Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor Sorafenib Decreases $^{111}$In-Girentuximab Uptake in Patients with Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma
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Tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have revolutionized the treatment of metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Although TKIs have demonstrated good clinical efficacy, the lack of complete responses, the chronic nature of the treatment, and the side effects are clear disadvantages. An interesting new approach in the treatment of clear cell RCC is antibody-mediated therapy with the chimeric anti-carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) antibody girentuximab (cG250). As the results of several girentuximab trials become available, the question arises of whether TKI treatment can be combined with girentuximab-based therapy. In this study, we assessed the effect of the widely used TKI sorafenib on the tumor-targeting potential of $^{111}$In-labeled girentuximab. **Methods:** $^{111}$In-girentuximab imaging was performed on 15 patients suspected of having a renal malignancy, with surgery being part of their treatment plan. Of these, 10 patients were treated in a neoadjuvant setting with sorafenib (400 mg orally twice daily). Five patients received treatment during 1 wk, and 5 patients received treatment during 4 wk. In both sorafenib-treated groups, baseline and posttreatment tumor targeting of $^{111}$In-girentuximab were compared. Surgery was performed 3 d after the last image acquisition. Five additional patients were included as a control group and had only a single $^{111}$In-girentuximab injection and scintigraphy without any treatment. Distribution of $^{111}$In-girentuximab was determined scintigraphically ex vivo in a 1-cm lamella of the resected tumor kidney. Expression of CAIX and of the vascular marker CD31 was determined immunohistochemically on specimens of both tumor and normal kidney tissue. **Results:** Treatment with sorafenib resulted in a marked decrease of $^{111}$In-girentuximab uptake in the tumor in clear cell RCC patients, especially in the group treated for 4 wk (mean change in both sorafenib-treated groups, −38.4%; range, −9.1% to −79.4%). Immunohistochemical analysis showed markedly reduced CD31 expression and vessel density in the sorafenibtreated groups but no differences in CAIX expression between the sorafenib-treated groups and the nontreated patients. **Conclusion:** Treatment with sorafenib resulted in a treatment duration–duration significantly decreased uptake of $^{111}$In-girentuximab in clear cell RCC lesions. These results indicate that the efficacy of antibody-mediated treatment or diagnosis modalities is hampered by TKI treatment.
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**T**yrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have revolutionized the treatment of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) during the last 5 y. Sunitinib, sorafenib, and pazopanib are now standard care in patients with advanced disease (1–3). Although excellent results for progression-free survival have been achieved, there are limitations to the use of TKIs. First, these agents have a cytostatic rather than cytotoxic effect, and thus complete responses are rarely seen. Second, severe side effects such as hypertension, nausea, hand–foot skin reactions, and diarrhea can occur, and sustained low-grade toxicity can lead to dose adjustments. Third, the long-term effects of these agents are largely unknown (4). Moreover, TKI treatment is chronic, and cessation of treatment may lead to flare-up of the disease, possibly due to rapid neovascularization (5). A new alternative approach in the treatment of clear cell RCC is antibody-mediated therapy using the chimeric anti-carbonic anhydrase IX (CAIX) antibody girentuximab (cG250). CAIX is an antigen ubiquitously expressed in clear cell RCC (6,7), but expression in normal tissues is low or absent (8). The high and specific expression in clear cell RCC makes CAIX an excellent target for antibody-mediated therapy. Girentuximab has been studied in radioimmunotherapy trials in patients with metastatic disease (9–15) and is currently studied in trials in an adjuvant setting (ARISER, or Adjuvant RENCAREX [Wilex AG] Immunotherapy Trial to Study Efficacy in Nonmetastasised Renal Cell Carcinoma) (16). Because we recently published promising results for the most recent therapy trial (15), the question arises of whether antibody-mediated treatment can be combined with TKI treatment.

In this trial, we aimed to determine the effect of the widely used TKI sorafenib on tumor targeting of $^{111}$In-labeled chimeric anti-CAIX monoclonal antibody girentuximab in preoperative patients presenting with a renal mass suspected of being RCC. To assess the effect of the duration of the treatment, patients were treated either during 1 wk or during 4 wk.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

Fifteen patients with renal masses suspected of being RCC and for whom surgery was planned were included in this study. Before inclusion at baseline (day −14 to day 0), a physical examination (including vital signs and weight) was performed, biochemical laboratory parameters were assessed, and a resting electrocardiogram was made. The study was approved by the institutional review board (CMO Arnhem-Nijmegen). Written informed consent was obtained from every patient. This trial is registered on www.clinicaltrials.gov, number NCT00602862.

