Prognostic Utility of $^{90}$Y Radioembolization Dosimetry Based on Fusion $^{99m}$Tc-Macroaggregated Albumin–$^{99m}$Tc-Sulfur Colloid SPECT

Marnix G.E.H. Lam1,2, Michael L. Goris3, Andrei H. Iagaru3, Erik S. Mittra3, John D. Louie1, and Daniel Y. Sze1

1Division of Interventional Radiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California; 2Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, UMC Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands; and 3Division of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California

Planning hepatic $^{90}$Y radioembolization activity requires balancing toxicity with efficacy. We developed a dual-tracer SPECT fusion imaging protocol that merges data on radioactivity distribution with physiologic liver mapping. Methods: Twenty-five patients with colorectal carcinoma and bilobar liver metastases received whole-liver radioembolization with resin microspheres prescribed as per convention (mean administered activity, 1.69 GBq). As part of standard treatment planning, all patients underwent SPECT imaging after intraarterial injection of 37 MBq of $^{99m}$Tc-macroaggregated albumin ($^{99m}$Tc-MAA) to simulate subsequent $^{90}$Y distribution. Immediately afterward, patients received 185 MBq of labeled sulfur colloid ($^{99m}$Tc-SC) intravenously as a biomarker for normal hepatic reticuloendothelial function and SPECT was repeated. The SPECT images were coregistered and fused. A region-based method was used to predict the $^{90}$Y radiation absorbed dose to functional liver tissue ($D_{FL}$) by calculation of $^{99m}$Tc-MAA activity in regions with $^{99m}$Tc-SC uptake. Similarly, the absorbed dose to tumor ($D_{T}$) was predicted by calculation of $^{99m}$Tc-MAA activity in voxels without $^{99m}$Tc-SC uptake. Laboratory data and radiographic response were measured for 3 mo, and the survival of patients was recorded. SPECT-based $D_{T}$ and $D_{FL}$ were correlated with parameters of toxicity and efficacy. Results: Toxicity, as measured by increase in serum liver enzymes, correlated significantly with SPECT-based calculation of $D_{FL}$ at all time points ($P < 0.05$) (mean $D_{FL}$, 27.9 Gy). Broad biochemical toxicity (>50% increase in all liver enzymes) occurred at a $D_{FL}$ of 24.5 Gy and above. In addition, in uni- and multivariable analysis, SPECT-based calculation of $D_{T}$ (mean $D_{T}$, 44.2 Gy) correlated with radiographic response ($P < 0.001$), decrease in serum carcinoembryonic antigen ($P < 0.05$), and overall survival ($P < 0.01$). The cutoff value of $D_{T}$ for prediction of 1-y survival was 55 Gy (area under the receiver-operating-characteristic curve = 0.86; $P < 0.01$). Patients who received a $D_{T}$ of more than 55 Gy had a median survival of 32.8 mo, compared with 7.2 mo in patients who received less ($P < 0.05$). Conclusion: Dual-tracer $^{99m}$Tc-MAA–$^{99m}$Tc-SC fusion SPECT offers a physiology-based imaging tool with significant prognostic power that may lead to improved personalized activity planning.

Yttrium-90 radioembolization is a rapidly emerging radionuclide treatment modality for hepatic malignancy that improves progression-free and overall survival in appropriate patients (1–4). Activity planning aims to maximize the effect of treatment while keeping toxicity acceptably low. However, the current activity calculation methods are based on empiric data with regard to both efficacy and safety, without established dose–response relationships (5). Resin microsphere activity is calculated on the basis of body surface area (BSA) and fractional liver involvement, whereas glass microsphere activity is calculated on the basis of a whole-liver partition model derived from the MIRD equations for dose calculation (6). Neither accounts for the heterogeneous intrahepatic microsphere distribution and the resultant differential radiation absorbed dose in tumors and normal liver tissue.

