In Vivo Visualization of Amyloid Deposits in the Heart with $^{11}$C-PIB and PET
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Cardiac amyloidosis is a differential diagnosis in heart failure and is associated with high mortality. There is currently no noninvasive imaging test available for specific diagnosis. N-[methyl-$^{11}$C]2-(4'-methylamino-phenyl)-6-hydroxybenzothiazole ($^{11}$C-PIB) PET is used in the evaluation of brain amyloidosis. We evaluated the potential use of $^{11}$C-PIB PET in systemic amyloidosis affecting the heart. **Methods:** Patients ($n=10$) diagnosed with systemic amyloidosis—including heart involvement of either monoclonal immunoglobulin light-chain (AL) or transthyretin (ATTR) type—and healthy volunteers ($n=5$) were investigated with PET/CT using $^{11}$C-PIB to study cardiac amyloid deposits and with $^{11}$C-acetate to measure myocardial blood flow to study the impact of global and regional perfusion on PIB retention. **Results:** Myocardial $^{11}$C-PIB uptake was visually evident in all patients 15–25 min after injection and was not seen in any volunteer. A significant difference in $^{11}$C-PIB retention in the heart between patients and healthy controls was found. The data indicate that myocardial amyloid deposits in patients diagnosed with systemic amyloidosis could be visualized with $^{11}$C-PIB. No correlation between $^{11}$C-PIB retention index and myocardial blood flow as measured with $^{11}$C-acetate was found on the global level, whereas a positive correlation on the segmental level was seen in a single patient. **Conclusion:** $^{11}$C-PIB and PET could be a method to study systemic amyloidosis of type AL and ATTR affecting the heart and should be investigated further both as a diagnostic tool and as a noninvasive method for treatment follow-up.
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Amyloids are highly organized aggregates rich in cross β-sheet proteins that are found, for example, in Alzheimer’s disease and systemic amyloidosis (1). The diseases are characterized by a variety of normal or mutated proteins that are deposited extracellularly and disrupt organ function either mechanically or by exerting direct toxic effects on cells (2). All organs except for the brain can be affected in systemic amyloidosis, and the disease is often life-threatening. A biopsy from a symptom-giving organ or from a tissue that regularly contains amyloid provides the diagnosis. Presently, a subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsy is often used, and the biopsy sample also determines the biochemical type (3). Heart involvement, leading to cardiac insufficiency or to arrhythmias, is one of the most important and life-threatening manifestations in several of the systemic amyloidoses, particularly of immunoglobulin light-chain (AL) and transthyretin (ATTR) type.

Early diagnosis before structural change to heart tissue has occurred is important both for the disease prognosis and for the monitoring of treatment effects. The assessment of cardiac amyloidosis includes a number of diagnostic methods, but there is no method available for direct visualization and quantification of the amyloid deposits in the heart. Echocardiography is the mainstay of imaging in cardiac amyloidosis. Both the systolic and diastolic component of heart failure can be assessed using a combination of traditional techniques such as 2-dimensional Doppler and tissue Doppler. Even in clear cases, findings are difficult to differentiate from other types of concentric hypertrophy, but the combination of typical findings and a positive biopsy result from any organ is regarded as evidence of cardiac involvement. A variety of newer echocardiography techniques is under investigation and might improve the accuracy (4).
Additionally, MR imaging with gadolinium-based contrast medium and late enhancement in T1-weighted images provides a method by which the location and extent of extracellular infiltration of amyloid deposits can be visualized (5), but this technique is not specific for amyloidosis on the molecular level.

