

Title: At last, 18F-FDG for Inflammation and Infection!

Authors:

1. Richard L. Wahl, M.D., FACR, FACNP, Washington University in St. Louis, School of Medicine
2. Vasken Dilsizian, MD, FSNMMI, University Maryland School of Medicine
3. Christopher J. Palestro, MD, FSNMMI, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra Northwell Hempstead, NY

Corresponding author: Richard L. Wahl, M.D., FACR, FACNP Email: rwahl@wustl.edu

Washington University in St Louis, School of Medicine, 510 S. Kingshighway Blvd, St. Louis, MO 63110

Running title: At last, 18F-FDG for Inflammation and Infection!

Word count: 1585 words

At last, ¹⁸F-FDG for Inflammation and Infection!

Several decades after ¹⁸F-FDG was first used as a radiotracer, the time has now come for the United States to embrace ¹⁸F-FDG as the molecular imaging test of choice for many inflammatory and infectious indications, (including sarcoidosis, fever of unknown origin, and musculoskeletal infection) as the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) retired their National Coverage Decision (NCD) on ¹⁸F-FDG PET effective January 1, 2021. Our European colleagues, in what could be a prequel to this editorial, made a similar argument regarding ¹⁸F-FDG, particularly for fever of unknown origin (FUO),¹ and argued that efforts should be made to secure reimbursement for ¹⁸F-FDG imaging to minimize human suffering and avoid unnecessary and costly procedures.¹ Indeed, the SNMMI and EANM provided joint guidance for the use of ¹⁸F-FDG in inflammation and infection nearly a decade ago.²

¹⁸F-FDG was first introduced as a radiotracer in 1976 for measuring glucose metabolism in determining regional brain function.^{1,3,8} The ability of ¹⁸F-FDG to localize in the myocardium and in tumors led to its clinical deployment in myocardial viability and in tumor imaging.^{4,5} As whole-body PET imaging and fusion with CT became available a decade later, it became apparent that ¹⁸F-FDG rapidly detects inflammation and infection in man, with a performance comparable to those of in vitro labeled leukocytes and gallium-67.^{6,7} Many studies have subsequently demonstrated the ability of ¹⁸F-FDG to identify sites of inflammation and infection.^{1,9,10} Fast-forward decades after its introduction as a tracer, ¹⁸F-FDG has been employed as a tool to detect active inflammatory disorders and monitor response to therapeutic interventions.^{1,11,12}

Though ¹⁸F-FDG had shown a great deal of promise in detecting infectious disorders, due in part to the high expression of glucose transporters (GLUT) in inflammatory cells and uptake by infectious organisms,^{1,13,14} CMS was measured in accepting the plethora of scientific data in this area. In 2004, CMS agreed to cover use of ¹⁸F-FDG for differential diagnosis of fronto-temporal dementia from Alzheimer's disease under specific requirements for its use in CMS-approved practical clinical trial focused on utility of ¹⁸F-FDG for diagnosis of dementing neurodegenerative diseases.¹⁵ In 2008, CMS received a formal, complete request to reconsider its de facto national non-coverage of ¹⁸F-FDG in lieu of bone, in-vitro labeled leukocyte, and gallium-67 scintigraphy for chronic osteomyelitis, periprosthetic hip infection, and FUO.¹⁶ CMS determined, however, that at that time, they viewed the evidence as inadequate to conclude that ¹⁸F-FDG for the requested indications improves health outcomes in the Medicare populations, and therefore determined that ¹⁸F-FDG was not reasonable and necessary under section 1862(a)(1)(A) of the Social Security Act.¹⁶

