Journal of Nuclear Medicine, published on May 11, 2023 as doi:10.2967/jnumed.123.265570

Molecular Imaging of Pulmonary Inflammation: Claiming That Vaping Is More Harmful Than Smoking Is Unsupported

TO THE EDITOR: We read with interest the recent pilot study by Wetherill et al. (*1*). The authors used ^{18}F-6-(1/2)(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methylpyridin-2-amine (^{18}F-NOS) PET imaging to quantify inducible nitric oxide synthase expression to characterize oxidative stress and inflammation in the lungs of 5 electronic cigarette (EC) users, 5 tobacco cigarette (TC) smokers, and 5 controls who had never smoked or vaped. PET imaging showed much greater ^{18}F-NOS nondisplaceable binding potential in the lungs of EC users than in TC smokers, but contrary to expectations, no difference between TC smokers and controls was found.

The reported absence of difference in ^{18}F-NOS nondisplaceable binding potential between TC smokers and controls is inconsistent with the suggestion given by enhanced nondisplaceable binding potential on ^{18}F-NOS imaging that there is oxidative stress and inflammation in the lungs, given that smoking causes both inflammatory responses and oxidative stress. This issue renders interpretation of the study's findings invalid. In consideration of the very small sample size and low reproducibility of ^{18}F-NOS PET imaging, the likelihood of chance findings is very high. There would have been more confidence in the interpretation if former smokers had been included in the study design; however, this was not done. Important confounders, such as allergies of the upper respiratory tract with inducible nitric oxide synthase upregulation and high levels of exhaled nitric oxide (*2*) and prior and present exposure to tobacco smoking among EC users (*3*)—who are typically either former smokers or dual users—were not taken into consideration. As it is impossible to decouple the lung health impact of EC aerosol emissions from prior tobacco smoke exposure, only long-term follow-up of exclusive EC users who have never smoked TCs in their life would have been a better-suited study design to verify potential harm caused by EC use. In a 3.5-y prospective clinical trial, daily exclusive EC users who had never smoked TCs did not exhibit any increase in exhaled nitric oxide (*4*).

Additionally, given the cross-sectional design of the study, the observed correlation between EC use and improved ^{18}F-NOS PET imaging does not infer causation.

The results of the study are inconsistent with the evidence that cigarette smoking reduces, not increases, inducible nitric oxide synthase expression and NO production from lung epithelial cells (*5*), as well as with the evidence that smoking is consistently linked to low levels of exhaled nitric oxide that return to normal after smoking is stopped (*6–8*).

Therefore, this pilot study does not support the argument that vaping is more harmful than smoking, and it contradicts clinical evidence showing that ECs may have some benefits in minimizing the harm caused by cigarette smoke and are unlikely to cause serious respiratory issues (*3,4,9*).

