CD38-Targeted ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-Daratumumab PET of Myeloma: Immuno-PET Impacting Clinical Care

Gary A. Ulaner^{1,2}, Jason Lewis³, and Ola Landgren⁴

¹Molecular Imaging and Therapy, Hoag Family Cancer Institute, Irvine, California; ²Radiology and Translational Genomics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California; ³Radiology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, New York; and ⁴Division of Myeloma, University of Miami, Miami, Florida

Currently, the determination of disease burden in multiple myeloma is suboptimal. Myeloma cells may not secrete abnormal immunoglobulins or free light chains, limiting blood and urinary analysis (1). Imaging by radiography and MRI is limited, and approximately 30% of myeloma lesions are not appreciable by ¹⁸F-FDG PET (2). Bone marrow biopsies are also limited by the limited sites that can be sampled (3). Thus, better methods of detecting, localizing, and quantitating myeloma cells are needed.

Here, we report on a 76-y-old man with multiple myeloma who experienced new biochemical progression after stem cell transplantation. A masked bone marrow biopsy showed no evidence of myeloma cells. ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was ordered to measure tumor burden and determine whether systemic therapy was appropriate; however, no lesions were visualized by ¹⁸F-FDG PET (Fig. 1).

He was accrued into a phase II trial (NCT04814615) of CD38-targeted immuno-PET with ⁸⁹Zr-deferoxamine (DFO)-



Received Dec. 18, 2024; revision accepted Jan. 6, 2025.



FIGURE 1. (A) ¹⁸F-FDG maximum-intensity projection of 76-y-old patient with biochemically relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma is without abnormal foci of disease. (B) In contrast, ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-daratumumab maximum-intensity projection, 7 d after tracer administration, demonstrates more than 100 abnormal foci in head, neck, chest, abdomen, pelvis, and extremities (arrows). (C–E) Sagittal ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-daratumumab PET (C), CT (D), and ⁸⁹Zr-DFO-daratumumab PET/CT (E) demonstrate abnormal foci corresponding to osseous structures, representing non-¹⁸F-FDG-avid osseous myeloma lesions.

DISCLOSURE

This research was funded in part through NIH R01 CA248398 (Gary Ulaner and Ola Landgren) and the James & Pamela Muzzy Endowed Chair (Gary Ulaner). Ola Landgren is supported by a Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center NCI core grant (P30 CA 240139) and by the Rising Tide Foundation, Leukemia & Lymphoma Society, Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation (MMRF), International Myeloma Foundation (IMF), Paula and Rodger Riney Foundation, Tow Foundation, Perelman Family Foundation, Myeloma Solutions Fund, and Cannon Guzy Family Fund. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

- Chawla SS, Kumar SK, Dispenzieri A, et al. Clinical course and prognosis of nonsecretory multiple myeloma. *Eur J Haematol.* 2015;95:57–64.
- Hillengass J, Landgren O. Challenges and opportunities of novel imaging techniques in monoclonal plasma cell disorders: imaging "early myeloma." *Leuk Lymphoma*. 2013;54:1355–1363.
- Mailankody S, Korde N, Lesokhin AM, et al. Minimal residual disease in multiple myeloma: bringing the bench to the bedside. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2015;12:286–295.
- Nooka AK, Kaufman JL, Hofmeister CC, et al. Daratumumab in multiple myeloma. *Cancer.* 2019;125:2364–2382.
- Ulaner GA, Sobol NB, O'Donoghue JA, et al. CD38-targeted immuno-PET of multiple myeloma: from xenograft models to first-in-human imaging. *Radiology*. 2020;295: 606–615.

For correspondence or reprints, contact Gary A Ulaner (gary.ulaner@hoag.org). Published online Jan. 30, 2025.

COPYRIGHT © 2025 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.124.269393