A potential approach to better customization of activity is anatomic partition modeling (7–9). The involved liver may be segmented into 2 compartments, and the desired activity is calculated on the basis of intercompartmental volumes and activity ratios. Because compartmental boundaries are drawn on the basis of anatomic images, these methods are time-consuming and subject to considerable error in tumor delineation. Partition modeling in its present capacity is therefore advised only for patients with a limited number of large, hypervascular tumors (10). In most patients with liver metastases, however, disease is diffusely distributed throughout the liver, includes multiple tumors that are difficult to delineate, and therefore is not amenable to anatomic partition modeling (5,10).

We introduce a physiology-based segmentation tool that uses a dual-tracer SPECT technique combining $^{99m}$Tc-macroaggregated albumin ($^{99m}$Tc-MAA) SPECT for simulation of $^{90}$Y activity distribution and $^{99m}$Tc-sulfur colloid ($^{99m}$Tc-SC) SPECT for evaluation of functional liver parenchyma (reticuloendothelial function). We aimed to validate the utility of this method by correlating its results with efficacy and toxicity in patients treated with whole-liver radioembolization.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Selection**

The study included 25 patients from January 2007 to January 2011 who had multiple unresectable liver metastases from colorectal carcinoma.
and received whole-liver treatment with resin microspheres in a single session. There were 10 men and 15 women, of mean and median age 58 y (range, 25–80 y). All patients had liver-dominant disease for which hepatic metastases were considered to be the most longevity-threatening component. Exclusion criteria included mismatch between \(^{90}\)Tc-MAA and subsequent \(^{90}\)Y-microsphere injection site, imaging failure (SPECT not performed, injection failure), and staged treatment in 2 separate sessions. Clinical data of the studied population are summarized in Table 1. All patients underwent combined \(^{99m}\)Tc-MAA and \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC SPECT imaging as part of their diagnostic work-up. Data were handled in accordance with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. The institutional review board approved this study, and the requirement to obtain informed consent was waived.

### Radioembolization

Activity calculations and treatments were performed according to international consensus guidelines (11–13). All patients were treated with resin microspheres (SIR-Spheres; SirTex Inc.). The prescribed activity was calculated on the basis of BSA and tumor liver involvement (LI), defined as a fraction of the total liver parenchyma (14):

\[
\text{Prescribed activity (GBq) = BSA (m}^2\text{) – 0.2 + LI. Eq. 1}
\]

Significant hepatopulmonary shunting was compensated for by the recommended activity adjustment (13).

Pretreatment V-Vial (Wheaton Industries, Inc.) activity and post-treatment V-Vial, tubing, and catheter activity were measured in a leakage-proof Nalgene (Thermo Fisher Scientific) container using a MicroRem meter (Thermo Scientific/Bicron) at a set standard geometry. Measurements were processed by calibrated conversion algorithms (SirTex Inc.) to calculate the percentage of residual activity. The hepatic administered activity (A) was determined by correcting the prepared activity for residual activity and for the fractional lung shunt (LS).

\[
A = (\text{prescribed dose} – \text{residual activity}) \times (1 – \text{LS}). \text{ Eq. 2}
\]

Clinical and laboratory follow-up was performed 2, 4, 8, and 12 wk after treatment and at intervals prescribed by the medical oncologist thereafter. Follow-up contrast-enhanced CT at 3 mo was used to analyze objective response according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECISt 1.1). PET scans were not generally available for review. One masked author performed over-reads of all clinical film interpretations.

### Imaging Procedures

At the time of the preparatory angiography session and after endovascular skeletonization of the hepatic artery, \(^{99m}\)Tc-MAA (37 MBq) was administered intraarterially to simulate the planned treatment with \(^{90}\)Y-microspheres. Activity lower than the standard 150 MBq was used to facilitate combined \(^{99m}\)Tc-MAA–\(^{99m}\)Tc-SC SPECT imaging.

Whole-body planar scintigraphy and hepatic SPECT imaging were performed within 1 h after tracer administration to calculate the lung shunt fraction, to exclude any extrahepatic deposition, and to depict intrahepatic radiopharmaceutical distribution. Immediately after the first SPECT scan, without moving the patient in the scanner to optimize image fusion, an excess of \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC (185 MBq) was injected intravenously and SPECT imaging was repeated after a 5-min delay.

