A Modular Labeling Strategy for In Vivo PET and Near-Infrared Fluorescence Imaging of Nanoparticle Tumor Targeting
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Advances in preclinical molecular imaging have generated new opportunities to noninvasively visualize the biodistribution and tumor targeting of nanoparticle therapeutics. Capitalizing on recent achievements in this area, we sought to develop an 89Zr-based labeling strategy for liposomal nanoparticles that accumulate in tumors via passive targeting mechanisms. Methods: 89Zr-labeled liposomes were prepared using 2 different approaches: click labeling and surface chelation. Pharmacokinetic and biodistribution studies, as well as PET/CT imaging of the radiolabeled nanoparticles, were performed on a mouse model of breast cancer. In addition, a dual PET/optical probe was prepared by incorporation of a near-infrared fluorophore and tested in vivo by PET and near-infrared fluorescence imaging. Results: The surface chelation approach proved to be superior in terms of radiochemical yield and stability, as well as in vivo performance. Accumulation of these liposomes in tumor peaked at 24 h after injection and was measured to be 13.7 ± 1.8 percentage injected dose per gram. The in vivo performance of this probe was not essentially perturbed by the incorporation of a near-infrared fluorophore. Conclusion: We have developed a highly modular and efficient strategy for the labeling of liposomal nanoparticles with 89Zr. In xenograft and orthotopic mouse models of breast cancer, we demonstrated that the biodistribution of these nanoparticles can be visualized by PET imaging. In combination with a near-infrared dye, these liposomal nanoparticles can serve as bimodal PET/optical imaging agents. The liposomes target malignant growth, and their bimodal features may be useful for simultaneous PET and intraoperative imaging.
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The value of nanoparticles and nanotechnology in clinical diagnostics (1), therapeutics (2,3), single-molecule (4) and single-cell (5) analysis, and systems-wide analysis (6) of clinical specimens has become virtually indispensable over the last few years. Nanomaterials are of interest for a wide array of different applications in biomedical settings because they can have extremely low detection thresholds (4) and can be used to amplify signals (7), enhance avidity (5), enhance binding (8), and translate biologic signals into measurable readouts for a variety of imaging technologies (9).

In particular, liposomal nanoparticles have been extensively exploited because of their modular structure, ease of modification, ability to encapsulate drugs and other payloads, and, importantly, efficient transport into tumors through the enhanced permeability and retention effect (10). The accumulation of liposomes via the enhanced permeability and retention effect is a noteworthy advantage in comparison to targeted therapies, since delivery of the liposomes is governed by a physical effect rather than the expression of a molecular marker. In addition, another potential mechanism for the delivery of nontargeted nanoparticles to tumors has recently been reported by which a particular subset of immune cells is partially responsible for the uptake, transport, and delivery of the nanomaterial to the cancer tissue (11). Consequently, taking advantage of these mechanisms (12–14) allows a single type of liposome to be used on fundamentally different types of cancer (3,15). On the basis of their favorable biophysical properties, some liposomal drug formulations have found clinical application in cancer therapy (16). However, variations in tumor phenotype and the heterogeneity of enhanced permeability and retention often lead to variations in therapeutic response (17,18). Therefore, the development of noninvasive screening methods could be of tremendous value in the assessment of amenability to nanotherapy for individual patients, which is still a major unmet clinical need (19,20). Molecular imaging by PET is increasingly being exploited in drug development settings as a tool to prescreen candidates in early-stage trials by use of companion imaging agents (20). The potential health and economic impact of the application of this approach in the development of nanotherapeutics is easy to grasp. In the context of liposomal therapeutics, application requires a radiolabel...
that matches their long circulation times but also a high stability of the label over long periods. A labeling approach meeting these specifications would allow visualization of biodistribution of the liposomal nanoparticles and, therefore, assessment of the treatment outcome and safety. Radiolabeled liposomes with 64Cu can be found in the literature (21), but its half-life (12.7 h) may fall short of allowing an optimal imaging window in humans. 89Zr, on the other hand, has a half-life of 78.4 h and has been successfully used for the labeling and imaging of long-circulating probes such as antibodies in humans (22).