Two groups of 5 patients were infused with 111In-labeled girentuximab (100 MBq; 5 mg), and whole-body and SPECT images were obtained 7 d later. Imaging was followed by treatment with sorafenib (Nexavar [Bayer], 400 mg twice a day orally) for 1 wk or 4 wk (Fig. 1). All sorafenib-treated patients underwent a second injection with 111In-girentuximab and imaging 7 d after injection. The sorafenib treatment was discontinued on the day of the last scan in both groups. Five patients served as a control group and received a single infusion of 111In-girentuximab 10 d before nephrectomy and were scanned 7 d later but did not receive sorafenib treatment. The study design is summarized in Figure 1.

Study Drugs

Sorafenib was administered orally in a dosage of 400 mg twice a day. Dose interruptions and reductions were allowed when there were adverse events of grade III or higher according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (National Cancer Institute).

For scintigraphic imaging, diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA) was conjugated to girentuximab (cG250; Wilex AG) at pH 9.5. A 50-fold molar excess of isothiocyanato-benzyl-DTPA was used to obtain a molar substitution ratio of 0.5–2.0. After conjugation, the unconjugated DTPA was removed by extensive dialysis against a 0.25 M ammonium acetate buffer, pH 5.4. Aliquots of the girentuximab-DTPA solution were placed into sterile vials (5 mg in 1.0 mL) and stored at −20°C until use. This procedure has been previously described in more detail (14). After inclusion of a patient, the girentuximab-DTPA conjugate was labeled with 100 MBq of 111In (Covidien). The volume of 111In-DTPA-girentuximab was adjusted to 10 mL with NaCl, 0.9%.

Radiochemical purity was determined by instant thin-layer chromatography. All preparations used in this study exceeded 95%.

Imaging and Quantitative Image Analysis

On the basis of previous studies, whole-body scans were acquired 7 d after injection using a double-head γ camera (E.cam; Siemens Inc.) equipped with parallel-hole medium-energy collimators (symmetric 15% window over 172 and 247 keV) and a scan speed of 4 cm/min (17). The sorafenib-treated groups were injected and scanned twice; the same imaging procedures were used for both scans.

In the group that was treated with sorafenib for 1 wk, 111In-girentuximab was administered at 11 d before the start of sorafenib treatment and on the day that treatment began. Treatment with sorafenib was started 4 d after the first 111In-girentuximab image was acquired. Each time, scintigraphic imaging was performed 7 d after injection of 111In-girentuximab (Fig. 1).

In the group that received 4 wk of sorafenib, 111In-girentuximab was administered at 7 d before the start of sorafenib treatment and after 21 d of treatment, each time followed by scintigraphy 7 d after injection. The sorafenib treatment was stopped in both groups on the day of the last scan, and 3 d later a (partial) tumor nephrectomy was performed (Fig. 1).

In the control group, patients were intravenously infused with 111In-girentuximab 10 d before nephrectomy and scanned 7 d after injection of the radiolabeled antibody. Whole-body planar images were analyzed quantitatively, as described by Visser et al. (18). Regions of interest were drawn around tumors and normal kidney. Targeting was expressed as the percentage injected dose per tumor weight (%ID/g), assuming a tissue density of 1.0 g/mL. Tumor volumes were determined using Inveon Research Workplace software (Siemens Inc.).

Processing of the Surgical Specimen

After nephrectomy, a 1-cm-thick slice of the resected tissue containing both tumor and normal tissue was scanned on the γ camera, using only a single detector. After imaging, the slice was cut into 1-cm³ blocks. Tissue blocks were weighed, and radioactivity in each sample was determined in a well-type γ counter (1480 Wizard; LKB/ Wallace, Perkin-Elmer). After quantitative analysis, the tissue blocks were processed for immunohistochemical analysis.

Immunohistochemical Analysis

CAIX expression was detected by staining with anti-CAIX mouse monoclonal antibody M75, a hybridoma supernatant obtained from the HB-11128 ATCC cell line. CD31 staining was performed with murine anti-CD31 monoclonal antibody JC70A (Dako). After staining, expression of CAIX and CD31 was scored by 4 independent observers on a scale ranging from undetectable (−) to low (+), moderate (±), high (++), and very high (+++).

