# [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT Predicts Patient Survival in Patients with Systemic Sclerosis–Associated Interstitial Lung Disease

David M.L. Lilburn<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Helen S. Garthwaite<sup>\*3</sup>, Balaji Ganeshan<sup>1</sup>, Thida Win<sup>4</sup>, Nicholas J. Screaton<sup>5</sup>, Luke R. Hoy<sup>1</sup>, Darren Walls<sup>1</sup>, Raymond Endozo<sup>1</sup>, Robert I. Shortman<sup>1</sup>, Francesco Fraioli<sup>1</sup>, Athol U. Wells<sup>6</sup>, Christopher P. Denton<sup>†7</sup>, Ashley M. Groves<sup>†1</sup>, and Joanna C. Porter<sup>†3,8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Nuclear Medicine, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>PET Centre, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Interstitial Lung Disease Service, Department of Respiratory Medicine, University College London Hospital, London, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup>Respiratory Medicine Department, Lister Hospital, Stevenage, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup>Radiology Department, Papworth Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom; <sup>6</sup>Interstitial Lung Disease Unit, Royal Brompton Hospital, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom; <sup>7</sup>Centre for Rheumatology, Division of Medicine, University College London, London, United Kingdom; and <sup>8</sup>UCL Respiratory, University College London and Interstitial Lung Disease Service, University College Hospital, London, United Kingdom

There are few effective prognostic biomarkers in patients with systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease (SSc-ILD). We investigated the potential of [18F]FDG PET/CT to predict mortality in this population. Methods: In total, 45 patients with SSc-ILD (12 men and 33 women; age, 58.9  $\pm$  9.9 y) were prospectively recruited for <sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT, forming the largest cohort of this type to our knowledge. All patients underwent clinical assessment, including multidisciplinary team review, high-resolution CT evaluation, and pulmonary function tests. The maximum pulmonary uptake on [18F]FDG PET/CT (SUV<sub>max</sub>), minimum pulmonary uptake in unaffected or background lung (SUV<sub>min</sub>), and target-to-background ratio (TBR) (SUV<sub>max</sub>/SUV<sub>min</sub>) were quantified using region-of-interest analysis. Kaplan-Meier analysis identified associations with mortality. Associations between [18F]FDG PET/CT measurements, pulmonary function tests, and the established model based on sex, age, and lung physiology (known as ILD-GAP) to predict mortality were performed. Stepwise forward Wald-Cox analysis assessed the independence of significant [18F]FDG PET/CT measurements from the ILD-GAP index. Synergies between pulmonary [18F]FDG PET/CT measurements and ILD-GAP index for risk stratification in patients with SSc-ILD were investigated. Results: Forty-five patients with SSc-ILD were followed for a mean of 44.8  $\pm$  26.1 mo, with 15 deaths (33%) recorded. The mean  $\pm$  SD SUV\_max was 3.2  $\pm$  1.1, SUV\_min was 0.5  $\pm$  0.3, and TBR was 6.8  $\pm$  2.6. Increased mortality was associated with high pulmonary SUV<sub>max</sub> (P = 0.027), high SUV<sub>min</sub> (P = 0.002), low TBR (P = 0.016), low forced vital capacity (P = 0.021), low carbon monoxide diffusion coefficient (P = 0.021), low transfer factor (P = 0.012), high ILD-GAP score (P = 0.010), and high ILD-GAP index (P = 0.005). Multivariate Cox regression analysis revealed that pulmonary SUV<sub>min</sub> (hazard ratio, 4.2; 95% CI, 1.3-13.4; P = 0.017) and ILD-GAP index (hazard ratio, 3.9; 95% Cl, 1.2-12.8; P = 0.024) were the only independent predictors of overall survival. Combining [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake with ILD-GAP score data in a modified ILD-GAP index refined the ability to predict mortality (P < 0.002).

Received Jan. 21, 2025; revision accepted Apr. 8, 2025.

**Conclusion:** High-background [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake in normal-appearing lung independently predicts overall survival in SSc-ILD and may stratify patients' risk when combined with ILD-GAP score data in a modified ILD-GAP index. High pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake is associated with increased mortality in patients with SSc-ILD.