SPECT data were acquired on a dual-head Infinia Hawkeye 4 gamma camera (GE Healthcare). SPECT images were acquired on a 64 \(\times\) 64 matrix (voxel size, 0.884 mm\(^3\)) using a 130- to 150-keV energy window and a low-energy high-resolution collimator. All SPECT data were acquired in 120 projections (15 s per projection) over a 360\(^\circ\) full circular orbit.

### Image Processing and Analysis

Data were reconstructed by applying filtered backprojection and a Butterworth postreconstruction filter (Fc, 0.23; order, 6), using Segami software (Segami Corp.). For each patient, corrected \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC images were constructed by subtracting \(^{99m}\)Tc-MAA images (first SPECT) from the combined \(^{99m}\)Tc-MAA–\(^{99m}\)Tc-SC images (second SPECT). Voxels positive for \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC were assigned to the functional-liver compartment, whereas voxels negative for \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC but positive for \(^{90}\)Y-microspheres were assigned to the tumor compartment. Next, a map of voxels with 10% or more of the maximum \(^{99m}\)Tc-SC uptake per voxel, using software programmed in IDL 6.1 (Research Systems, Inc.), were expressed as median and range.

### TABLE 1

Demographics, Baseline Characteristics, and Oncologic Histories of Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Data*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>10/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>58 (25–80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous systemic treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiangiogenic agents</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-EGFR agents</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous liver-directed treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial liver resection</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiofrequency ablation</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External-beam radiotherapy†</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrahaepatic disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary metastases</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastases</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline laboratory values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC count (10(^9)/L)</td>
<td>7.4 (3.4–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count (10(^9)/L)</td>
<td>265 (94–466)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.4 (8.7–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum AST (IU/L)</td>
<td>37 (11–116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ALT (IU/L)</td>
<td>39 (13–99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum total bilirubin (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.1–1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum alkaline phosphatase (IU/L)</td>
<td>138 (64–713)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/dL)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.6–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoembryonic antigen (ng/mL)</td>
<td>33 (1.3–2,213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver tumor involvement (%)</td>
<td>25 (5–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m(^2))</td>
<td>1.88 (1.37–2.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated prescribed activity (GBq)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.07–2.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated lung shunt (%)</td>
<td>6.6 (0–13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administered activity (GBq)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.97–2.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver weight (g)</td>
<td>1,826 (941–2,958)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-liver absorbed dose (D(_{90})) (Gy)</td>
<td>48.8 (29.8–68.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Qualitative data are expressed as numbers; continuous data are expressed as median and range.
†Patient received preoperative chemoradiotherapy for local recurrence of rectal carcinoma. Mean radiation absorbed dose to liver was 4.7 Gy as determined by dose–volume histogram analysis.
ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.
FIGURE 2. Physiologic partition model of liver. (A and B) Transaxial slices (thick slab) of 99mTc-MAA SPECT (A) and 99mTc-SC SPECT (B), showing concentrated uptake of 99mTc-MAA in and around physiologic tumor tissue in right lobe and relative photopenia in these areas on 99mTc-SC image. (C and D) Coronal reformats of 99mTc-MAA SPECT (C) and 99mTc-SC SPECT (D) confirm this yin-yang phenomenon.

FIGURE 1. Schematic representation of physiologic partition model of liver. (A and B) Maximum-intensity projection of 99mTc-MAA SPECT (A) and 99mTc-SC SPECT MIP (B), showing concentrated uptake of 99mTc-MAA in and around 2 tumors and relative photopenia in these areas on 99mTc-SC image. (C) Tumor map contains only those voxels positive for 99mTc-MAA uptake and negative for 99mTc-SC uptake. (D) Functional liver map contains all voxels positive for 99mTc-SC uptake. Because of spill-over and partial-volume effects, threshold function was used to define voxels as positive for 99mTc-MAA or 99mTc-SC uptake.

where MAA activity ROI_T is the 99mTc-MAA activity in ROI_T (i.e., tumor tissue as defined by 99mTc-MAA uptake threshold), total MAA activity is the administered 99mTc-MAA activity corrected for the lung shunt, A is the administered 99mTc-MAA activity in GBq, 1.029 is the density conversion factor for 90Y activity to GBq/kg, and 50 is the conversion factor for 90Y from GBq/kg to Gy derived from MIRD (Eq. 6).