Statistics

A nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-ranked test was performed to assess the change in 111In-girentuximab uptake in the tumor before and after sorafenib treatment. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Patients

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Ten patients were treated with [Table 1]...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Volume (cm³)</th>
<th>%ID/g Before</th>
<th>%ID/g After</th>
<th>Δ%</th>
<th>Metastatic</th>
<th>Necrosis</th>
<th>M75</th>
<th>CD31</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 1 wk</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Part clear cell RCC, part rhabdoid</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>−15.4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>±/++</td>
<td>±/++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 1 wk</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>−1.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 1 wk</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−16.7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>±/++</td>
<td>±/++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 1 wk</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>−47.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>±</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 1 wk</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1,043</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 4 wk</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Part clear cell RCC, part papillary</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>1,178</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−55.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 4 wk</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>−45.6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 4 wk</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Part clear cell RCC, part sarcomatoid</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>−79.4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 4 wk</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>−39.9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sorafenib, 4 wk</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chromophobe RCC</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>—*</td>
<td>—*</td>
<td>—*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Part clear cell RCC, part rhabdoid</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>±/++</td>
<td>±</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Clear cell RCC</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Papillary RCC (type 2)</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Physiologic uptake.
NA = not applicable.
sorafenib (7 women and 3 men; median age, 62.4 y; range, 50.2–74.4 y). The tumors of 9 of these patients were clear cell RCC as determined by pathology (patients 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9) or contained a clear cell RCC component (patients 1, 6, and 8). Patient 1 had a poorly differentiated tumor, partly clear cell RCC and partly rhabdoid RCC; patient 6 a partly clear cell RCC and partly papillary dedifferentiated tumor; and patient 8 a partly clear cell RCC with sarcomatoid dedifferentiated tumor. Patient 10 did not have clear cell RCC but a tumor of the chromophobe subtype.

In the control group (3 women and 2 men; median age, 62.2 y; range, 57.1–69.7 y), histopathologic examination revealed RCC in all patients. Of these patients, patient 11, 13, and 14 had the clear cell RCC subtype. The surgical specimen of patient 12 showed clear cell RCC, but a part was rhabdoid-dedifferentiated. The specimen of patient 15 revealed a type 2 papillary RCC (Table 1).

Treatment with sorafenib was generally well tolerated. Reported side effects were grade 3 skin toxicity according to the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 3; National Cancer Institute) in 2 patients, grade 2 diarrhea in 1 patient, and grade 2 stomatitis in 2 patients. No dose reductions or interruptions were necessary.

**Scintigraphy**

In all patients with later-proven clear cell RCC, the renal tumors before neoadjuvant treatment were readily visualized with 111In-girentuximab. The results of the quantitative analysis are summarized in Table 1. Imaging of the 1-cm-thick slices of the resected tumor tissue, followed by histologic analysis, revealed that 111In-girentuximab accumulated in areas of vital tumor tissue whereas normal kidney and necrotic or non–clear cell RCC parts showed much lower uptake. In one patient, the lung metastases as observed on CT were also visualized with scintigraphy (patient 8, Fig. 2). In this patient, the uptake in the largest metastasis decreased by 74.8% after 4 wk of sorafenib treatment. Patients 7 and 9 were diagnosed with bilateral renal masses and had girentuximab uptake in both lesions before treatment (patient 9, shown in Fig. 3). Histologic analysis of the surgical specimens revealed that all these lesions were of the clear cell type (Table 1).

The mean 111In-girentuximab uptake in clear cell RCC renal tumors before sorafenib treatment was 0.013 %ID/g (range, 0.002–0.025 %ID/g). After 1 wk of sorafenib treatment, the mean uptake decreased to 0.009 %ID/g (range, 0.002–0.025 %ID/g), indicating a mean change of −14.4% (range, +9.1% to −47.1%, P = 0.225). After 4 wk of sorafenib treatment, the mean uptake decreased to 0.004 %ID/g (range, 0.002–0.01 %ID/g), which is equivalent to a mean change of −58.3% (range, −39.9% to −79.4%, P = 0.028) based on 6 lesions in 4 patients.

The tumors of all 5 patients who served as controls showed accumulation of 111In-girentuximab, with a mean uptake of 0.018 %ID/g. Uptake of 111In-girentuximab was also found in the papillary RCC in patient 15, albeit relatively low compared with the mean baseline uptake in the clear cell RCC tumors (0.003 %ID/g vs. a mean of 0.018 %ID/g).

**Immunohistochemical Analysis**

The results of the immunohistochemical analyses of the viable parts of the tumors are presented in Table 1. All clear cell RCC tumor specimens expressed CAIX (Table 1). Very low CAIX expression was also found in a vital tumor region of the surgical specimen of the papillary subtype from patient 15 (not shown).

Representative examples of postnephrectomy tumor specimens [Fig 4] of each of the 3 groups are shown in Figure 4. From each tumor, multiple tumor blocks with the highest 111In uptake per gram of tissue (as determined in a γ counter) were used. High expression of CD31 was found in all tumors in the control group. As expected, sorafenib treatment induced a marked reduction of vessel density, as observed by CD31 immunohistochemistry. This effect was more pronounced in the group that was treated for 4 wk than in the group that was treated for 1 wk, suggesting that the decrease in vessel density was related to the duration of treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

This study confirmed previous studies showing that clear cell RCC lesions can be visualized with 111In-girentuximab imaging (17,19). High and specific uptake was seen in tumor lesions in all patients with clear cell RCC. Most importantly, we found that accumulation of girentuximab in clear cell RCC lesions was markedly reduced after sorafenib treatment in most patients.