Key Words: systemic sclerosis–associated interstitial lung disease; PET/CT; sex-age-physiology score; Kaplan–Meier survival

J Nucl Med 2025; 00:1–7 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.125.269497

Dystemic sclerosis (SSc) is a chronic, autoimmune rheumatic disease characterized by skin thickening and organ fibrosis, often involving the lungs (1). The etiology is unknown, but genetic and environmental factors are implicated, and the disease is more prevalent in women. SSc is a heterogeneous disease (2) and involves immune dysregulation, endothelial dysfunction, and excess extracellular matrix deposition by fibroblasts, leading to fibrosis.

Complications such as interstitial lung disease (ILD) and pulmonary vascular disease are the main cause of death in patients with SSc (3). ILD severity ranges from mild, limited interstitial involvement to rapidly progressive fibrotic disease and respiratory failure (4). Predictors of progressive ILD include a shorter interval between onset of skin disease and pulmonary fibrosis, male sex, Black race, and concomitant cardiac disease.

High-resolution CT (HRCT) acts as a surrogate for histologic diagnosis of SSc-ILD. HRCT findings range from inflammatory, nonspecific interstitial pneumonia to more fibrotic usual interstitial pneumonia (5). In general, outcomes and treatment response correlate with the extent of lung involvement, with worse outcomes associated with usual interstitial pneumonia.

Treatment approaches rely on symptom monitoring, pulmonary function tests (PFTs), and HRCT but lack dynamic disease assessment and progression risk. Functional measurements may be confounded by the multisystem nature of SSc-ILD (6), with cardiovascular, cutaneous, and musculoskeletal disease manifestations affecting performance during exercise testing. PFTs are often insensitive to early lung disease (7) and affected by patient compliance and pulmonary arterial hypertension (8). New immunosuppressive regimens and

For correspondence or reprints, contact Ashley M. Groves (ashleygroves@ nhs.net).

<sup>\*</sup>Contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Contributed equally to this work.

Published online May 29, 2025.

Immediate Open Access: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY) allows users to share and adapt with attribution, excluding materials credited to previous publications. License: https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/. Details: https://jnm.snmjournals.org/page/permissions.

COPYRIGHT © 2025 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

antifibrotic agents demand new prognostic biomarkers to predict outcome and treatment response in patients with SSc-ILD.

PET/CT can noninvasively investigate cellular metabolism in vivo, and [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT is emerging as a potential biomarker in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) (9–11), aiding patient stratification (12–14). Small retrospective studies have explored [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT associations in SSc-ILD (15–19), and a prospective study of 23 patients has attempted to define a link with serum biomarkers in SSc-ILD (20).

In this study, we compared the use of [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT imaging with prognostic scores obtained from a prediction model based on sex, age, and lung physiology (known as ILD-GAP) (*21*) to predict mortality in patients with SSc-ILD.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study and its protocol were approved by the London-Harrow Research Ethics Committee, and all participants signed a written, informed consent form. Potential subjects were identified from a population of 626 patients referred to the National Scleroderma Centre, Royal Free Hospital. In total, 313 patients were approached, and 45 agreed to participate and were consecutively recruited from April 2010 to June 2018 (12 men and 33 women; age, 58.9  $\pm$  9.9 y). All 45 underwent [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT at the Institute of Nuclear Medicine, University College London Hospital. Patients were diagnosed with SSc-ILD after multidisciplinary team review, HRCT, and PFTs, including forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV<sub>1</sub>), and carbon monoxide transfer factor and coefficient (TL<sub>CO</sub>/K<sub>CO</sub>). Patients with clinical or radiologic suspicion of infection or neoplasia were excluded. The recruitment process and observational phases are detailed in Figure 1.

## **PET/CT Image Acquisition**

 $[^{18}F]FDG$  PET/CT imaging of the thorax was performed after diagnosis. All images were acquired on the same PET/CT scanner (VCT PET/64-detector CT instrument; GE HealthCare). Patients were injected with a mean 197.9 ± 18.4 MBq of  $[^{18}F]FDG$ . After 1 h of uptake, patients were positioned supine on the CT table with their arms held above their heads and instructed to remain still. A CT scan was performed for attenuation correction and PET coregistration purposes, immediately followed by a  $[^{18}F]FDG$  PET emission scan with identical anatomic coverage (8 min per bed position).

#### **Image Analysis**

*Observers.* [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT images were analyzed by a PET radiologist and a PET technologist with more than 10 y of experience quantifying pulmonary uptake in [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT imaging of SSc-ILD, under the supervision of a senior radiologist or nuclear medicine physician. CT/HRCT imaging was reviewed by a thoracic radiologist with expertise in ILD.

