Radiodosimetric Estimates for Radioembolic Therapy of Liver Tumors: Challenges and Opportunities

In this issue of *The Journal of Nu*clear Medicine, Kao et al. describe a novel approach to estimating radiation absorbed doses during radioembolization with 90Y-labeled resin microspheres in patients with liver tumors (1). Although the study includes a relatively small number of patients, nevertheless it introduces an important concept, that is, radiation dose to and the resulting effect on biologically distinct portions of the liver, namely normal tissue and tumor. This concept is at variance with considering radiation dose to the whole organ, as is generally the case when using this form of therapy, the application of which is throughout growing the world.

See page

Each year more than 1 million patients with liver malignancies, either primary or secondary, are diagnosed and treated worldwide (2). Although less than 15% of these patients are candidates for surgical procedures, carefully selected curative resection or liver transplantation has a definite survival benefit, especially for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (3). For patients with inoperable hepatic malignancies, various therapeutic options (systemic or locoregional chemotherapy or chemoembolization) have been proposed. There is no consensus or consistent agreement on which treatment option offers the greatest survival benefit and the least toxicity (4-6).

Locoregional radioembolization has been developed to deliver high radiation doses to malignant hepatic lesions while minimizing systemic toxicity. This is achieved through intrahepatic delivery of a radiation vehicle administered via a minimally invasive transcatheter route. Either lipid micellae (such as ethiodized oil labeled with ¹³¹I or ¹⁸⁸Re) or microspheres loaded with radionuclides (e.g., 90Y-glass or -resin microspheres) are infused into the hepatic arteries that supply the tumor (7). The efficacy of this approach is based on the fact that hepatic malignancies derive their blood supply almost entirely from the hepatic artery, as opposed to the normal liver, which depends mainly on portal blood supply (8).

After transcatheter infusion and then passing through the intrahepatic arterial circulation, different radioactive materials will localize within liver tumors by different mechanisms. In fact, lipid micellae (most commonly ¹³¹I-ethiodized oil) lodge in the tumor and are retained there by pinocytosis both in the tumor cells and in the endothelial cells. Microspheres are retained at the tumor site by mechanical trapping in the capillary bed (microembolization). As a result, much higher radiation doses are delivered to liver tumors than to the normal liver, and the more tumor-selective the transcatheter infusion the higher the tumor-to-normal-liver dose ratio (9).

Considering that patients undergoing this procedure are in advanced stages of disease, radioembolization achieves favorable clinical outcomes in both primary and secondary liver malignancies (10,11). Because all these patients have progressive disease when treated, favorable tumor response is represented by any combination of complete response, partial response, and even stable disease. After radioembolization with 90Y-particles, the combined tumor response is about 80%-90% for hepatocellular carcinoma and is greater than 90% for liver metastases from colorectal cancer (when used as first-line, neoadjuvant treatment before chemotherapy). Furthermore, when an average dose of 120 ± 20 Gy can be delivered to the liver lobe bearing the tumor lesions, median survival ranges from 7.1 to 21 mo in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma and from 6.7 to 17 mo in patients with colorectal liver metastases (12).

There is nevertheless a certain variability in clinical outcomes, most likely related to different approaches used for treatment planning and for correlating radiation dose and clinical outcome (13). The most commonly used techniques for estimating radiation burdens are based on empiric models that do not separately evaluate the tumor and nontumor absorbed dose, despite the well-established correlations between therapeutic efficacy and tumor dose and between toxicity and normal-tissue dose. The goal of treatment planning for radioembolization of liver tumors is to administer the highest possible radiation dose to the tumor while keeping radiation dose to sensitive tissues (such as the lungs and normal or cirrhotic liver) as low as possible. The standard MIRD formalism is used for this purpose, using the so-called organ partition model (14-16); this strategy requires measuring the liver and tumor tissue volumes and calculating the activity localized in each of these 2 compartments and in the lungs. Accurate assessment of the target volumes of interest is there-

inm100537-sn ■ 3/7/12 Copyright 2012 by Society of Nuclear Medicine.

Received Dec. 29, 2011; revision accepted Feb. 23, 2012.

For correspondence or reprints contact: Giuliano Mariani, Regional Center of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pisa Medical School, Via Roma 67, I-56126 Pisa, Italy.