COPYRIGHT © 2023 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

DISCLOSURE

Riccardo Polosa has received grants from U-BIOPRED, AIR-PROM, the Integral Rheumatology and Immunology Specialists Network (IRIS), the Foundation for a Smoke Free World, Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline, CV Therapeutics, NeuroSearch A/S, Sandoz, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novartis, Arbi Group Srl., Duska Therapeutics, Forest Laboratories, and Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca (MUR) Bando PNRR 3277/2021 (CUP E63C22000900006) and 341/2022 (CUP E63C22002080006), funded by NextGenerationEU, the European Union (EU) economic recovery package. He is founder of the Center for Tobacco Prevention and Treatment (CPCT) at the University of Catania and of the Center of Excellence for the Acceleration of Harm Reduction at the same university. He receives consultancy fees from Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Duska Therapeutics, Forest Laboratories, CV Therapeutics, and Sermo Inc. He is being paid textbook royalties from Elsevier. He is also involved in a patent application for ECLAT Srl. He is a pro bono scientific advisor for Lega Italiana Anti Fumo (LIAF) and the International Network of Nicotine Consumers Organizations (INNCO), and he is the chair of the European Technical Committee for Standardization on "Requirements and Test Methods for Emissions of Electronic Cigarettes" (CEN/TC 437; WG4). Stefano Palmucci has received personal consulting fees or speaker fees from Boehringer Ingelheim and F. Hoffmann La Roche Ltd. outside the submitted work; is working in the scientific committee of the research project RF 2019-12371462 "Model for Optimized Implementation of Early Lung Cancer Detection: Prospective Evaluation of Preventive Lung Health," promoted by "Ministero della Salute" (Italy); has received consultancy fees from Elma Research srl (Milano, Italy); and has received support from Bracco Imaging SpA and Bayer Schering for congress registrations and congress accommodations/travels. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Wetherill RR, Doot RK, Young AJ, et al. Molecular imaging of pulmonary inflammation in electronic and combustible cigarette users: a pilot study. *J Nucl Med.* 2023;64:797–802.
2. Abdullah Alwi AH, Zahedi FD, Husain S, Wan Hamizan AK, Abdullah B. Diagnostic value and clinical application of nasal fractional exhaled nitric oxide in subjects with allergic rhinitis. *Am J Rhinol Allergy.* 2023;37:307–312.
3. Polosa R, O'Leary R, Tashkin D, Emma R, Caruso M. The effect of e-cigarette aerosol emissions on respiratory health: a narrative review. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2019;13:899–915.
4. Polosa R, Cibella F, Caponnetto P, et al. Health impact of E-cigarettes: a prospective 3.5-year study of regular daily users who have never smoked. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:13825.
5. Hoyt JC, Robbins RA, Habib M, et al. Cigarette smoke decreases inducible nitric oxide synthase in lung epithelial cells. *Exp Lung Res.* 2003;29:17–28.
6. Travers J, Marsh S, Aldington S, et al. Reference ranges for exhaled nitric oxide derived from a random community survey of adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2007;176:238–242.
7. Robbins RA, Millatmal T, Lassi K, Rennard S, Daughton D. Smoking cessation is associated with an increase in exhaled nitric oxide. *Chest.* 1997;112:313–318.
8. Campagna D, Cibella F, Caponnetto P, et al. Changes in breathomics from a 1-year randomized smoking cessation trial of electronic cigarettes. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2016;46:698–706.
9. Morjaria JB, Campagna D, Caci G, O'Leary R, Polosa R. Health impact of e-cigarettes and heated tobacco products in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: current and emerging evidence. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2022;16:1213–1226.

Riccardo Polosa*
Lucia Spicuzza
Stefano Palmucci
**University of Catania*
Catania, Italy
E-mail: polosa@unict.it

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.123.265533

Reply: Molecular Imaging of Pulmonary Inflammation: Claiming That Vaping Is More Harmful Than Smoking Is Unsupported

REPLY: We thank Drs. Polosa, Spicuzza, and Palmucci for their interest and comments on our study. The team's comments highlight evidence supporting traditional combustible cigarettes as a proinflammatory phenotype and the potential of electronic cigarettes for harm reduction as a tool for smoking cessation. Harm reduction represents an important strategy in public health, because smoking combustible nicotine cigarettes remains the largest preventable cause of death worldwide (*1,2*).

In our innovative pilot study, we found increased radiotracer binding of ^{18}F-6-(1/2)(2-fluoropropyl)-4-methylpyridin-2-amine (^{18}F-NOS) in the lungs of electronic cigarette users compared with traditional combustible cigarette users (*3*). This unanticipated finding led us to conclude that electronic cigarette use leads to unique physiologic changes in the lungs, distinct from combustible cigarettes, including relatively increased inflammation in younger, otherwise healthy individuals. We neither concluded nor implied that vaping was more harmful than combustible cigarettes nor measured metrics of harm such as death or contribution to other diseases such as cancer, heart disease, or stroke.

Although there is evidence that electronic cigarettes can achieve cigarette quit rates superior to those for the nicotine patch (*4*), the long-term public health effects of electronic cigarettes, first introduced in the United States and the European Union in 2006, remain unclear (*1,5*). Given the decades of public health research documenting the various adverse outcomes that manifest after years of combustible cigarette smoking, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, and heart disease (*6–8*), it is important to acknowledge that electronic cigarettes are not harmless and could have long-term adverse health effects that are distinct from those associated with combustible cigarette use.

