pm 8.3\%$	$42.4 \pm 49.0\%$	0.36	0.73
	(14.94-16.76)	(9.82 - 12.89)	·		$(0.13-0.62,\pm25)$	$(0.55 - 0.87, \pm 16)$
Two-dimensional CT size (WHO)						
Largest 20 (mm ²)	976.06 ± 733.10	$731.21 \pm 883.30*$	$18.9 \pm 25.9\%$	$44.1 \pm 50.1\%$	0.39	0.32
, ,	(815.99-1136.4)	(534.64-927.77)	'		$(0.18-0.64, \pm 23)$	$(0.08-0.60, \pm 26)$
Smallest 20 (mm ²)	180.81 ± 85.86	$123.13 \pm 97.04*$	$30.1 \pm 15.6\%$	$56.9 \pm 47.1\%$	0.43	0.62
	(161.70-199.91)	(101.53-144.72)	'		$(0.21\text{-}0.66, \pm 23)$	$(0.41 \text{-} 0.81, \pm 20)$

^{*} $p \le 0.03$ for pre-treatment versus post-treatment scans

[†]p=0.01 for largest 20 and p=0.02 for smallest 20 versus post-treatment for longest CT size; p=0.004 for largest 20 and p=0.001 for smallest 20 for two-dimensional CT size For both CT size parameters, no significant differences were found comparing CV for the largest 20 vs. the smallest 20 lesions within pre-treatment and post-treatment scans.