E-mail: g.mariani@med.unipi.it

Published online

COPYRIGHT $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2012 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine, Inc.

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.111.100537

DOSIMETRY FOR RADIOEMBOLIZATION OF LIVER TUMORS • Traino et al. 1

fore critical because it directly affects estimates of the radiation absorbed doses, especially when selective or tumor-selective radioembolization is planned (17).

One of the most interesting and challenging facets of 90Y radioembolization is its multidisciplinary nature, involving the oncologist, the interventional radiologist, the nuclear physician, and the medical physicist. Among the key issues faced by the nuclear medicine physician and the medical physicist is the accurate estimation of absorbed doses to various normal organs and tissues and to the tumor. If the lesion-absorbed dose is too low, the procedure will be ineffective; on the other hand, if the healthy liver absorbs a dose higher than 30 Gy, the risk of irreversible damage limits the overall effectiveness of radioembolization. Similar considerations apply in cases of high liver-to-lung shunting and the prohibitive risk of radiation-induced pulmonary damage.

Radioembolization differs kinetically from typical radionuclide therapies, since the 90Y-particles remain permanently trapped in the lesion after their delivery through the arterial circulation. Therefore, metabolism (which is variable among different agents and even among different patients for the same agent in the case of most radionuclide therapies) does not play any role, and the physical half-life of the radionuclide corresponds to the effective half-life of the agent. In principle, this allows implementation of straightforward dosimetric models, based on the pretherapeutic intraarterial injection of ^{99m}Tc-macroaggregated albumin. Scintigraphy performed immediately after 99mTc-macroaggregated albumin injection visualizes and quantifies accumulation of the radiolabeled particles in the target lesion and in the healthy liver, thus allowing calculation of the tumor-to-nontumor absorbed dose ratio (15). Obviously, tomographic imaging (preferably SPECT/CT) yields the information required for such estimates much more accurately than simple planar imaging. Besides radioactivity ac-

cumulation and retention, mass of the target organ or tissue is another crucial datum for estimating the absorbed dose. In the supplemental material to their article (1), Kao et al. describe how catheter-directed CT hepatic angiography is incorporated into their model to identify the target volumes by better evaluating the perfused areas than digital subtraction angiography. Such improvement is achieved by coregistering the 99mTc-macroaggregated albumin SPECT/CT with the corresponding CT hepatic angiography, so that the target region of interest contoured in the CT hepatic angiography images can easily be transferred onto the SPECT/CT slices. The final result of such integration is the definition of a 3-dimensional volume of interest for both the target portion (bearing the tumor) and the nontumor portion of the liver (18).

Based on total injected activity and fraction of 99mTc-macroaggregated albumin particles shunted to the lungs, radioactivity trapped in pulmonary circulation is easily calculated and the lung-absorbed dose derived. Neverthe less, the tolerance dose for β^- particles emitted by a radionuclide lodged in pulmonary microcirculation, as is the case for microembolization with ⁹⁰Y-particles, is not exactly known. In principle, this dose can be estimated on the basis of external-beam radiation therapy tolerance data and the biologic-effective-dose formalism (19). Accordingly, the radiation dose to normal lung parenchyma must not exceed 30 Gy to 20% or 15 Gy to 30% of the whole lung volume. The corresponding values for the liver are 50 Gy to one third or 35 Gy to two thirds of the whole liver volume (20).