Similarly, the mean D_FL was calculated as

\[
D_{FL} = \frac{\text{MAA activity ROI}_{FL}/\text{total MAA activity}}{A \times 1.029^{-1} \times \text{volume ROI}_{FL}^{-1} \times 50},
\]

where MAA activity ROI_{FL} is the 99mTc-MAA activity in ROI_{FL} (i.e., normal liver as defined by 99mTc-SC uptake threshold), total MAA activity is the administered 99mTc-MAA activity corrected for the lung shunt, and volume ROI_{FL} is the volume of ROI_{FL} in liters.

For comparison, the mean whole-liver radiation absorbed dose (D_WL) was calculated using conventional MIRD formulae, assuming homogeneous distribution and absorption of all the administered activity and energy in the liver:

\[
D_{WL} = A \times 1.029^{-1} \times \text{volume}_{WL}^{-1} \times 50,
\]

where volume_{WL} is the volume of the whole liver in liters, calculated from preprocedural CT scans.

### Statistical Analysis

A commercial software package was used for statistical analysis (SPSS for Windows, version 19.0; SPSS Inc.). All continuous variables were tested for normal distribution probability using Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests, including normality plots. Median and range were reported for non-normally distributed variables, mean and range for normally distributed variables. For individual correlation of 2 continuous variables, the Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficient was used, depending on normality. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan–Meier curves with the log rank test for comparison. Receiver-operating-characteristic analysis was used to determine cutoff values for clinical use of the presented parameters. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

The patients selected were heavily pretreated, with almost all (92%) having received systemic chemotherapy and bevacizumab (Table 1). Half the patients (52%) also received epidermal growth factor receptor antagonists, and almost half (48%) had undergone liver-directed treatments including resection, ablation, embolization, and external-beam radiotherapy. All patients maintained a good performance status (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scores of 0–1) and baseline laboratory values within acceptable ranges. All patients had multiple metastases in both liver lobes, with a median estimated tumor involvement of the liver of 25% (range, 5%–60%). All were treated with resin microspheres with whole-liver treatment in a single session. Mean A was 1.69 GBq (range, 0.97–2.33 GBq), and mean D_WL was 48.8 Gy (range, 29.8–68.5 Gy). Only 3 patients restarted systemic treatment within our 3-mo follow-up period after radioembolization (irinotecan plus bevacizumab after 2 mo, cetuximab after 2 mo, and oxaliplatin plus...
5-fluorouracil after 1.5 wk). At least 4 other patients restarted some form of systemic treatment beyond 3 mo.

With a 10% threshold, where any voxel with at least 10% of the maximum $^{99m}$Tc-MAA or $^{99m}$Tc-SC activity was considered positive for that marker, the mean $D_{FL}$ was 27.9 Gy (range, 11.9–41.6). The changes in liver function tests (serum bilirubin, aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alanine transaminase [ALT], alkaline phosphatase, and albumin) and blood counts (white blood cell count, hemoglobin, and platelets) during the first 3 mo after radioembolization are depicted in Figure 3. Significant correlations were found between $D_{FL}$ and changes in serum liver enzymes (AST, ALT, and alkaline phosphatase) at virtually all time points ($r = 0.38–0.69; P < 0.01$). Only weaker trends were found between $D_{WL}$ and blood counts, bilirubin, and albumin. Comparing laboratory values with $D_{WL}$ instead of $D_{FL}$ yielded a similar but weaker pattern of correlations, with only a few reaching statistical significance (AST in weeks 4–8 and ALT in week 2–8).