Several molecular imaging methods that depend on the binding of specific ligands to amyloid are available. Serum amyloid P is a ubiquitous component of in vivo amyloid and binds avidly to cross β-sheet fibrils. 123I-serum amyloid P scanning has come to be a valuable and specific method to visualize the distribution of amyloid in the body (6,7). By this method, it is also possible to follow the resolution of amyloid, particularly in serum amyloid A and amyloid amyloidosis. However, the heart is not visualized by 123I-serum amyloid P scanning (7). 123I-meta-iodobenzylguanidine has shown the most clinical relevance, and a correlation between reduced tracer accumulation in the myocardium and impaired cardiac sympathetic innervation has been found (8). This correlation is, however, not evidence for or a measure of amyloid deposits. The polypeptide protease inhibitor aprotinin (9,10) has been labeled with 99mTc and used for visualization of amyloid deposits in the myocardium, with antiproteases, found in amyloid deposits, as the suggested molecular target. In addition, 99mTc-DPD is used as an imaging agent for the visualization of transthyretin-related amyloidosis (11). However, with SPECT, no quantification of the radioactivity in tissue can be obtained, and another diagnostic imaging modality is required to achieve quantitative measures of tracer binding to tissue. A light-chain monoclonal antibody, 11-1F4, has been labeled with 124I and used in PET studies on patients diagnosed with amyloid amyloidosis. Uptake was found in some organs with amyloid deposits, for example, the liver, but not in heart or kidneys (J2). The PET tracer N-[methyl-11C]2-(4′-methylamino-phenyl)-6-hydroxybenzothiazole (11C-PIB) was developed for visualization and quantification of β-amyloid in Alzheimer’s disease and is believed to bind to amyloid fibrils of any type (13). However, this tracer has not yet been evaluated for use with cardiac amyloidosis.

The aim of the present work was to investigate whether amyloid deposits in the heart can be visualized and quantified noninvasively in patients diagnosed with systemic amyloidosis by comparison with healthy age-matched controls using 11C-PIB and PET. The relationship between 11C-PIB uptake and myocardial blood flow (MBF), and the possible dependence of 11C-PIB uptake on MBF, was assessed using 11C-acetate PET.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Ten patients (mean age, 66 y; age range, 48–77 y) and 5 healthy controls (mean age, 64 y; age range, 54–75 y) participated in this study. The age-matched controls were healthy as assessed by medical history. Each volunteer provided written informed consent. The study was performed with permission from the local Independent Ethics Committee and Radiation Protection Committee and in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were included on the basis of immunohistochemistry-confirmed amyloid disease in subcutaneous tissue samples, and heart involvement was diagnosed either by echocardiography (n = 10) or by myocardial biopsy (n = 5), according to the criteria published by Gertz et al. (14).

The nature of the amyloid was determined in all patients either by immunohistochemistry or by Western blot analysis. For immunohistochemistry, endomyocardial biopsy samples were incubated with the polyclonal rabbit antiserum 1898 against recombinant TTR50-127 developed in-house (15), the monoclonal antibody pwlam against AL λ (16), and the monoclonal antibody Sne5 against protein serum amyloid A (unpublished data, Nystrom and Westermark). Western blot analyses were performed on extracts from subcutaneous adipose tissue biopsies using antibodies as previously described (17).

**PET/CT Procedure**

After low-dose CT scanning, a 32-min dynamic emission scan of the heart was started simultaneously with the intravenous bolus injection of 11C-acetate (10 MBq/kg) using a Discovery ST PET/CT scanner (GE Healthcare). After at least 2 h to allow for the decay of remaining activity, a second low-dose CT scan was made, followed by a second 25-min dynamic scan starting simultaneously with intravenous bolus injection of 11C-PIB (6 MBq/kg). Imaging was performed in 2-dimensional mode, yielding 47 transaxial images. All appropriate corrections for scanner normalization, decay, time, scatter, randoms, and attenuation were applied. Images were reconstructed into 32 frames (11C-acetate; 12 × 5, 6 × 10, 4 × 30, 4 × 60, 2 × 120, and 4 × 300 s) or 29 frames (11C-PIB; 12 × 5, 6 × 10, 4 × 30, 2 × 60, 2 × 120, and 3 × 300 s) using ordered-subset expectation maximization (2 iterations, 30 subsets), with the application of a 5-mm Gaussian filter. Images consisted of 128 × 128 voxels, with dimensions of 2.34 × 2.34 × 3.27 mm and a spatial resolution of approximately 7 mm.

**Image Analysis**

**11C-Acetate MBF Images.** Using the CAPP package (Siemens/CTI), we placed 1-cm-diameter regions of interest over the ascending aorta in 10 consecutive image planes of the frame in which the first pass of 11C-acetate could best be seen, and then regions of interest were combined into a volume of interest (VOI). A second VOI was placed over the right ventricular cavity, with boundaries at a minimum of 1 cm from its wall. VOIs were transferred to all image frames to create arterial and right-ventricular time–activity curves CA(t) and CRV(t), respectively. An image-derived input function CP(t) was created by multiplying CA(t) by a sigmoid function fit to published population-averaged metabolite data (18–20). Parametric K1 (influx rate constant of 11C-acetate) images were calculated using a basis-function implementation (21–23) of the solution of a single-tissue-compartment model with corrections for blood volume and left-ventricular (LV) and right-ventricular spillover, as suggested by Van den Hoff et al. (20):

\[
C_{PET} = (1 - V_A - V_{RV}) \times K_1 \times C_P(t) \times e^{-k_2 t} + V_A C_A(t) + V_R C_{RV}(t).
\]