Image Display and Processing. Quantification of [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT metrics were performed on a propriety workstation through placement of small, 2-dimensional regions of interest on left and right lung fields on coregistered axial images. SUV<sub>max</sub> was calculated as the highest single pixel value within a region of interest drawn over the area of most intense pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake (Fig. 2). The SUV<sub>min</sub> was calculated as the lowest single pixel value within a region of interest drawn over normal-appearing lung parenchyma exhibiting the lowest pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake. Normal-appearing lung was confirmed by the thoracic radiologist with reference to prior HRCT imaging. SUV<sub>min</sub> was considered a measure of the background lung uptake and used to calculate the target-to-background ratio (TBR = SUV<sub>max</sub>/SUV<sub>min</sub>) (9,14).

A thoracic radiologist masked to the PET emission scans classified ILD abnormalities as either usual interstitial pneumonia or nonspecific interstitial pneumonia based on CT/HRCT images, as previously described (9,14).



**FIGURE 1.** Flow diagram detailing study recruitment and analysis phases. MDT = multidisciplinary team.

#### **ILD-GAP** Calculation

ILD-GAP score was calculated using 4 variables: sex, age, FVC, and  $TL_{CO}$  (21). The calculated score falls within a continuous range



**FIGURE 2.** Axial CT and corresponding [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET emission scans of patient with nonspecific interstitial pneumonia. CT placement of regions of interest on morphologically abnormal (A) and normal (B) lung. Corresponding regions of interest on [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET emission scan for determining SUV<sub>max</sub> (C) and SUV<sub>min</sub> (D).

from 0 to 8, with higher scores indicating poorer outcomes. The score translates to an ILD-GAP index of I–IV, corresponding to scores of 0 or 1, 2 or 3, 4 or 5, and 6–8, respectively.

#### Patient Follow-up

The follow-up period was defined as the period between the date of the patient's [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT scan and the date of the patient's death or August 2021 (11 y 4 mo after study initiation). Patient survival was confirmed using patient charts, electronic databases, primary health care records, and telephone interviews.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows version 19.0 (IBM). Data were reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Univariate Survival Analysis. Relationships between [ $^{18}$ F]FDG PET/CT parameters, PFTs, and ILD-GAP scores and indices and patient survival were assessed using univariate Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. Differences in the survival plots were evaluated using a nonparametric log-rank test. The optimized parameter value (corresponding to the lowest *P* value from log-rank test) was used as a cutoff to separate the survival plots into poorer and favorable prognoses groups. Kaplan–Meier curves for each group illustrate the proportion of patients surviving at a given time.

*Multivariate Cox Regression Analysis.* Cox proportional hazards regression test assessed time independence of the significant univariate markers. Stepwise forward Wald regression method was used to identify which significant parameters were independent predictors of survival.

*Modeling PET Data with ILD-GAP Analysis.* ILD-GAP scores were combined with individual [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT parameters SUV<sub>max</sub>, SUV<sub>min</sub>, and TBR to create modified ILD-GAP calculations (mGAP) to determine whether they could improve the ILD-GAP scores' ability to predict survival (*14*).

[<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT parameters were incorporated into the ILD-GAP score and coded as a 1 or 0, reflecting a poor or favorable prognosis, respectively (defined by the optimized cutoff). The resulting mGAP score ranged from 0 to 9, and the mGAP index remained I–IV, corresponding to mGAP scores of 0–2, 3 or 4, 5 or 6, and 7–9, respectively.

## RESULTS

Forty-five patients with SSc-ILD were followed for a mean of 44.8  $\pm$  26.1 mo, with 15 deaths (33%) recorded. All patients provided measurements of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC, but 10 were unable to complete tests to measure TL<sub>CO</sub> and K<sub>CO</sub>. Forty-two patients (93%) had predominantly nonspecific interstitial pneumonia, and the remaining 3 patients (7%) displayed a usual interstitial pneumonia pattern. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2 summarizes  $SUV_{max}$ ,  $SUV_{min}$ , and TBR values and significant associations between [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake (with optimized cutoffs) and survival. Figure 3 displays associated Kaplan–Meier survival curves.

For patients whose SUV<sub>max</sub> was 2.975 or greater, 2- and 5-y survival rates were approximately 64% and 46%, respectively, with 50% mortality within 60 mo. For those whose SUV<sub>max</sub> was less than 2.975, 2- and 5-y survival rates increased to 90% and 84%, respectively, and 50% mortality was not achieved. When the TBR did not exceed 6.61, 2- and 5-y survival rates were approximately 60% and 50%, respectively, with 50% mortality within 44 mo. When the TBR exceeded 6.61, 2- and 5-y survival rates increased to approximately 91% and 77%, respectively, and 50% mortality was not achieved.