In ⁹⁰Y-microembolization, absorbed doses to individual tissues or organs can be calculated using the MIRD formalism, which yields the average dose to the intrahepatic lesion, as well as to the other parts of the liver lobe and to the lungs in the case of liver-to-lung shunting. In their supplemental material, Kao et al. offer for download the spreadsheet they developed for calculating the average absorbed dose to various target zones within the liver, to nontumor liver, and to the lung (1), a potentially interesting option also for future comparison among different centers. Patient-specific dosimetry-based calculation of the administered activity is presumably more therapeutically effective and less toxic than an activity based simply on a body habitus parameter such as weight or body surface area and is therefore recommended. Unfortunately, 90Y-microspheres injected intraarterially are not uniformly distributed within the tumor or the normal liver, since the arterial vasculature does not uniformly perfuse these tissues. Calculation of the doses to the targeted tumors and to nontumor tissues could be improved further by implementing 3-dimensional voxel-based dosimetry, with the goal of taking into account, at least to some extent, the inhomogeneity of the activity distributions in volumes of interest (21,22). Nevertheless, the limited spatial resolution of current SPECT equipment (and even of PET equipment) jeopardizes the possibility of characterizing with an accuracy better than an approximately 0.4 cm³ voxel size (or 0.07 cm³ for PET) the inhomogeneity at the microscopic level of radionuclide distribution in the target tumor and in the nontumoral liver. Despite such intrinsic limitations, 3-dimensional voxel-based dosimetry certainly constitutes also for this form of radionuclide therapy an important advance compared with calculation of average absorbed doses, even if the latter is performed according to the novel approach described by Kao et al. (1). In addition, voxel-based dosimetry allows graphical representation, in the form of the dose-volume histogram, of the fractional volume of tissue that receives a certain absorbed dose, as is routinely done in external-beam radiation therapy. In conjunction with suitable radiobiologic (i.e., dose-response) models, this may allow one to reasonably predict the likelihood of achieving tumor control while avoiding prohibitive normal-tissue complications.

Kao et al. (1) concluded that an effective absorbed dose to the lesion of 100 Gy or greater produces a clinically

significant therapeutic effect. On the other hand, if the healthy liver tissue absorbs less than 30 Gy, no complications are to be expected; similarly, no pulmonary complications are expected in the case of liver-to-lung shunting resulting in a lung absorbed dose of less than 10 Gy. These values have been calculated by Kao et al. under the hypothesis of a homogeneous distribution of the ⁹⁰Y-particles in the lesions or organs. These dose values should therefore be refined under conditions of nonuniform distributions of activity in the volumes of interest. Radiobiologic modeling could then be used to derive the 90Y activity required to achieve a desired reduction in tumor volume. Challenges remain, however.

One of the limitations is the fact that it is difficult to perform pretherapeutic dosimetry by administering a tracer amount of radioactivity using the same radionuclide as for therapy. 90Y is a pure β -emitter that can be imaged only by using its associated bremsstrahlung; such radiation is difficult to reliably quantitate by either planar (23) or tomographic (24) imaging. In fact, accurate calibration of the imaging equipment is necessary to this purpose (23), a requirement that has so far greatly limited the routine application of such an approach. Furthermore, even the posttherapeutic bremsstrahlung images are rather poor and are generally considered only for the purpose of qualitative visual control of successful radioactivity administration. Alternatively, although emitted in low yield, ⁹⁰Y emissions include positrons, with the possibility of accurate activity quantitation by PET/CT (25,26).

Another limitation is that manual contouring of the regions or volumes of interest is to a certain extent operatordependent. Nevertheless, this procedure is similar to that routinely performed in external-beam radiation therapy.

In conclusion, although a complete solution to the challenges posed by

dosimetric estimates for ⁹⁰Y-radioembolization is not yet available, it is nevertheless possibly brought within reach by adopting novel technical approaches, as outlined in this article.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

A. Claudio Traino

Division of Health Physics University Hospital of Pisa Pisa, Italy

Giuseppe Boni Giuliano Mariani

Regional Center of Nuclear Medicine University of Pisa Medical School Pisa, Italy

REFERENCES

- Kao YH, Tan AEH, Burgmans MK, et al. Imageguided personalized predictive dosimetry by artery-specific SPECT/CT partition modeling for safe and effective ⁹⁰Y radioembolization. J Nucl Med. 2012;53: DOM: 1000-1000.
- El-Serag HB. Hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatitis C in the United States. *Hepatology*. 2002; 36(suppl):S74–S83.
- Mazzaferro V, Regalia E, Doci R, et al. Liver transplantation for the treatment of small hepatocellular carcinomas in patients with cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;334:693–699.
- Lau WY. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. J R Coll Surg Edinb. 2002;47:389–399.
- Llovet JM, Bruix J. Unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: meta-analysis of arterial embolisation. *Radiology*. 2004;230:300–301.
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:378–390.
- Van de Wiele C. Radioembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Curr Drug Discov Technol.* 2010;7: 247–252.
- Bierman HR, Byron R, Kelley K, et al. Studies on the blood supply of tumors in man. III. Vascular patterns of the liver by hepatic arteriography in vivo. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1951;12:107–131.
- Gulec SA, Fong Y. Yttrium 90 microsphere selective internal radiation treatment of hepatic colorectal metastases. *Arch Surg.* 2007;142:675–682.
- Kennedy AS, McNeillie P, Dezarn WA, et al. Treatment parameters and outcome in 680 treatments of internal radiation with resin ⁹⁰Y-microspheres for unresectable hepatic tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2009;74:1494–1500.
- 11. Lewandowski RJ, Riaz A, Ryu RK, et al. Optimization of radioembolic effect with extended-shelf-life