The use of dual-modality probes combining a PET label and a near-infrared (NIR) fluorophore brings together the best of both worlds, namely the high sensitivity and tissue penetration of PET and the cellular resolution of optical imaging. Successful examples using nanoparticles (23) and 89Zr-labeled antibodies (24) prove the value of this approach.

Here, we present a modular and highly efficient labeling strategy for the preparation of 89Zr-liposomes. Specifically, we constructed 89Zr-labeled liposomes via 2 different labeling strategies. One of them uses bioorthogonal labeling to link 89Zr to the liposomes, whereas the other relies on the complexation of 89Zr on the liposome surface with chelators. Additionally, we prepared a bimodal liposome for dual PET/optical imaging by incorporation of a NIR fluorescent label. We illustrate the differences that the 2 labeling strategies cause for the pharmacokinetic profile of the particles, and we prove that the incorporation of fluorescent dyes into the lipid bilayer can be achieved while maintaining the particles’ performance.

Using xenograft and orthotopic models of breast cancer, we show that our single- and dual-modality liposomes have high target accumulation, are selective, and are well suited for PET and optical imaging techniques.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A detailed description of synthetic procedures, preparation of liposomes and radiosynthesis, and all in vitro and in vivo experiments can be found in the supplemental data (supplemental materials are available at http://jnmm.snmjournals.org). All animal experiments were done in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center and followed National Institutes of Health guidelines for animal welfare.

**RESULTS**

**Synthesis of Functional Lipids**

The formulation of dibenzoazacyclooctyne (DBCO)-L and desferrioxamine (DFO)-L (Figs. 1A and 1B, respectively) required the synthesis of 2 derivatives of the phospholipid 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSPE, 1, Supplemental Fig. 1). Reaction of DSPE with the pegylated DBCO-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester 2 and the DFO-p-benzoisothiocyanate reagent 7 furnished compounds 3 and 8 in good yield (57% and 85%, respectively). Additionally, a clickable DFO-azide construct 6 was prepared using desferrioxamine mesylate 5 and the pegylated azide N-hydroxysuccinimide ester 4 (Supplemental Fig. 1).

**Formulation of Liposomal Nanoparticles**

Nonfunctionalized liposomes composed of 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), cholesterol, and pegylated DSPE (DSPE-PEG2000) in a 1.85:1:0.15 molar ratio were prepared by adding the individual lipids to a reaction vessel in a solution of chloroform, removing the organic solvent, and adding phosphate-buffered saline, followed by sonication and centrifugation. This procedure yielded liposomal nanoparticles with a mean diameter of 100 nm and a low polydispersity (mean effective diameter [MED] ± SD: 103.4 ± 5.1 nm, polydispersity index [PDI]: 0.11 ± 0.01, n = 4), with a slightly negatively charged surface (Z potential: $-21.7 \pm 5.4$ mV, n = 3). The functionalized counterparts of the nonlabeled liposomes, DBCO-L and DFO-L, were prepared identically but had the lipids 3 and 8 added to their respective initial lipid mixtures (0.4% for DBCO-L and 0.3% for DFO-L), at the expense of DPPC (Fig. 1C). The presence of the new lipids was well tolerated, and the size and polydispersity of the resulting liposomes were comparable to those of the plain liposomes (MED_{DBCO-L}: 102.7 ± 4.6 nm, PDI_{DBCO-L}: 0.14 ± 0.02 nm, n = 6; MED_{DFO-L}: 102.3 ± 1.4 nm, PDI_{DFO-L}: 0.12 ± 0.01 nm, n = 3, Supplemental Fig. 2A). Both preparations were stable for weeks at 4°C, with no measurable

![FIGURE 1. (A and B) Strategies for labeling of liposomes with 89Zr by click labeling (A) and surface chelation (B). (C) Lipid composition of liposomes used in present work. PBS = phosphate-buffered saline.](image-url)
aggregation or loss of reactivity. The surface charge was not substantially affected either, although a slight variation toward neutrality was observed for DBCO-L (Z potential: $-22.6 \pm 3.9$ mV, $n = 4$, for DFO-L; $-15.9 \pm 3.1$ mV, $n = 2$, for DBCO-L).