Electronic cigarettes are not unique to individuals trying to quit or who have quit smoking cigarettes. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention report that 36.9% of individuals who vape also smoke combustible cigarettes and that 23.6% have never smoked combustible cigarettes, with the remaining 39.5% being former smokers (*9*). Electronic cigarette use among youth in the United States is alarming, with an estimated 2.14 million high school students and 380,000 middle school students reporting use (*10*). A harm reduction strategy for most of these individuals is not applicable; there is only the potential for harm. Thus, our study aimed to examine those who exclusively vape.

How electronic cigarette use alters cardiopulmonary physiology and the local pulmonary cellular milieu remains unclear. In agreement with our study, there is growing evidence that electronic cigarette use results in a proinflammatory phenotype (*11–15*). We carefully excluded subjects with asthma or allergies and those taking medications that could temper inflammation. Additionally, we did not observe a decreased PET signal in conventional smokers or suggest that combustible cigarette use results in diminished pulmonary inflammation.

With the epidemic rates of electronic cigarette use among youth continuing to rise and most adult users not using electronic cigarettes for smoking cessation, the long-term public health consequences of this relatively new behavior cannot be dismissed because of the lack of long-term data.

REFERENCES

1. *WHO Report on the Global Tobacco Epidemic 2021: Addressing New and Emerging Products.* World Health Organization; 2021. License CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
2. *The Health Consequences of Smoking: 50 Years of Progress—A Report of the Surgeon General.* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2014:1–943.
3. Wetherill RR, Doot RK, Young AJ, et al. Molecular imaging of pulmonary inflammation in electronic and combustible cigarette users: a pilot study. *J Nucl Med.* 2023;64:797–802.
4. Hajek P, Phillips-Waller A, Przulj D, et al. A randomized trial of E-cigarettes versus nicotine-replacement therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380:629–637.
5. Hajek P, Etter J-F, Benowitz N, Eissenberg T, McRobbie H. Electronic cigarettes: review of use, content, safety, effects on smokers, and potential for harm and benefit. *Addiction.* 2014;109:1801–1810.
6. Løkke A, Lange P, Scharling H, Fabricius P, Vestbo J. Developing COPD: a 25 year follow up study of the general population. *Thorax.* 2006;61:935–939.
7. Tindle HA, Stevenson Duncan M, Greevy RA, et al. Lifetime smoking history and risk of lung cancer: results from the Framingham Heart Study. *JNCI. J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2018;110:1201–1207.
8. Ding N, Shah AM, Blaha MJ, Chang PP, Rosamond WD, Matsushita K. Cigarette smoking, cessation, and risk of heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2022;79:2298–2305.
9. Cornelius ME, Loretan CG, Wang TW, Jamal A, Homa DM. Tobacco product use among adults: United States, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2022;71:397–405.
10. Park-Lee E, Ren C, Cooper M, Cornelius M, Jamal A, Cullen KA. Tobacco product use among middle and high school students: United States, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2022;71:1429–1435.
11. Higham A, Rattray NJ, Dewhurst JA, et al. Electronic cigarette exposure triggers neutrophil inflammatory responses. *Respir Res.* 2016;17:56.
12. Singh KP, Lawyer G, Muthumalage T, et al. Systemic biomarkers in electronic cigarette users: implications for noninvasive assessment of vaping-associated pulmonary injuries. *ERJ Open Res.* 2019;5:00182–02019.
13. Song M-A, Reisinger SA, Freudenheim JL, et al. Effects of electronic cigarette constituents on the human lung: a pilot clinical trial. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila).* 2020;13:145–152.
14. Moshensky A, Brand CS, Alhaddad H, et al. Effects of mango and mint pod-based e-cigarette aerosol inhalation on inflammatory states of the brain, lung, heart, and colon in mice. *eLife.* 2022;11:e67621.
15. Sharma A, Lee J, Fonseca AG, et al. E-cigarettes compromise the gut barrier and trigger inflammation. *iScience.* 2021;24:102035.

Reagan R. Wetherill*
Jacob Dubroff
**University of Pennsylvania*
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
E-mail: rweth@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.123.265570