Median  $SUV_{min}$  did not significantly inform outcomes, therefore a cutoff of at least 0.85 was determined. Of the 6 patients with an

TABLE 1Patient Characteristics at Baseline with PFT and CT<br/>Findings, n = 45

Characteristic	Value
Clinical data*	
Age (y)	$58.9\pm8.9$
Female	33 (73)
Male	12 (27)
PFT <sup>†</sup>	
Predicted FVC (%)	70 (59–86.5)
Predicted FEV <sub>1</sub> (%)	667 (57.5–84)
$K_{CO}$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	66 (30.5–85) <sup>‡</sup>
$TL_{CO}$ (mmol min <sup>-1</sup> kPa <sup>-1</sup> )	41 (25–57) <sup>‡</sup>
Autoantibodies*	
Antiscleroderma-70	19 (42)
Anticentromere antibody	4 (9)
Anti-RNA-polymerase III	2 (4)
Other <sup>§</sup>	9 (20)
No specific antibodies	10 (22)
CT pattern*	
NSIP	42 (93)
UIP	3 (7)

\*Continuous variables are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Qualitative variables are expressed as number with percentages in parentheses.

<sup>†</sup>Data expressed as median with interquartile range in parentheses.

 $^{\dagger}n = 35$ ; 10 patients were unable to complete TLCO and KCO tests.

<sup>§</sup>Includes U1 ribonucleoprotein and rare antinuclear antibodies. NSIP = nonspecific interstitial pneumonia; UIP = usual interstitial

pneumonia.

 $SUV_{min}$  of at least 0.85, 2- and 5-y survival rates were 34% and 17%, respectively, with a 50% mortality within 19 mo. Below this threshold, 2- and 5-y survival rates were 83% and 71%, respectively, and 50% mortality was not achieved.

Survival was significantly associated with FVC, TL<sub>CO</sub>, K<sub>CO</sub>, and ILD-GAP scores and indices. The 2- and 5-y survival rates and median survival values are shown in Table 3 and Figure 4.

#### **Multivariate Cox Regression Analyses**

Cox proportional hazards regression test demonstrated time independence for all significant univariate markers, meeting the proportional hazards assumption. SUV<sub>min</sub> was found to be independent of ILD-GAP values for predicting prognoses. When SUV<sub>min</sub> and ILD-GAP index were included in the Cox regression model, SUV<sub>min</sub> (threshold > 0.85; hazard ratio, 4.2; 95% CI, 1.3–13.4; P = 0.017) and ILD-GAP index (threshold > 1.5; hazard ratio, 3.9; 95% CI, 1.2–12.8; P = 0.024) were independent predictors of survival.

#### Modeling of PET-Derived SUV<sub>min</sub> in Combined ILD-GAP Analysis

There was synergy in survival associations between  $SUV_{min}$  and ILD-GAP index with mGAP using  $SUV_{min}$ , showing improved risk stratification over the original ILD-GAP index (Fig. 5).

 TABLE 2

 Kaplan–Meier Survival Analysis Based on Optimized Cutoff Values for PET and PFT Parameters

 and ILD-GAP Scores and Indices

			Poorer prognosis group		Favorable prognosis group	
PET/PFT marker	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	Optimized cutoff for poor survival	Number of patients	Survival (mo)	Number of patients	Р
SUV <sub>max</sub>	3.2 ±1.2	≥2.975	23	60.1	22*	0.027
SUV <sub>min</sub>	$0.5\ \pm 0.3$	≥0.85	6	18.9	39*	0.002
TBR	6.8 ±2.6	<6.61	20	44.3	25*	0.016
FVC (%)	$72.0\ \pm 19.7$	<72.5	25	60.1	20*	0.021
FEV <sub>1</sub>	$69.0 \pm 18.2$	<65.5	20	60.1	25*	0.142
TL <sub>CO</sub>	$47.8~{\pm}18.4^{\dagger}$	<55.5	32	116.3	13*	0.012
K <sub>co</sub>	$75.1 \pm 20.7^{\dagger}$	<63.5	20	43.2	25 (116.3 <sup>‡</sup> )	0.021
ILD-GAP score	1 (0–2) <sup>§</sup>	≥1	26	43.2	19*	0.010
ILD-GAP index	II (I–III) <sup>s</sup>	≥II	19	21.4	26 (116.3 <sup>‡</sup> )	0.005

\*Median survival not calculated.