yttrium-90 microspheres: results from a pilot study. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2009;20:1557–1563.

- Vente MAD, Wondergem M, van der Tweel I, et al. Yttrium-90 microsphere radioembolization for the treatment of liver malignancies: a structured metaanalysis. *Eur Radiol.* 2009;19:951–959.
- Garin E, Lenoir L, Rolland Y, et al. Dosimetry based on ^{99m}Tc-macroaggregated albumin SPECT/CT accurately predicts tumor response and survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with ⁹⁰Yloaded glass microspheres: preliminary results. *J Nucl Med.* 2012;53:255–263.
- Ho S, Lau WY, Leung TW, et al. Partition model for estimating radiation doses from yttrium-90 microspheres in treating hepatic tumours. *Eur J Nucl Med.* 1996;23:947–952.
- Gulec SA, Mesoloras G, Stabin M. Dosimetric techniques in ⁹⁰Y-microsphere therapy of liver cancer: the MIRD equations for dose calculations. *J Nucl Med.* 2006;47:1209–1211.
- 16. Chiesa C, Maccauro M, Romito R, et al. Need, feasibility and convenience of dosimetric treatment planning in liver selective internal radiation therapy with ⁹⁰Y microspheres: the experience of the National Tumor Institute of Milan. *Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2011;55:168–197.
- Ahmadzadehfar H, Sabet A, Biermann K, et al. The significance of ^{99m}Tc-MAA SPECT/CT liver perfusion imaging in treatment planning for ⁹⁰Ymicrosphere selective internal radiation treatment. *J Nucl Med.* 2010;51:1206–1212.
- Gaba RC, Lewandowski RJ, Kulik LM, et al. Radiation lobectomy: preliminary findings of hepatic volumetric response to lobar ⁹⁰Y radioembolization. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2009;16:1587–1596.
- Dale RG. Dose-rate effects in targeted radiotherapy. *Phys Med Biol.* 1996;41:1871–1884.
- Emami B, Lyman J, Brown A, et al. Tolerance of normal tissue to therapeutic irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1991:21:109–122.
- Bolch WE, Bouchet LG, Robertson JS, et al. MIRD pamphlet no. 17: the dosimetry of nonuniform activity distribution—radionuclide S values at the voxel level. *J Nucl Med.* 1999;40:11S–36S.
- Dieudonné A, Garin E, Laffont S, et al. Clinical feasibility of fast 3-dimensional dosimetry of the liver for treatment planning of hepatocellular carcinoma with ⁹⁰Y-microspheres. J Nucl Med. 2011;52:1930–1937.
- Minarik D, Ljungberg M, Segards P, Gleisner KS. Evaluation of quantitative planar ⁹⁰Y bremsstrahlung whole body imaging. *Phys Med Biol.* 2009;54:5873– 5883.
- Fabbri C, Sarti G, Cremonesi M, et al. Quantitative analysis of ⁹⁰Y bremsstrahlung SPECT-CT images for application to 3D patient-specific dosimetry. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm.* 2009;24:145–154.
- Kao YH, Tan EH, Ng CE, Goh SW. Yttrium-90 timeof-flight PET/CT is superior to Bremsstrahlung SPECT/ CT for postradioembolization imaging of microsphere biodistribution. *Clin Nucl Med.* 2011;36:e186–e187.
- 26. Kao YH, Tan EH, Lim KY, Ng CE, Goh SW. Yttrium-90 internal pair production imaging using first generation PET/CT provides high resolution images for qualitative diagnostic purposes. Br J Radiol. October 5, 2011 [Epub ahead of print].