The scientific evidence supporting the utility of ¹⁸F-FDG in inflammation and infection has increased dramatically since 2008. Based on these now overwhelmingly compelling data, SNMMI leadership again approached CMS with a request for coverage of ¹⁸F-FDG in inflammation/infection. In the 2021 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) Final Rule, CMS finally retired the NCD for the non-coverage of FDG PET for Inflammation and Infection (NCD Manual §220.6.16).¹⁷ This was a herculean effort led by the content experts and leaders from SNMMI and supported by ASNC, and was the culmination of multiple meetings, phone

calls and emails over the last several years. Using the systematic review commissioned by the SNMMI for the development of Appropriate Use Criteria for the Use of Nuclear Medicine in Musculoskeletal Infection Imaging, and other recent multidisciplinary guidelines and consensus statements on the role of ^{18}F -FDG in cardiac sarcoidosis, developed collaboratively between European and American medical societies and other relevant guidelines, the content experts convinced the Coverage and Analysis Group (CAG) at CMS that the existing policy of not covering ^{18}F -FDG and inflammation and infection was untenable. As a result, CMS removed the national non-coverage policy and directed that coverage determinations for ^{18}F -FDG for Inflammation and Infection would be made locally by the Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs).¹⁷

Now that MACs are required to cover ^{18}F -FDG for inflammation and infection according to reasonable and necessary guidelines, we have endeavored to ensure that they are aware of the retirement of the old NCD and to gauge their willingness to monitor and process claims for a period of one to two years or to create a local coverage determination (LCD). Only one of the five MACs that we have communicated with thus far had a strong inclination to start working on an LCD immediately. Two MACs requested additional information, and two stated that before discussing an LCD they were going to take a wait, watch, and monitor approach as they gather additional information about the clinical indications for which these claims will be submitted. Effectively, this means that ^{18}F -FDG will be covered as reasonable and necessary for these inflammation/infection indications

Notably, two MACs were specifically interested in the cost-effectiveness of ^{18}F -FDG. In an era favoring cost transparency this type of request was not at all surprising to us. Fortunately, the data are in our favor. ^{18}F -FDG PET is a cost-effective imaging modality, avoiding unnecessary investigations and reducing the duration of hospitalization. In a Spanish study of FUO, the mean cost per patient of the diagnostic procedures preceding ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT was €11,167, including an average of 11 days of hospitalization and outpatient visits. If ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT had been performed earlier in the diagnostic process, €5471 per patient would have been saved on diagnostic tests and hospitalization days.^{18,19}