Here, VA accounts for LV spill-over and arterial blood volume, VRV is right-ventricular spill-over, and k2 is the efflux rate constant of 11C-acetate. Thirty logarithmically spaced precomputed basis functions fi(t) were used, with k2 values ranging from 0.05 to
Table 1 summarizes patient and healthy volunteer data. The diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis was established by myocardial biopsy (n = 5) or by a positive biopsy result from subcutaneous abdominal fat in combination with typical findings on echocardiography.

Echocardiography data were acquired in all patients for clinical reasons within 206 ± 149 d of PET. In 2 patients, pre-PET echocardiography was of poor quality or not retrievable and therefore echocardiography was repeated after PET. Overall, the echocardiography findings were characterized as typical for patients with cardiac amyloidosis with normal or mildly decreased LV ejection fraction (0.53 [range, 0.42–0.66]), concentric LV hypertrophy and signs of a diastolic dysfunction with increased left atrial size, and Doppler findings such as restrictive diastolic dysfunction. Mean LV wall thickness in diastole was 1.7 cm (range, 1.3–2.2 cm) in the interventricular septum and 1.6 cm (range, 1.3–2.1 cm) in the posterior wall. Mean right-ventricular wall thickness was 0.6 cm (range, 0.5–1.2 cm). All patients had at least some electrocardiogram (ECG) signs of LV pathology but a low-voltage electrocardiogram was noted in only 3 of 10. Eight of 10 patients had Q-waves, which were interpreted as pseudoinfarction due to amyloid burden in 6 patients and as a true infarction in 2 patients with a documented history of concomitant ischemic heart disease. Four patients had chronic atrial fibrillation, whereas the remaining 6 had sinus rhythm.

Mean time–activity curves for 11C-PIB in blood and myocardium are shown in Figure 1 (normalized injected activity [standardized uptake value] divided by body weight). Individual time–activity curves are shown in Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental materials are available online only at http://jnm.snmjournals.org). Figure 2 shows representative MBF and 11C-PIB RI images from 1 healthy volunteer and 3 patients. Visual inspection of 11C-PIB summation images acquired at 15–25 min after injection showed obvious uptake in the LV wall of all patients, whereas no uptake was seen in any of the 5 healthy controls (Fig. 2). In half of the patients, 11C-PIB uptake was visually detectable also in the right ventricular wall. Nine of the patients had signs of reversible uptake, with a maximum concentration at around 10–15 min after injection.

The mean 11C-PIB RI in amyloidosis patients was 0.054 min⁻¹ (range, 0.033–0.134 min⁻¹), compared with 0.025 min⁻¹ (range, 0.020–0.031 min⁻¹) in healthy controls. Mean MBF as measured by 11C-acetate was 0.49 mL cm⁻³ min⁻¹ (range, 0.28–0.69 mL cm⁻³ min⁻¹) in patients and 0.71 mL cm⁻³ min⁻¹ (range, 0.52–1.07 mL cm⁻³ min⁻¹) in controls. As shown in Figure 3, there was a significant difference in the 11C-PIB RI between amyloidosis patients and healthy controls (P = 0.0007). In addition, MBF was significantly lower in amyloidosis patients (P = 0.03). Blood flow–corrected RI (RI/MBF) was also significantly higher in amyloidosis patients, at 0.124 (range, 0.069–0.309), than in healthy controls, at 0.042 (range, 0.025–0.052) (P = 0.0007).