According to the National Cancer Institute’s Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.02, these changes in laboratory values were classifiable as mild toxicity in most patients (Table 2). A significant correlation was found between the cumulative toxicity grades and $D_{FL}$ ($r = 0.48; P < 0.01$) (Fig. 4) and $D_{WL}$ ($r = 0.44; P < 0.05$). Toxicity grades were most pronounced for the liver enzymes AST, ALT, and alkaline phosphatase. Total bilirubin levels increased but reached thresholds of toxicity in only a few patients, whereas minor decreases in albumin levels were classified as toxicity in most patients because of low pretreatment levels already near the toxicity threshold (Table 2; Fig. 3). Broad biochemical toxicity, defined as a 50% increase in each of the 3 measured liver enzymes, occurred in 9 of 25 patients (36%). Receiver-operating-curve analysis revealed a good predictive value of $D_{FL}$ to predict this composite toxicity, with an area under the curve of 0.88 ($P = 0.01$; 95% confidence interval, 0.66–0.99). All cases of a 50% increase in all 3 liver enzymes occurred at a $D_{FL}$ of 24.5 Gy and above.

![FIGURE 3. Hematologic and serum chemistry changes from baseline and 2, 4, 8, and 12 wk after radioembolization, expressed as mean percentage change. WBC = white blood cell count; bilirubin = total serum bilirubin; alk phos = alkaline phosphatase.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>WBC</th>
<th>Hemoglobin</th>
<th>Platelets</th>
<th>Bilirubin</th>
<th>AST</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>Alk Phos</th>
<th>Albumin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC = white blood cell count; alk phos = alkaline phosphatase.

---
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The 1-y survival correlated with D_T at week 4 \( (2\% \text{ and } 31.3\% \text{ (range, } 20\% \text{–} 67.7\text{ mo})\). At the time of writing, 5 patients were still alive and 52 patients (329.5\%) at week 4, 50\% \text{ (range, } 94.7 \text{–} 1\text{ mo})\. These changes correlated with D_T at week 4 \( (r = -0.45; P < 0.05)\) and at week 8 \( (r = -0.43; P < 0.05)\). Correlation was found for D_WL only at week 8 \( (r = -0.53; P < 0.05)\).

Median follow-up after radioembolization was 40.8 mo \( (\text{range, } 20\text{–}67.7\text{ mo})\). At the time of writing, 5 patients were still alive and 1 patient had been lost to follow-up after 9.7 mo. Median overall survival was 10.8 mo. D_T proved to be the only predictor of survival \( (P < 0.01)\). No other correlations were found between survival and clinical, laboratory, or procedural parameters, including D_WL \( (P = 0.23)\), previous systemic \( (P = 0.20)\) and liver-directed treatments \( (P = 0.57)\), liver tumor involvement \( (P = 0.79)\), extrahepatic disease \( (P = 0.87)\), and performance status \( (P = 0.70)\).

The 1- and 2-y survival rates were 45.8\% and 25.0\%, respectively. Receiver-operating-curve analysis of D_T versus 1- and 2-y survival led to an area under the curve of 0.86 \( (P < 0.01; 95\% \text{ confidence interval, } 0.58\text{–}1.0)\) and 0.82 \( (P < 0.05; 95\% \text{ confidence interval, } 0.58\text{–}1.0)\), respectively (Fig. 5). The 1-y survival for patients who received a D_T of more than 55 Gy was 100\%, whereas the 1-y survival for patients who received less than 55 Gy was 24\%. Similarly, the 2-y survival for patients who received a D_T of more than 77 Gy was 100\%, whereas the 2-y survival for patients who received less than 77 Gy was 10\%. The median survival of patients who received a D_T of more than 55 Gy was 32.8 mo, whereas patients who received less than 55 Gy had a median survival of only 7.2 mo \( (P < 0.05)\) (Fig. 6).