**Radiolabeling and Stability of Liposomes**

The preparation of click-labeled liposomes ($^{89}$Zr-CLL, Fig. 1A) required the labeling of the bioorthogonally reactive DFO derivative 6 with $^{89}$Zr, which was obtained in 44% ± 15% yield after isolation by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Supplemental Fig. 3). The isolated labeled fragment, $^{89}$Zr-9, was then reacted with DBCO-L overnight at 30°C (Fig. 1A). Purification of $^{89}$Zr-CLL by spin filtration afforded the radiolabeled material in 14% ± 4% radiochemical yield and more than 95% purity (Fig. 2A).

The labeling process did not affect the distribution (size and PDI) of the sample, as compared with DBCO-L (MED$^{89}$Zr-CLL: 106.4 ± 7.3, PDI$^{89}$Zr-CLL: 0.12 ± 0.02, $n = 3$, Supplemental Fig. 2A). In a control experiment, nonfunctionalized liposomes did not take up or adsorb any radioactivity when incubated under the same conditions with $^{89}$Zr-9. When $^{89}$Zr-CLL was disassembled after the addition of ethanol, we were able to detect the radiolabeled clicked lipid by radio-HPLC, confirming successful bioorthogonal ligation.

The radiolabeling of liposomes by surface chelation was achieved by incubation of DFO-L (Fig. 1B) with an $^{89}$Zr-oxalate solution in phosphate-buffered saline at pH 7.1–7.4 for 4 h at 40°C and purified by spin filtration. The radiochemical yield was 80% ± 10% ($n = 7$) and the resulting liposomes, $^{89}$Zr-surface-chelation (labeled) liposomes (SCL), were radiochemically pure (Fig. 2B). No statistically significant increase in the size of the liposomes was observed after labeling, compared with precursor DFO-L (MED$^{89}$Zr-SCL: 108.5 ± 4.6, PDI$^{89}$Zr-SCL: 0.15 ± 0.02, $n = 6$, Supplemental Fig. 2A). A control experiment was performed to assess the binding of $^{89}$Zr to the surface of plain, nonfunctionalized liposomes. Incubation of a sample of these liposomes with $^{89}$Zr-oxalate at 40°C over a period of 16 h afforded less than 0.5% of activity bound to liposomes. The radiolabeled lipid was also detected by radio-HPLC analysis of a sample of disassembled liposomes. The stability of both labeled lipid preparations was assessed in serum (fetal bovine serum) by incubation of samples at 37°C for a period of 5 d (Fig. 2C). Release of activity (10% for $^{89}$Zr-SCL and 17% for $^{89}$Zr-CLL, after 24 h) was observed for both labeling strategies. At later time points, a fraction of plasma proteins with an estimated molecular weight of 40 kDa took up some of the liberated activity (<3% and <6% after 48 h and 120 h, respectively). However, it remains challenging to establish whether this effect is based on the chelation of free $^{89}$Zr by the plasma protein fraction or on lipid exchange between the liposomes and plasma proteins. Overall, however, these data indicate a high stability of the chelator on the lipid surface of both $^{89}$Zr-SCL and $^{89}$Zr-CLL (Fig. 2C).