<sup>†</sup>10 patients were unable to complete gas transfer measurement of  $TL_{CO}/K_{CO}$ , and these were classified as poor values (i.e., below median). Mean  $\pm$  SD calculated with *n* of 35.

<sup>‡</sup>Number in parentheses is survival in months.

<sup>§</sup>Data expressed as median with interquartile ranges, given discrete values.

## DISCUSSION

We present the largest cohort to date, to our knowledge, of prospective [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT data for patients with SSc-ILD. We have shown that baseline measurements of [18F]FDG uptake correlate with patient survival. High  $SUV_{max}$ , high  $SUV_{min}$ , and low TBR were associated with poorer survival, but SUV<sub>min</sub> had an independent prognostic benefit to the ILD-GAP index. SUV<sub>min</sub> may be particularly helpful in prognosticating SSc-ILD because of its potential to reflect underlying diffuse metabolic activity in lung tissue that appears normal on conventional imaging. Unlike SUV<sub>max</sub>, which highlights focal areas of intense metabolic activity often linked to severe inflammation or fibrosis, SUV<sub>min</sub> captures the lowest level of [18F]FDG uptake within the lung. This measure may be indicative of global lung involvement, potentially reflecting subclinical inflammation, early fibrotic changes, or metabolic alterations that are not yet structurally apparent. In the context of SSc-ILD, where disease progression often occurs diffusely rather than focally, SUV<sub>min</sub> might serve as a surrogate for widespread, low-grade inflammatory processes that contribute to overall lung function decline. Additionally, SUVmin's independent prognostic benefit over the ILD-GAP index suggests that it provides unique biologic insights beyond traditional clinical parameters. This aligns with previous findings that [18F]FDG uptake in seemingly normal lung parenchyma was linked to poor outcomes in ILD, reinforcing the notion that metabolic alterations may precede structural damage (10,12). However, the exact mechanisms underlying SUV<sub>min</sub>'s prognostic value remain unclear. It could relate to differences in baseline lung metabolism, microvascular dysfunction, or early fibrotic activity that is not yet radiographically evident. Further studies with larger, independent cohorts are necessary to validate these findings and elucidate the biologic significance of SUV<sub>min</sub> in SSc-ILD progression.

FVC,  $TL_{CO}$ , and  $K_{CO}$  in combination with sex and age in ILD-GAP scores and indices were predictors of survival. PFTs are

often challenging for patients with SSc because limited respiratory movements are a feature of advanced disease (10 patients in our cohort were unable to perform tests to obtain  $TL_{CO}$  and  $K_{CO}$ values).  $TL_{CO}$  is a composite marker of several physiologic processes and is reduced in the presence of pulmonary arterial hypertension (22), where increased pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake may also be seen (23,24). It is unclear if this was a factor in our study.

Although  $SUV_{max}$  and TBR were not independent of the ILD-GAP index, they were prognostic and might be useful in patients for whom PFTs are impossible, with TBR having the advantage of normalizing glucose uptake to background activity.

Attempts to achieve consensus around imaging data analysis methods for pulmonary [18F]FDG PET/CT in lung disease include the use of TBR as a quantitative biomarker in the monitoring of ILD (25). In contrast to this study, previous research has shown that high pulmonary TBR is independently associated with increased mortality among patients with IPF (14). This discrepancy may reflect differences in the relative contributions of inflammation and fibrosis to [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT signal. Nonspecific interstitial pneumonia is predominant in SSc-ILD, with inflammation being the primary driver, whereas fibrosis is predominant in IPF. The precise cellular mechanisms underlying [18F]FDG PET/CT signal in SSc-ILD and IPF are unknown, although early data in fibrotic ILD suggests that <sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT signal correlates with neoangiogenesis (26). The radiopharmaceutical <sup>68</sup>Ga-labeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor 4 binds to fibroblast activation protein and has been proposed as a biomarker in SSc-ILD (27), although how it relates to [18F]FDG uptake is unknown. Investigations into survival predictors in SSc-ILD typically involve low numbers of patients due to the low incidence of the disease. Patients with an FVC exceeding 70% of the predicted value are considered to have limited disease, even with 30% lung involvement detected by HRCT (28,29). An analysis of data from the SENSCIS study, investigating the efficacy and safety of nintedanib, noted that a decrease in FVC% predicted of 3% or



**FIGURE 3.** Kaplan–Meier survival curves for SUV<sub>max</sub> (cutoff value, 2.975) (A), SUV<sub>min</sub> (cutoff value, 0.85) (B), and TBR (cutoff value, 6.61) (C).

greater was associated with earlier initial hospitalization or death during 52-wk follow-up (30). It is debatable if this would be detectable outside of a clinical trial, where progression is often defined as an FVC reduction of more than 10% or a  $TL_{CO}$  reduction of more than 15% (31).