The impact of applying different thresholds to define positive \(^{99m}\text{Tc-MAA} \text{ and } ^{99m}\text{Tc-SC}\) uptake was studied, using 5\%, 10\%, and 20\% of the maximum activity per voxel as thresholds (Table 3). A higher threshold resulted in higher calculated D_T and D_WL \( (P < 0.01)\). This was the result of smaller ROIs and higher activity per voxel caused by the higher thresholds. This increase was more pronounced for D_T. The ratio of tumor uptake \( (D_T)\) to functional liver uptake \( (D_WL)\) showed an increase from a mean of 1.2 at a 5\% threshold to 1.8 at a 10\% threshold to 4.2 at a 20\% threshold. The predictive power for toxicity, response, and survival was not significantly influenced by different thresholding. Data and statistics were thus reported at the 10\% level to balance prognostic power for efficacy and for toxicity.

**DISCUSSION**

Activity planning for radioembolization remains inexact and unscientific, likely contributing to the rate of nonresponse, which can be up to 80\% \( (1)\), and to hepatotoxicity, which can occur in up to 20\% of patients \( (15,16)\). The liver, despite its qualities of dual blood supply, regeneration, and redundancy, is highly radiosensitive. Absorbed doses high enough to be tumoricidal usually exceed the maximum tolerated absorbed dose for the background liver, limiting the application of radiation therapy to hepatic malignancies \( (17)\). The inhomogeneous distribution of intraarterially administered radioembolization microspheres can overcome this limitation by delivering the highest absorbed doses to hypervascular, arterially supplied tumors, while limiting deposition in the portal vein-supplied functional liver. However, a reproducible method to predict or to measure the actual absorbed dose to tumor and to functional liver remains elusive.

By the earliest activity planning method, or empiric method, patients were treated with a predetermined activity that reflected

**FIGURE 4.** \( D_WL \) in grays on x-axis vs. cumulative toxicity in grades according to National Cancer Institute’s Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.02. \( r = 0.48 \ (P < 0.01)\).

**FIGURE 5.** Receiver-operator-characteristic curve for prediction of 1-y survival after radioembolization by D_T. At tumor dose of at least 55 Gy, all patients survived at least 1 y. Area under curve = 0.86 \( (P < 0.01; 95\% \text{ confidence interval, } 0.71\text{–}1.0)\).
treated tissue and a target absorbed dose averaged over the entire
is a MIRD-based calculation that considers the weight of the
therapeutic doses in patients with enlarged livers (18). The accuracy in predicting the response of an
individual lesion at 3 mo was 91%. Three false-positives were en-
countered in cases involving large, heterogeneous, partially ne-
crotic lesions. This modified partition method has clear advantages
over existing methods with regard to tumor dosimetry but has sev-
eral important limitations: normal-liver tissue dosimetry and toxicity
are not addressed; morphologic imaging–based tumor segmentation
is limited by tumor number, heterogeneity, necrosis, and infiltrative
spread; and the method is operator-dependent and labor- and
time-intensive (19). This partition method is most useful in pa-
ents with few and sharply delineated tumors. Unfortunately, such
patients are only a small subpopulation of those treated by radio-
embolization.

Our method of using combined $^{99m}$Tc-MAA–$^{99m}$Tc-SC SPECT
imaging offers a physiologic imaging tool that overcomes the limi-
tations of morphologic imaging–based partition modeling. Absorbed
dose to both tumor and functional liver is addressed, yielding good
prognostic power with regard to both efficacy and toxicity. Tissue
segmentation is based purely on physiology, relying on 2 standard
nuclear medicine procedures, post–intraarterial $^{99m}$Tc-MAA scint-
tigraphy and post–intravenous $^{99m}$Tc-SC scintigraphy (20), obvi-
ating manual morphology-based tumor segmentation. Multiplicity,
size, distribution, heterogeneity, necrosis, and infiltrative growth
of tumors are inherently accommodated. Our method is fully au-
tomated and fast and can be operator-independent.