No significant correlation between MBF and RI was found on the global level as indicated in Figure 4, either for controls and patients together (Pearson correlation coefficient
# TABLE 1
Patient and Healthy Control Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ischemic heart disease</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Melphalan*</th>
<th>IVSd † (cm)</th>
<th>RV ‡ (cm)</th>
<th>E/e §</th>
<th>LV ejection fraction</th>
<th>LV end-diastolic volume (mL)</th>
<th>Left atrial volume (mL m⁻²)</th>
<th>RI for ¹¹C-PIB (min⁻¹)</th>
<th>MBF (mL cm⁻³ min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Transthyretin¹</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AL κ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Transthyretin²</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL λ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AL λ</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL λ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL κ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Transthyretin²</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL λ</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>AL λ</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Antiamyloid treatment before PET.
†Interventricular septal wall thickness in diastole.
‡Thickness of right ventricular free wall.
§Ratio of E-wave deceleration time and septal wall motion at time of early mitral flow.
¶Familial Va30Met transthyretin.
∥Senile systemic amyloidosis.
segmental level for absolute values or when segmental values were normalized to the segment with the highest value of either. With an intraindividual analysis on the segment level, the patient with the highest RI (patient 9) had a highly significant positive correlation between MBF and RI ($r^2 = 0.85, P < 0.001$), whereas no such pattern was found for any other patient or healthy control.

**11C-PIB In Vitro Binding: Postmortem Case**

The binding of 11C-PIB to postmortem heart tissue (from patient 3) and normal heart homogenates was determined by radioligand assay using 11C-PIB at 5 nM. In the 4 samples from 1 heart with transthyretin, the average amount of specifically bound 11C-PIB was $2.0 \pm 0.5$ pmol/mg. This average was significantly higher than the average uptake in the 3 control hearts, which was $0.06 \pm 0.04$ pmol/mg of wet tissue (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

11C-PIB is believed to bind with conformational dependence to amyloid fibrils of any type—a belief that is in agreement with the results of the present study. The in vitro tissue analysis that was performed in the present study confirmed that there was specific binding of 11C-PIB in heart tissue with amyloid deposits. Amyloid deposits in the myocardium of patients diagnosed with systemic amyloidosis of AL $\kappa$, $\lambda$, and transthyretin origin could be visualized with 11C-PIB, and patients could be identified by visual and semiquantitative assessment of 11C-PIB RI images. In patients with amyloidosis, a significant increase in the 11C-PIB RI was found, whereas MBF was significantly reduced. Obviously, increased 11C-PIB retention was not due to increased MBF. In most patients, 11C-PIB uptake in the myocardium was rather homogeneous, as was MBF. In a single patient (patient 5 in Fig. 2), however, increased 11C-PIB RI was mainly confined to the septum, which showed a corresponding perfusion defect. Although no significant correlation between 11C-PIB RI and MBF was found (Fig. 4), possibly because of the small patient group and large normal interindividual variation.

**FIGURE 1.** Mean standardized uptake value vs. time curves of 11C-PIB in whole myocardium (A) and arterial blood (B) for amyloidosis patients (red) and healthy controls (blue). Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals. p.i. = after injection; SUV = standardized uptake value.

$r^2 = 0.01, P = 0.67$) or separately (patients: $r^2 = 0.13, P = 0.30$; controls: $r^2 = 0.01, P = 0.95$). Similarly, there was no significant correlation between MBF and RI on the

**FIGURE 2.** Short-axis images of 11C-PIB RI and MBF in (left to right) patients with high (patient 9 in Table 1), intermediate (patient 1), and partially increased (patient 5) 11C-PIB retention and a healthy control. Liver is clearly visible in 11C-PIB images of patient 1 and healthy control and is just outside PET field of view for other 2 patients. Liver uptake is due to biliary excretion of 11C-PIB and is likely not related to amyloid binding.
differences in resting-state MBF values, these results do suggest that increased $^{11}$C-PIB is associated with a decrease in MBF. In a single patient (patient 9), there was a strong positive correlation between $^{11}$C-PIB RI and MBF on a segmental level, not seen in any other patient or any healthy control. This particular patient had the highest $^{11}$C-PIB RI, and the time–activity curves indicated minimal reversibility of $^{11}$C-PIB binding during the 25 min of scanning. Specifically, this correlation was not present in any other patient with an AL $\alpha$ amyloid type, which seems to indicate that the molecular mechanisms of PIB binding are heterogeneous even for the same amyloid type and that perfusion measurements might be needed also in future quantitative studies to account for variable PIB delivery to tissue in some cases.

There was a significantly larger $^{11}$C-PIB RI in the patients with known amyloidosis than in healthy volunteers. This finding is encouraging in terms of establishing an objective method in a clinical routine, to document cardiac amyloidosis in patients for whom cardiac biopsy material is not available. However, the number of subjects in this study was small and did not include patients with diastolic heart failure without cardiac amyloidosis. Senile systemic amyloidosis (from wild-type transthyretin) with myocardial deposits is common in elderly subjects and is presently underdiagnosed and probably without symptoms at an early stage (25). It is possible that such amyloid deposits will be diagnosed by the use of PIB. Further studies negating $^{11}$C-PIB uptake in heart failure patients without amyloidosis are needed before the method is implemented in a routine setting.