**Pharmacokinetics and In Vivo Imaging with $^{89}$Zr-Labeled Liposomes**

In vivo evaluation of both labeled liposomes started with the measurement of their blood half-life in healthy female NCr nude mice (Fig. 3A). The weighted half-life of $^{89}$Zr-SCL proved to be markedly longer than that of $^{89}$Zr-CLL ($t_{1/2} = 7.20$ h and 1.25 h, respectively). Figure 3B shows a comparative $^{89}$Zr activity biodistribution in selected tissues after intravenous administration of the liposomes in mice bearing 4T1 breast cancer xenografts, which were chosen as a representative model of solid tumors (Supplemental Tables 1 and 2).

PET/CT imaging with $^{89}$Zr-CLL at 2 h after injection showed predominantly liver and spleen uptake. There was no substantial difference at 24 h after injection (Supplemental Fig. 4) and subsequent time points. In contrast, $^{89}$Zr-SCL PET images at first...
showed high blood-pool activity (24.3 ± 4.2 percentage injected dose per gram of tissue [%ID/g] in heart, n = 2) and strong signals from liver and spleen. At 24 h, the blood-pool signal was moderate (6.2 ± 0.4 %ID/g in heart, n = 4), but tumor accumulation was considerably higher. In accordance with the biodistribution results, spleen and liver tissues showed the highest uptake at all time points. Quantitative data obtained from PET scans agreed well with the biodistribution results. Figure 3C shows that the overall tumor uptake at 24 h was high, measuring 14.1 ± 1.6 %ID/g (n = 4). Later time points showed blood activity clearance but persistent PET signal in tumor (12.7 ± 1.0 and 10.2 ± 0.5 %ID/g, n = 2, at 48 h and 120 h, respectively).

**Histology**

Ex vivo analysis by autoradiography and histologic staining of tumor sections (excised at 24 h after administration of ⁸⁹Zr-SCL) was performed to elucidate the regional distribution of the radio-tracer (Supplemental Fig. 5). Hematoxylin and eosin staining (Supplemental Fig. 5A) revealed an inner region characterized by a reduced number of nuclei and viable cells, consistent with a developing necrotic core (Supplemental Fig. 5D), as opposed to the external areas, which showed a higher cell density and normal appearance (Supplemental Fig. 5E). The inner region did not stain for IBA-1 (ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1, Supplemental Fig. 5B), which is specifically expressed in macrophages and microglia, and had a low accumulation of ⁸⁹Zr-SCL (Supplemental Fig. 5C).

**Bimodal Imaging with Cy5/⁸⁹Zr-Labeled Liposomes**

A ready-to-label liposome incorporating the NIR dye Cy5 analog 1,1-diododecyl-3,3,3,3-tetramethyl-indodicarbocyanine-5,5-disulfonic acid (DiIC12 (5)-DS), namely DiIC@DFO-L, was labeled with ⁸⁹Zr following the same procedure used for ⁸⁹Zr-SCL, yielding the bimodal liposome DiIC@⁸⁹Zr-SCL (Fig. 4A) in 88% radiochemical yield and more than 99% radiochemical purity (Supplemental Fig. 2B). Subsequent PET imaging showed that the fluorescent DiIC@⁸⁹Zr-SCL was also long-circulating and had essentially the same performance as ⁸⁹Zr-SCL (Supplemental Fig. 6A). Histologic analysis of tumor sections revealed a high level of colocalization of radioactivity and fluorescence, as shown in Figure 4C. Both signals were localized to the periphery of the tissue, indicating the progress of an incipient necrotic core. Furthermore, same-animal analysis (n = 3) of PET/CT and epifluorescence NIR imaging at 24 h after injection (Fig. 4B) yielded an excellent correlation between the 2 imaging modalities (Fig. 4D) on the whole-body level. The tumor-to-skin (fluorescence/skin-to-muscle (PET)) ratios were measured to be 2.8 and 2.9, respectively, using PET/CT and NIR fluorescent data and compared well with the values obtained from biodistribution experiments (tumor-to-skin, 2.3).