The addition of FVC and TL<sub>CO</sub> into scoring systems (including ILD-GAP) requires patients to complete both tests, and test intervals of 12–24 mo are often necessary to identify meaningful changes. The intention of the ILD-GAP score was patient stratification rather than individual outcome prediction; however, in the era of precision medicine, the incorporation of [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT into the calculus may bridge that gap.

Mortality prediction is important for shared decision-making, including referral for hematopoietic stem cell or lung transplantation. We have shown that high [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake in normal-appearing lung (SUV<sub>min</sub>) in SSc-ILD correlates with increased mortality and provided further evidence that high SUV<sub>max</sub> almost doubles the risk of death in patients with SSc-ILD.

Presently, limited or stable SSc-ILD is not considered eligible for treatment with first-line mycophenolate mofetil or cyclophosphamide (*32*). Second-line treatment with immune modulators, such as tocilizumab or rituximab, is usually reserved for patients who do not respond to initial treatment. This observation is based on PFT and HRCT surveillance and can take 6–12 mo to become appreciable. Finally, given the associated toxicities, antifibrotic drugs are often reserved for progressive fibrotic disease. The overall mortality in this cohort was higher than that of recent clinical trials, indicating that high pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake may identify patients with poorer survival independent of PFT values. This raises the possibility of selecting patients for escalated immune modulation from a wider subpopulation or potentially using pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake as a response biomarker in drug development.

Interest in models of risk prediction incorporating clinical and imaging parameters is growing, particularly with IPF, although the GAP model has been modified for use across all ILDs, including SSc-ILD, and has performed well (21,33,34). These models are most useful when stratifying patients for clinical trials rather than assessing individuals. Serum biomarkers may identify patients at risk of disease progression, and future studies combining clinical models, serum biomarkers, and functional imaging may refine the theranostic approach in SSc-ILD.

 TABLE 3

 Estimated 2- and 5-Year Survival Rates Based on Optimized Cutoff Values for PET and PFT Parameters and ILD-GAP Scores and Indices

Variable	SUV <sub>max</sub>	SUV <sub>min</sub>	TBR	ILD-GAP score	ILD-GAP index	FVC	TL <sub>CO</sub>	K <sub>co</sub>
Optimized cutoff	2.975	0.85	6.61	1	Ш	72.5%	55.5%	63.5
2-y survival above cutoff	64%	34%	91%	59%	50%	88%	100%	95%
5-y survival above cutoff	46%	17%	77%	50%	45%	88%	100%	75%
2-y survival below cutoff	90%	83%	58%	100%	96%	67%	67%	55%
5-y survival below cutoff	84%	71%	47%	78%	76%	48%	52%	50%
50% mortality above threshold*	60	19	NA	43	21	NA	NA	116
50% mortality below threshold*	NA	NA	44	NA	116	60	116	43

\*Estimate of median survival where applicable in months (median survival was not calculated when 50% mortality was not achieved). NA = not applicable.



**FIGURE 4.** Kaplan–Meier survival curves for FVC (cutoff value 72.5%) (A), ILD-GAP score (cutoff value, 1) (B), TL<sub>CO</sub> mmol min<sup>-1</sup> kPa<sup>-1</sup> (cutoff value, 55%) (C), and ILD-GAP index (cutoff value, II) (D).

HRCT is the main diagnostic imaging tool for SSc-ILD. Several studies have provided associations between survival data and scoring and grading systems of HRCT findings (35), but data showing advantages of this imaging technique in clinical trials are limited. In contrast, our imaging approach uses metabolic functional data and the exquisite sensitivity of  $[^{18}F]FDG PET/CT$ .

Increased [ $^{18}$ F]FDG uptake is seen in morphologically normal lung parenchyma in IPF (10,12) and in small cohorts of patients



FIGURE 5. Kaplan–Meier survival curve for ILD-GAP index (A) and modified ILD-GAP index incorporating SUV<sub>min</sub> (B).

with SSc-ILD (13,15,17,19), although this has been an inconsistent finding, with 2 recent, larger studies reporting no increased [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake in normal-appearing lung (18,20). None of the prior, smaller studies of [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT in these patients found a link between uptake values and mortality, although most found associations with PFT values. Whether this is related to study size, differing acquisition and analysis methodologies, or the patient populations is unclear.