Regardless of the different approaches taken by the MACs, the SNMMI has vowed to work closely with these groups, ensuring that new guidelines, AUC's, and other relevant data constitute the basis of their decision-making process. As additional evidence is collected, the society will endeavor to work with CMS to expand coverage for other non-oncologic PET indications as well. We are pleased that through the work of many over several decades, ^{18}F -FDG for inflammation and infection is now increasingly available for patients covered by Medicare. Many private insurance payers follow Medicare guidance, suggesting ^{18}F -FDG should be available to even more patients with possible infections or inflammation in the not-too-distant future.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Zaghal, A., Raynor, W.Y., Seraj, S.M. et al. FDG-PET imaging to detect and characterize underlying causes of fever of unknown origin: an unavoidable path for the foreseeable future. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 46, 2–7 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-018-4164-3>
2. Jamar, Francois, John Buscombe, Arturo Chiti, Paul E. Christian, Dominique Delbeke, Kevin J. Donohoe, Ora Israel, Josep Martin-Comin, and Alberto Signore. "EANM/SNMMI guideline for 18F-FDG use in inflammation and infection." *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 54, no. 4 (2013): 647-658.
3. Ido, T., C-N. Wan, Va Casella, J. S. Fowler, A. P. Wolf, M. Reivich, and D. E. Kuhl. "Labeled 2-deoxy-D-glucose analogs. 18F-labeled 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose, 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-mannose and 14C-2-deoxy-2-fluoro-D-glucose." *Journal of Labelled Compounds and Radiopharmaceuticals* 14, no. 2 (1978): 175-183.
4. Som, P., H. L. Atkins, Do Bandoypadhyay, J. S. Fowler, R. R. MacGregor, K. Matsui, Z. H. Oster et al. "A fluorinated glucose analog, 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (F-18): nontoxic tracer for rapid tumor detection." *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* 21, no. 7 (1980): 670-675.
5. Gallagher, B. M., A. Ansari, H. Atkins, V. Casella, D. R. Christman, J. S. Fowler, T. Ido et al. "Radiopharmaceuticals XXVII. 18F-labeled 2-deoxy-2-fluoro-d-glucose as a radiopharmaceutical for measuring regional myocardial glucose metabolism in vivo: tissue distribution and imaging studies in animals." *Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 18, no. 10 (1977): 990-996.
6. Sugawara, Yoshifumi, Daniel K. Braun, Paul V. Kison, Joseph E. Russo, Kenneth R. Zasadny, and Richard L. Wahl. "Rapid detection of human infections with fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose and positron emission tomography: preliminary results." *European journal of nuclear medicine* 25, no. 9 (1998): 1238-1243.
7. Sugawara, Yoshifumi, Tomasz D. Gutowski, Susan J. Fisher, Raya S. Brown, and Richard L. Wahl. "Uptake of positron emission tomography tracers in experimental bacterial infections: a comparative biodistribution study of radiolabeled FDG, thymidine, L-methionine, 67 Ga-citrate, and 125 I-HSA." *European journal of nuclear medicine* 26, no. 4 (1999): 333-341.
8. Alavi A, Reivich M. Guest editorial: the conception of FDG-PET imaging. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2002;32:2–5. <https://doi.org/10.1053/snuc.2002.29269>.
9. Alavi A, Buchpiguel CA, Loessner A. Is there a role for FDG PET imaging in the management of patients with sarcoidosis? *J Nucl Med.* 1994;35:1650–2.
10. Larson SM. Cancer or inflammation? A Holy Grail for nuclear medicine. *J Nucl Med.* 1994;35:1653–5.

11. Zhuang H, Alavi A. 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographic imaging in the detection and monitoring of infection and inflammation. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2002;32:47-59. <https://doi.org/10.1053/snuc.2002.29278>.
12. Basu S, Zhuang H, Torigian DA, Rosenbaum J, Chen W, Alavi A. Functional imaging of inflammatory diseases using nuclear medicine techniques. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2009;39:124–45. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2008.10.006>.
13. Basu S, Chryssikos T, Moghadam-Kia S, Zhuang H, Torigian DA, Alavi A. Positron emission tomography as a diagnostic tool in infection: present role and future possibilities. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2009;39:36–51. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2008.08.004>.
14. Alavi A, Werner TJ. FDG-PET imaging to detect and characterize infectious disorders; an unavoidable path for the foreseeable future. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2017;44:417–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-016-3606-z>.
15. CMS National Coverage Determination for FDG PET for Dementia and Neurodegenerative Diseases (220.6.13)
16. Transmittal 84. Publication 100-03 Medical National Coverage Determinations. CMS Manual. <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Transmittals/Downloads/R84NCD.pdf>
17. 85 FR 84801 (Dec. 28, 2020) <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2020-12-28/pdf/2020-26815.pdf>
18. Ilse J.E. Kouijzer, Catharina M. Mulders-Manders, Chantal P. Bleeker-Rovers, Wim J.G. Oyen. Fever of Unknown Origin: the Value of FDG-PET/CT. *Semin in Nucl Med.* 2018;48(2) 100-107. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semnuclmed.2017.11.004>
19. E.M. Becerra Nakayo, A.M. García Vicente, A.M. Soriano Castrejón, J.A. Mendoza Narváez, M.P. Talavera Rubio, V.M. Poblete García, J.M. Cordero García. Analysis of cost-effectiveness in the diagnosis of fever of unknown origin and the role of 18F-FDG PET–CT: A proposal of diagnostic algorithm. *Revista Española de Medicina Nuclear e Imagen Molecular (English Edition).* 2012;31(4)178-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.remnie.2011.08.001>.