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of this study was to develop a modular and efficient radiolabeling technology for long-circulating liposomal nanoparticles. Over the last few years, a wealth of bioorthogonal labeling techniques has been developed and successfully used to prepare, radiolabel, and functionalize diverse agents (25–27). In our search for an efficient bioorthogonal approach to label liposomes, we were interested mostly in those that could provide efficient conjugation without the need for catalysts. And although the strain-promoted, copper-free alkyne-azide reaction rates are generally slower than those of other bioorthogonal reactions (28), the higher stability of the reactive bioorthogonal building blocks makes this approach superior for applications that demand challenging or harsh synthetic conditions (29). Therefore, we decided to prepare DBCO-containing liposomes (DBCO-L, Fig. 1A) for click labeling and compared this approach with a direct labeling method (Fig. 1B), the surface chelation of ⁸⁹Zr on DFO-bearing liposomes (DFO-L). Figure 1C lists the necessary components for the formulation of the 2 different types of liposomes.

All liposomes were larger than the renal clearance threshold (30). The overall negative charge of the particles helps to stabilize them in vivo, reducing the tendency to aggregate and avoiding electrostatic interactions with the luminal wall of blood vessels (10). Moreover, the presence of polyethylene glycol chains on the surface of the particles efficiently helps to prevent opsonization and their subsequent removal from circulation (31).

Compared with the bioorthogonal labeling, direct surface chelation proved to be quicker and more efficient, but neither strategy had a significant effect on the size distribution of the samples when compared with their precursor liposomes. Although both probes had a similar size, the clearance rates of radioactivity from blood were significantly different. After 24 h, 7.1 %ID/g remained in the blood pool for ⁸⁹Zr-CLL, whereas the activity for ⁸⁹Zr-SCL had dropped to 1.5 %ID/g. Circulation time is a critical factor for tumor accumulation, especially for probes reliant on passive targeting mechanisms. Higher accumulation is to be expected for those species showing the longest half-lives, as more particles will extravasate into the interstitial space with higher passage numbers (10). The fast blood clearance observed for ⁸⁹Zr-CLL can be explained by the rapid accumulation of radioactivity in liver and spleen, which...
are the organs that most efficiently remove particles from circulation (32,33). In contrast, $^{89}$Zr-SCL seemed to evade the mononuclear phagocyte system (34) longer and therefore had substantially lower liver and spleen uptake at 2 h after injection. This difference could be due to a higher tendency of $^{89}$Zr-CLL to aggregate into larger particles and their subsequent removal by the mononuclear phagocyte system and illustrates how changes in the surface chemistry of nanoparticles can have far-reaching consequences on their stability and pharmacokinetic profile. As a result, tumor accumulation was dramatically higher for $^{89}$Zr-SCL than for their click-labeled counterpart $^{89}$Zr-CLL at all time points, peaking at 24 h after injection (13.7 ± 1.8 %ID/g and 2.0 ± 0.2 %ID/g, respectively). Tumor-to-blood uptake ratios for $^{89}$Zr-SCL were 0.09, 2.0, and 4.2 at 2, 24, and 48 h, respectively. Interestingly, no correlation was found between size of tumor and uptake (%ID/g) for either probe. For both liposomes, bone uptake was moderate (<6 %ID/g at 48 h), which indicates that the $^{89}$Zr-DFO metal complex in the liposome surface is rather protected from transchelation and release of the radiotracer. These data also indirectly support the low unspecific adsorption of $^{89}$Zr on the surface of $^{89}$Zr-SCL, as liposomes labeled in the absence of DFO or other chelators have poorer in vitro and in vivo stabilities and, consequently, higher bone uptake (35). The data presented here compare well with reported bone uptake values for other long-circulating $^{89}$Zr-labeled probes (36,37).