This study was limited by the small size of the cohort but is the largest of its type combining PFTs with [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT datasets. To increase recruitment, the [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT was not always performed at diagnosis, but our data imply that prognosis may be determined at various stages of disease. Technical factors, such as variations around radiopharmaceutical dose and uptake time, respiratory gating, more complex air-fraction correction, and compartmental modeling approaches, require consideration. The tech-

niques used here acknowledge the challenges of such imaging, with the methods recognized as robust (25). We have been able to make significant survival observations using these routine  $[^{18}F]FDG$  PET/CT measurements.

## CONCLUSION

High [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake in background, normal-appearing lung positively correlated with mortality and can combine with ILD-GAP index for improved prognostication as a clinically needed biomarker to stratify patients' risk of SSc-ILD. We present further evidence that high [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake positively correlates with mortality.

## DISCLOSURE

The Institute of Nuclear Medicine receives funding for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis research from GSK (CRT115549) Research and Development, Stevenage, U.K. This work was undertaken at UCLH/UCL, which received a proportion of the funding from the U.K.'s Department of Health's NIHR Biomedical Research Centre's funding scheme. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## **KEY POINTS**

**QUESTION:** Can [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT metrics improve the ability of the ILD-GAP score to predict survival in patients with SSc-ILD?

**PERTINENT FINDINGS:** This prospective, cohort study recorded [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT and PFT metrics in 45 patients with SSC-ILD and found that high pulmonary [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG uptake in morphologically normal lung tissue (SUV<sub>min</sub>) was independently associated with survival (P = 0.027). SUV<sub>min</sub> enhanced the prognostic value of the ILD-GAP score when incorporated into a modified ILD-GAP index, significantly improving risk stratification (log-rank text, P = 0.002). Additionally, elevated SUV<sub>max</sub> was associated with increased mortality.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR PATIENT CARE:** [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT may provide a clinically needed biomarker to stratify patients' risk and facilitate prompt access to second-line treatments for patients with SSc-ILD.

## REFERENCES

- Pope JE, Denton CP, Johnson SR, Fernandez-Codina A, Hudson M, Nevskaya T. State-of-the-art evidence in the treatment of systemic sclerosis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* 2023;19:212–226.
- Volkmann ER, Andréasson K, Smith V. Systemic sclerosis. Lancet. 2023;401: 304–318.
- Steen VD, Medsger TA. Changes in causes of death in systemic sclerosis, 1972–2002. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:940–944.
- Benan M, Hande I, Gul O. The natural course of progressive systemic sclerosis patients with interstitial lung involvement. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2007;26:349–354.
- Konopka KE, Myers JL. Interstitial lung disease pathology in systemic sclerosis. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis. 2021;13:1759720X211032437.
- Lafyatis R, Valenzi E. Assessment of disease outcome measures in systemic sclerosis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2022;18:527–541.
- Suliman YA, Dobrota R, Huscher D, et al. Brief report: pulmonary function tests—high rate of false-negative results in the early detection and screening of scleroderma-related interstitial lung disease. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2015;67: 3256–3261.
- Hoffmann-Vold AM, Aaløkken TM, Lund MB, et al. Predictive value of serial high-resolution computed tomography analyses and concurrent lung function tests in systemic sclerosis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* 2015;67:2205–2212.
- Groves AM, Win T, Screaton NJ, et al. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and diffuse parenchymal lung disease: implications from initial experience with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/ CT. J Nucl Med. 2009;50:538–545.
- Win T, Thomas BA, Lambrou T, et al. Areas of normal pulmonary parenchyma on HRCT exhibit increased FDG PET signal in IPF patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:337–342.
- Chen DL, Schiebler ML, Goo JM, van Beek EJR. PET imaging approaches for inflammatory lung diseases: current concepts and future directions. *Eur J Radiol.* 2017;86:371–376.
- Nobashi T, Kubo T, Nakamoto Y, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake in less affected lung field provides prognostic stratification in patients with interstitial lung disease. *J Nucl Med.* 2016;57:1899–1904.
- Justet A, Laurent-Bellue A, Thabut G, et al. [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET/CT predicts progression-free survival in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Respir Res.* 2017;18:74.
- Win T, Screaton NJ, Porter JC, et al. Pulmonary <sup>18</sup>F-FDG uptake helps refine current risk stratification in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2018;45:806–815.
- Uehara T, Takeno M, Hama M, et al. Deep-inspiration breath-hold <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET/ CT is useful for assessment of connective tissue disease associated interstitial pneumonia. *Mod Rheumatol.* 2016;26:121–127.
- Jacquelin V, Mekinian A, Brillet PY, et al. FDG-PET/CT in the prediction of pulmonary function improvement in nonspecific interstitial pneumonia. A pilot study. *Eur J Radiol.* 2016;85:2200–2205.
- Bellando-Randone S, Tartarelli L, Cavigli E, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron-emission tomography/CT and lung involvement in systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2019;78:577–578.
- Peelen DM, Zwezerijnen BGJC, Nossent EJ, et al. The quantitative assessment of interstitial lung disease with positron emission tomography scanning in systemic sclerosis patients. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2020;59:1407–1415.

