## Tumor sink effect in <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET: Myth or Reality?

Bastiaan M. Privé<sup>1</sup>, Steffie M.B. Peters<sup>1</sup>, Maike J.M. Uijen<sup>1</sup>, Marcel J.R. Janssen<sup>1</sup>, Willemijn A.M. van Gemert<sup>1</sup>, Michael C. Kreissl<sup>2</sup>, Samer Ezzidin<sup>3</sup>, Mark W. Konijnenberg<sup>1</sup>, James Nagarajah<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Division of Nuclear Medicine, Dept. of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Dept. of Nuclear Medicine, University of Saarland, Homburg, Germany

## **Corresponding Author:**

Bastiaan M. Privé MD Dept. of Radiology & Nuclear Medicine Radboudumc, Nijmegen Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands Tel. No. : +31 (0)24 3090031 Email: <u>bastiaan.prive@radboudumc.nl</u> ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4056-9117

Key words: Tumor sink effect, prostate cancer, PSMA, radioligand therapy

**TO THE EDITOR:** We read with great interest the recent article by Gafita et al. published in *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine (1)*. They observed that patients with very high tumor load showed a significantly lower standardized uptake value (SUV) of healthy organs on a <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA PET scan, suggesting for a tumor sink effect. A comparable observation was also described by Gaertner et al. (2). These authors postulate that a similar effect might occur with PSMA-targeted radioligand therapy. However, dissimilar results regarding the tumor sink effect have also been reported (*3*).

While the results of Gafita et al. may support higher treatment activities of <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA for those with very high-volume disease ( $\geq$  1355ml), there were actually no significant differences in SUVmean of the healthy organs between very low volume (< 25ml) and high volume disease (< 1355ml). These results are in line to what we recently observed in a therapeutic <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA study in low-volume metastatic hormone sensitive prostate cancer patients (4,5). We saw that the dosimetry results based on post-therapeutic SPECT imaging in patients with maximum ten prostate cancer metastases - or very low-volume metastatic following Gafita et al. definition - were comparable to previously reported results in high-volume metastatic prostate cancer patients (*6-8*). This suggests that the sink effect in a low-volume metastatic disease setting may be of less concern than it is commonly anticipated.

There are also important limitations to Gafita's study which needs to be considered and also applies to the previous work investigating the sink effect. The authors did not did not take into account tracer pharmacokinetics or performed dosimetry, but based their results on a single time-point SUV as a surrogate for radiation doses. This has limited accuracy to estimate the radiation dose for <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA, particularly as uptake in healthy organs and tumor occurs over a prolonged time (*5,9*). The observed effect could thus be related to an early differential distribution of tracer to tumors in a very high-volume setting ( $\geq$  1355ml), which does not exist on later timepoints. Moreover, the precursor used for PSMA imaging (e.g. PSMA-11) and PSMA therapy (e.g. PSMA-617) generally differ which may confound the outcomes. The study is also prone to bias due to its retrospective multicenter design with varying local scan protocols. Therefore, the differences between very low- and high-volume disease may have differed (or not) using a different study strategy.

All in all, we do believe there is a relevant sink effect but want to emphasize that the present data suggest that patients with (very) low-volume metastatic disease or oligometastases can safely benefit of PSMA radioligand therapy, and should not be excluded following this recent report. A prospective study with low-oligometastatic volume and high-volume disease in a homogenous cohort of patients that includes dosimetry is awaited. Moreover, a post-hoc analyses of the VISION data that compares the adverse events (e.g. xerostomia) in low-volume and high-volume metastatic patients may lead to a better understanding. As a final note, the definition of high- and low-volume used in the studies also differ to what uro-oncologists think of low- and high-volumes as they generally follow the CHAARTED or LATTITUDE criteria (10). We therefore urge following studies to (also) report based on criteria that are more commonly used.

## **References:**

**1.** Gafita A, Wang H, Robertson A, et al. Tumor sink effect in <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET: Myth or Reality? *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2021:jnumed.121.261906.

**2.** Gaertner FC, Halabi K, Ahmadzadehfar H, et al. Uptake of PSMA-ligands in normal tissues is dependent on tumor load in patients with prostate cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:55094-55103.

**3.** Werner RA, Bundschuh RA, Bundschuh L, et al. Semiquantitative Parameters in PSMA-Targeted PET Imaging with [(18)F]DCFPyL: Impact of Tumor Burden on Normal Organ Uptake. *Molecular imaging and biology*. 2020;22:190-197.

**4.** Privé BM, Peters SMB, Muselaers CHJ, et al. Lutetium-177-PSMA-617 in low-volume hormone sensitive metastatic prostate cancer, a prospective pilot study. *Clinical Cancer Research*. 2021:clincanres.4298.2020.

**5.** Peters SMB, Privé BM, de Bakker M, et al. Intra-therapeutic dosimetry of [177Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 in low-volume hormone-sensitive metastatic prostate cancer patients and correlation with treatment outcome. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.* 2021.

**6.** Violet J, Jackson P, Ferdinandus J, et al. Dosimetry of (177)Lu-PSMA-617 in Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Correlations Between Pretherapeutic Imaging and Whole-Body Tumor Dosimetry with Treatment Outcomes. *J Nucl Med.* 2019;60:517-523.

**7.** Özkan A, Uçar B, Seymen H, Yildiz Yarar Y, Falay FO, Demirkol MO. Posttherapeutic Critical Organ Dosimetry of Extensive 177Lu-PSMA Inhibitor Therapy With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: One Center Results. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2020;45:288-291.

**8.** Delker A, Fendler WP, Kratochwil C, et al. Dosimetry for (177)Lu-DKFZ-PSMA-617: a new radiopharmaceutical for the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2016;43:42-51.

**9.** Jackson PA, Hofman MS, Hicks RJ, Scalzo M, Violet J. Radiation Dosimetry in <sup>177</sup>Lu-PSMA-617 Therapy Using a Single Posttreatment SPECT/CT Scan: A Novel Methodology to Generate Time- and Tissue-Specific Dose Factors. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*. 2020;61:1030-1036.

**10.** Cornford P, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, et al. EAU-EANM-ESTRO-ESUR-SIOG Guidelines on Prostate Cancer. Part II—2020 Update: Treatment of Relapsing and Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *European Urology.* 2021;79:263-282.