Several limitations of our method remain and are the subject of
further refinement. One limitation is that the uptake of $^{99m}$Tc-SC
distinguishes regions of intact reticuloendothelial function, which may
or may not coincide perfectly with regions of intact hepatocellular
function. The method currently averages all voxels in the ROIs and
does not calculate the absorbed dose for individual lesions, which
varies. The calculated absorbed dose is an estimation influenced
by partial-volume and spillover effects. Adjustment of the quan-
tification thresholds can partially overcome this problem but can
result in large differences in calculated $D_T$ across the range of poten-
tial thresholds. It remains unproven which threshold correlates best
with anatomic tumor delineation, tumor volumes, and radiation
absorbed doses. However, such correlations may be unnecessary,
since our physiology-based method proved to have a robust pre-
dictive power for outcomes regardless of which threshold was cho-
sen. Further improvements are to be expected by introducing dose-point
kernel reconstruction algorithms, iterative reconstruction methods,
dual-isotope protocols for optimized quantification and coregistra-
tion, and CT-based attenuation correction (using SPECT/CT).

The presented feasibility study included only a homogeneous
group of 25 heavily pretreated patients with metastatic colorectal
cancer, all of whom received whole-liver salvage radioemboliza-
tion in a single session. However, interpatient differences still exist,
and the benefits of improved dosimetry (and possible confounding
factors such as treatment history and disease burden) need to be
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**FIGURE 6.** Kaplan–Meier curves for patients treated with $D_T$ of more
than 55 Gy (upper dashed line) vs. less than 55 Gy (lower dashed line),
with median survivals of 32.8 mo vs. 7.2 mo ($P < 0.05$). Survival of total
population is depicted as solid line (median, 10.8 mo).

only tumor liver involvement. Tumor involvement that was less
than 25%, 25%–50%, or more than 50% of the total liver volume
was treated with 2, 2.5, or 3 GBq, respectively (18). It was even-
tually recognized that this method led to an unacceptable inci-
dence of hepatotoxicity due to radioembolization-induced liver
disease (14). The empirical method was supplanted by the current
BSA method, which has become adopted as the standard for resin
microspheres. This change led to a lower incidence of radioembo-
lization-induced liver disease, not so much because of more accu-
rate dosimetry as because administered activity was significantly
reduced (15). The BSA method was proposed without scientific
derivation and, although relatively safe, results in potentially sub-
therapeutic doses in patients with enlarged livers (19).

The standard activity planning method for glass microspheres
is a MIRD-based calculation that considers the weight of the
part of the treated tissue and a target absorbed dose averaged over the entire
treatment volume, sometimes erroneously termed the partition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>5% threshold</th>
<th>10% threshold</th>
<th>20% threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_T$ in Gy</td>
<td>30.5 (5.1–86.7)</td>
<td>44.2 (11.3–105.7)</td>
<td>84.5 (23.3–259.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{FL}$ in Gy</td>
<td>26.0 (16.0–37.1)</td>
<td>27.9 (11.9–41.6)</td>
<td>30.7 (4.0–52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_T$/$D_{FL}$ ratio</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2–5.4)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.4–8.9)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.6–40.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean and range are reported. $D_T$/$D_{FL}$ ratio is estimate of tumorto-nontumor ratio.

TABLE 3
Influence of Threshold on Dosimetry Parameters
translated to larger cohorts. These preliminary results will also need to be translated to prospective clinical practice. Even though a biologic coefficient of 1.0 (equivalent to x-rays and y-rays), the effect of prolonged exposure on a decay curve may be different from that of fractionated doses as given by external-beam radiation, and a $D_{95}$ of 30 Gy may or may not be a valid limit. A prospective dose-escalation study may be conducted to establish safety limits for $D_{95}$ and to further refine patient inclusion criteria and optimization of dose planning.

## CONCLUSION

Fusion $^{99m}$Tc-MAA--$^{99m}$Tc-SC SPECT imaging offers a true physiology-based imaging tool for outcome prediction after hepatic radioembolization. It offers a robust, reproducible, automated, and fast method, which demonstrates prognostic value and dose–response relationships for both toxicity and efficacy.
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