PET/CT imaging mirrored quite precisely the results of the biodistribution studies for both liposomes as shown in mice bearing either xenografted or orthotopically implanted 4T1 breast tumors. Although low tumor uptake could be observed for $^{89}$Zr-CLL, an intense and persistent signal was found in all tumors imaged with $^{89}$Zr-SCL at 24 h (Fig. 3C) and subsequent time points. There was no statistically significant difference observed between uptake in xenografts and orthotopically implanted tumors at 24 h after administration of $^{89}$Zr-SCL (13.1 ± 1.8 %ID/g, n = 4, and 12.2 ± 3.4 %ID/g, n = 3, respectively). PET-quantified bone uptake was also similar to the biodistribution data for both formulations and was found to be lower than 5 %ID/g at all time points, even after 120 h. The radioisotope $^{89}$Zr is a bone seeker that eventually will accumulate in the mineral bone if released from its chelator DFO. A second pathway that might result in bone accumulation of nanoparticle-bound radiotracers is their uptake in macrophages. The presence of tissue macrophages in the bone marrow as part of the mononuclear phagocyte system makes the bone marrow a potential undesired destination for radiolabeled nanoparticles (38).

The distribution of $^{89}$Zr-SCL in the tumors was not homogeneous (Fig. 3C). In tumors grown for over 10 d, 2 regions were clearly distinguishable: a peripheral shell with high accumulation (as high as 20 %ID/g) and a central core showing low tracer uptake. Histo logic analysis confirmed these findings (Supplemental Fig. 5), and there is evidence for localization of $^{89}$Zr-SCL in macrophage-rich areas, as reported for other nanoparticulate systems in varied disease models (38).

Encouraged by the results obtained with $^{89}$Zr-SCL, we aimed to generate a bimodal PET/optical imaging agent by adding an NIR fluorescent dye (DiIC) to the lipid formulation. Although tumor uptake for DiIC@$^{89}$Zr-SCL as measured by PET was lower (10.8 ± 2.1 %ID/g, n = 3) than for $^{89}$Zr-SCL (14.1 ± 1.6 %ID/g, n = 4), this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.10). The high level of colocalization of both signals (Fig. 4C), as well as the quantitative analyses by PET and NIR fluorescence imaging (Fig. 4D), suggest a good stability of these liposomal nanoparticles.

CONCLUSION

This study presents a highly modular and efficient route for the synthesis of long-circulating $^{89}$Zr-labeled liposomes. The resulting nanomaterial, $^{89}$Zr-SCL, has demonstrated an outstanding ability to target xenografts and orthotopic mouse models of breast cancer in vivo. Moreover, our nanoplatform was able to accommodate a NIR dye to yield a PET/optical bimodal probe without detriment to its ability to target malignancy. We believe that the impact of the application of the technology described herein is manifold, as it can readily be adapted for other lipidic nanomaterials, including micelles, nanoemulsions, and lipoprotein nanoparticles. In a translational scenario, its obvious potential use as a companion imaging agent makes it an ideal candidate for the evaluation of the safety and efficacy of nanotherapeutics. In addition, with the advent of modern intravital imaging and the promises these techniques hold when translated to the clinic (39), anatomic imaging protocols are quickly moving toward the combination of intraoperative and whole-body imaging techniques, enabling radiologists to detect both the presence of lesions on a whole-body level and the exact extent of disease at the operating table. In this regard, given its proven versatility, our nanoplatform seems to meet the requirements to fulfill this task. In a wider disease context, we believe that studies to assess its potential application in other malignancies and ultimately in a clinical setting are warranted.

DISCLOSURE

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734. This work was supported by the NIH (K25 EB016673), the Brain Tumor Center of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer, the Nanotechnology Center for Molecular Imaging and Nanotechnology, and the CNIC CardioImage program. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Small Animal Imaging Core, the Radiochemistry and Molecular Imaging Probes Core, and the Molecular Cytology Core at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center for support. We also thank Sean Carlin for his help with histological analysis and Sarah M. Cheal for helpful discussions on PET imaging analysis.

REFERENCES