The effect of sorafenib on girentuximab uptake was more pronounced in patients who were treated with sorafenib for 4 wk than in the group treated for 1 wk (−58.3% vs. −14.4%). All clear cell
RCC tumor specimens in the current study were positive for CAIX, and we found no decrease in CAIX expression after the TKI treatment, indicating that sorafenib treatment did not down-regulate CAIX expression. We did observe decreased vessel densities in the sorafenib-treated groups when compared with the untreated group, making it highly likely that the reduced vessel density in the treated tumors results in poor perfusion and decreased uptake of $^{111}$In-girentuximab. The decrease in vessel density was most prominent after 4 wk of treatment (Table 1; Fig. 4). These results are in concordance with previous work of our group showing a similar effect of sorafenib on bevacizumab uptake (20) and with preclinical studies with other antibodies (21,22). Alternatively, the reduced $^{111}$In-girentuximab uptake could also be due to vascular normalization after sorafenib treatment (23). Vascular normalization may have led to decreased vessel permeability, which could also have led to reduced accumulation of the antibody in the tumor. We also found CAIX expression in a patient presenting with a papillary RCC subtype (patient 15). Although CAIX expression has been reported in papillary RCC subtypes, the expression is much lower than in clear cell RCC, in terms of both incidence and level of expression (8,24). Strikingly, the CAIX expression in this particular patient was found in a vital tumor region within a necrotic part of the surgical specimen (Supplemental Fig. 1, available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org), suggesting that this particular expression pattern is hypoxia-driven (25).

The TKI-induced decrease of antibody uptake in the clear cell RCC lesions has major implications for future therapeutic regimens combining both TKIs and antibody-based treatments (either cold or radiolabeled). The results of the present study indicate that antibody-mediated treatment should preferably be given before TKI treatment. Alternatively, the antibody could be administered after TKI treatment has been stopped. The interval required between TKI cessation and antibody treatment still needs to be determined in future studies. In addition, the diagnostic performance of radiolabeled antibodies (immuno-PET or immuno-SPECT) may similarly be affected by TKI treatment. Currently, a clinical trial is ongoing that focuses on the potential of $^{124}$I-girentuximab immuno-PET to detect early treatment response to sunitinib (26). It will be interesting to see whether this study also indicates that TKIs interfere with the uptake of girentuximab and whether it is possible to evaluate the response to TKI treatment with girentuximab-based imaging.

There are some limitations to our study. First, there was a 3-d interval between the discontinuation of the sorafenib treatment and the (partial) nephrectomy. Therefore, the immunohistochemical findings may not fully reflect the actual effect caused by sorafenib, though significant histologic changes in this short interval are unlikely.

Second, no biopsies of the renal lesions were taken at baseline, and therefore no intrapatient comparison of pre- and post-treatment tissue samples could be performed. In addition, vascular density may vary largely within and between RCC tumors. However, the CD31 expression in the samples from all patients treated with sorafenib for 4 wk was lower than in the other samples, suggesting that the lower vessel density is a direct effect of the treatment. Although the changes in CD31 expression after sorafenib treatment were evident (Fig. 4), microvessel densities in the treated groups could not be reliably quantified. In desmoplastic areas of treated tumors, we often observed cytoplasmic CD31 expression in nonendothelial cells. This phenomenon has been documented before and has been linked to the phenomenon of vasculogenic mimicry (27,28). Third, the current trial was not designed to assess the duration of the sorafenib-induced decreased antibody uptake. It remains to be investigated for how long antibody targeting to the tumor remains at lower levels after cessation of sorafenib treatment. Data on this subject are limited. There are animal data suggesting increased antibody uptake after discontinuation of sunitinib treatment (21,22), presumably due to rapid rebound vascularization. To date, no human data are available yet. Enhanced uptake of girentuximab shortly after discontinuation of TKI treatment is an interesting feature for further investigation because it could be an important step in the development of successful radioimmunotherapy strategies for clear cell RCC.

CONCLUSION

This study confirmed that clear cell RCC lesions can be identified with $^{111}$In-girentuximab scintigraphy. Moreover, we demonstrated that treatment with sorafenib markedly reduces the targeting of $^{111}$In-girentuximab in clear cell RCC lesions, suggesting that the effect of antibody-mediated treatment modalities would be profoundly hampered when directly combined with TKI treatment. Further research to evaluate the duration of this effect after discontinuation of TKI treatment is needed.
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