- Ledoult E, Morelle M, Soussan M, et al. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG positron emission tomography scanning in systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease: a pilot study. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2021;23:76.
- Bastos AL, Ferreira GA, Mamede M, et al. PET/CT and inflammatory mediators in systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease. *J Bras Pneumol.* 2022;48: e20210329.
- Ryerson CJ, Vittinghoff E, Ley B, et al. Predicting survival across chronic interstitial lung disease: the ILD-GAP model. *Chest.* 2014;145:723–728.
- Proudman SM, Stevens WM, Sahhar J, Celermajer D. Pulmonary arterial hypertension in systemic sclerosis: the need for early detection and treatment. *Intern Med J.* 2007;37:485–494.
- Marsboom G, Wietholt C, Haney CR, et al. Lung <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography for diagnosis and monitoring of pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2012;185:670–679.
- 24. Zhao L, Ashek A, Wang L, et al. Heterogeneity in lung <sup>18</sup>FDG uptake in pulmonary arterial hypertension: potential of dynamic <sup>18</sup>FDG positron emission tomography with kinetic analysis as a bridging biomarker for pulmonary vascular remodeling targeted treatments. *Circulation*. 2013;128:1214–1224.
- Chen DL, Ballout S, Chen L, et al. Consensus recommendations on the use of <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in lung disease. *J Nucl Med.* 2020;61:1701–1707.
- 26. Porter JC, Ganeshan B, Win T, et al. [<sup>18</sup>F]FDG PET signal correlates with markers of neo-angiogenesis in patients with fibrotic interstitial lung disease who underwent lung biopsy: implication for the use of PET/CT in diffuse lung diseases. J Nucl Med. 2024;65:617–622.
- Bergmann C, Distler JHW, Treutlein C, et al. <sup>68</sup>Ga-FAPI-04 PET-CT for molecular assessment of fibroblast activation and risk evaluation in systemic sclerosisassociated interstitial lung disease: a single-centre, pilot study. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 2021;3:e185–e194.
- Pokeerbux MR, Giovannelli J, Dauchet L, et al. Survival and prognosis factors in systemic sclerosis: data of a French multicenter cohort, systematic review, and meta-analysis of the literature. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2019;21:86.
- Goh NS, Desai SR, Veeraraghavan S, et al. Interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: a simple staging system. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2008;177:1248–1254.
- Kreuter M, Hoffmann-Vold AM, Matucci-Cerinic M, et al. Impact of lung function and baseline clinical characteristics on patient-reported outcome measures in systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2023;62:SI43–SI53.
- Tashkin DP, Volkmann ER, Tseng CH, et al. Relationship between quantitative radiographic assessments of interstitial lung disease and physiological and clinical features of systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2016;75:374–381.
- Kowal-Bielecka O, Fransen J, Avouac J, et al.; EUSTAR Coauthors. Update of EULAR recommendations for the treatment of systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2017;76:1327–1339.
- 33. King TE Jr, Tooze JA, Schwarz MI, Brown KR, Cherniack RM. Predicting survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: scoring system and survival model. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2001;164:1171–1181.
- Du Bois RM, Weycker D, Albera C, et al. Ascertainment of individual risk of mortality for patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2011;184:459–466.
- 35. Khanna D, Nagaraja V, Tseng CH, et al. Predictors of lung function decline in scleroderma-related interstitial lung disease based on high-resolution computed tomography: implications for cohort enrichment in systemic sclerosis-associated interstitial lung disease trials. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2015;17:372.