Semiquantitative assessment of $^{11}$C-PIB binding by RI takes into account interindividual variations in blood clearance without the need for blood sampling and thus is feasible for routine clinical use. However, the use of RI disregards interindividual differences in plasma metabolism and plasma–free fractions and the consequent differences that may exist in the actual amount of nonmetabolized $^{11}$C-PIB in plasma available for uptake in the myocardium. Previous studies with, for example, $^{11}$C-hydroxyephedrine have shown that, for that tracer, RI correlated well with the actual volume of distribution ($V_T$) as determined by tracer kinetic modeling with a metabolite-corrected plasma input function, although the slope of the relation between RI and $V_T$ decreased somewhat for higher $V_T$ values (26). This correlation remains to be validated for $^{11}$C-PIB and will be the subject of future studies. Furthermore, the optimal time point for assessment of RI, resulting in optimal discrimination between patients and controls, needs to be addressed. Figure 6 shows RI as a function of time. As is obvious from Figure 6, RI decreases with time. However, the ratio between RI values in patients and controls, after an initial increase with time, remains constant for time points after 10 min. As such, any chosen interval for the calculation of RI between 10 and 25 min after injection results in a full separation between patients and controls and would have given similar conclusions.
Assuming that the healthy controls did not have any specific 11C-PIB binding at all, a normal RI value should be close to zero at sufficiently long times after injection. RI calculations are much simpler than full compartmental analyses and could be feasible in a clinical environment, but the fact that these calculations do not differentiate specific binding to amyloid from nonspecific tracer uptake and tracer in the blood pool is the likely reason for the nonzero RI control values. Further studies should evaluate the compartment-analysis approach in this context and might also be needed for 11C-PIB PET to rule out early cardiac amyloidosis.

In the present work, 11C-acetate was used to measure MBF. Although previous studies have shown a good correlation between MBF based on 11C-acetate and MBF as measured by 13N-ammonia (20) and 15O-water (19), both in healthy controls and patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, the use of 11C-acetate for MBF measurements in amyloidosis patients has not been validated. A possible explanation for the low apparent MBF in the patient group could be partial-volume effect. In later stages of systemic amyloidosis involving the heart, a significant part of the total enlarged myocardial mass consists of amyloid deposits. Amyloid deposits are the substrate both for diagnosis of increased wall thicknesses using echocardiography and for low-voltage amplitudes and Q-waves using electrocardiography. They are not scarred tissue but nonfunctional, probably nonperfusable, myocardium with a mechanical restriction, resulting in a reduced apparent transmural MBF as measured by 11C-acetate, because the kinetic model measures the mean perfusion in both perfusable and nonperfusable tissue. It may well be the case that MBF is normal in remaining perfusable tissue, and future studies will include MBF measurements with 15O-water, with which MBF measurements solely in perfusable tissue can be obtained as well (27).

Eight of 10 patients in this study had Q-wave signs of pseudoinfarction according to electrocardiography, but only 2 patients had known ischemic heart disease with documented acute myocardial infarctions (one of whom was patient 5). Patient 5 had regionally increased 11C-PIB and a perfusion defect in the septal wall, indicating coexistence of amyloid and infarction. This phenomenon supports a previous finding that regional amyloid burden can potentially induce ischemia and infarction even with morphologically normal angiograms (28).

One of the future aims of the current project is to investigate the potential of a quantitative PET–based method to assess amyloid burden and the dynamics of amyloid turnover in response to directed therapy. Of the 10 patients investigated, 5 had received melphalan treatment for 6–54 mo before the PET scan. No significant difference in 11C-PIB RI was found between the groups of patients with and without treatment. A possible explanation, that the interindividual variation in 11C-PIB retention is larger than the changes in 11C-PIB retention caused by melphalan treatment, needs to be further investigated in future studies.

CONCLUSION

11C-PIB can visualize amyloid deposits in the heart. Binding is variable and has some degree of reversibility, favoring an early time window for imaging. The mechanism of retention in the heart is unclear but does not appear to be positively related to myocardial perfusion in most cases. The use of 11C-PIB with PET is a new noninvasive method to study systemic amyloidosis affecting the heart and may become a clinically feasible method for diagnosis and treatment follow-up.
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