Humanized A33 (huA33) is a promising monoclonal antibody that recognizes A33 antigen, which is present in more than 95% of colorectal cancers and in normal bowel. In this study, we took advantage of quantitative PET to evaluate $^{124}$I-huA33 targeting, biodistribution, and safety in patients with colorectal cancer. We also determined the biodistribution of $^{124}$I-huA33 when a large dose of human intravenous IgG (IVIG) was administered to manipulate the Fc receptor or when $^{124}$I-huA33 was given via hepatic arterial infusion (HAI).

**Methods:** We studied 25 patients with primary or metastatic colorectal cancer; 19 patients had surgical exploration or resection. Patients received a median of 343 MBq (44.4–396 MBq) and 10 mg of $^{124}$I-huA33. Nineteen patients received the antibody intravenously and 6 patients via HAI, and 5 patients also received IVIG. **Results:** Ten of 12 primary tumors were visualized in 11 patients. The median concentration in primary colon tumors was 0.016% injected dose per gram, compared with 0.004% in normal colon. The PET-based median ratio of hepatic tumor uptake to normal-liver uptake was 3.9 (range, 1.8–22.2). Quantitation using PET, compared with well counting of serum and tissue, showed little difference. Prominent uptake in bowel hindered tumor identification in some patients. Pharmacokinetics showed that patients receiving IVIG had a significantly shorter serum half-time (41.6 ± 14.0 h) than those without (65.2 ± 9.8 h). There were no differences in clearance rates among the intravenous group, IVIG group, and HAI group, nor was there any difference in serum area under the curve, maximum serum concentration, or volume of distribution. Weak titer of human–anti-human antibodies were observed in 6 of 25 patients. No acute side effects or significant toxicities were associated with huA33.

**Conclusion:** Good localization of $^{124}$I-huA33 in colorectal cancer with no significant toxicity has been observed. PET-derived $^{124}$I concentrations agreed well with those obtained by well counting of surgically resected tissue and blood, confirming the quantitative accuracy of $^{124}$I-huA33 PET. The HAI route had no advantage over the intravenous route. No clinically significant changes in blood clearance were induced by IVIG.
contributing to higher tumor uptake, also result in higher background levels and greater bone marrow radiation exposure. Patients undergoing $^{131\text{I}}$-huA33 radioimmunotherapy had longer serum retention of huA33 than of murine A33, and the maximum tolerated dose was 1,480 MBq/m², compared with 2,775 MBq/m² for murine A33, likely related to the longer serum half-life of $^{131\text{I}}$-huA33 (11,13). It would be desirable if, once sufficient targeting were achieved, clearance of circulating radioactivity could be accelerated. Various approaches attempting to improve tumor-to-nontumor targeting have been evaluated, including multistep strategies or the use of clearing agents (14–16). Fc receptor is a complex class I-like protein that plays a central role in perinatal IgG transfer and protection of IgG from catabolism (17). We have previously reported, in mice, that high-dose human IgG blocked the neonatal Fc receptor (18), resulting in faster blood and whole-body pharmacokinetics and better tumor-to-blood ratios without adversely affecting tumor uptake. In the current study, we translated this approach to the clinic.

The route of administration of antibodies has an important effect on their biodistribution, and studies have shown potential benefits of alternate routes (19,20). The advantage of hepatic arterial infusion (HAI) of any pharmaceutical is dependent on the degree of first-pass extraction fraction. Although theoretic data are available to suggest a low extraction fraction from intraarterially administered antibodies, limited clinical data exist for HAI of mAbs and none for huA33. Fan et al. administered iodinated mAb to patients with hepatoma through HAI and concluded that it was superior to intravenous injection, with tumor-to-liver ratios of 1.74 ± 0.57 and 1.34 ± 0.29, respectively (21). A trial using HAI of $^{131\text{I}}$-metuximab, directed against hepatoma, found that it was safe and active (22). The same group has also safely administered $^{131\text{I}}$-hepama mAb via HAI with hepatic artery ligation in patients with hepatoma (23) and concluded that this approach may be helpful. Given that hepatic metastases are a major problem in colorectal cancer and that huA33 has a high affinity for its antigen (24), we explored whether HAI would result in higher tumor uptake than that of the intravenous injection. Preclinical studies directly comparing the intravenous and HAI routes in a brain tumor model have shown a 20% improvement in delivery via the intraarterial route (25), and clinical trials have also been performed (26). Thus, in a subset of patients we evaluated the delivery of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 via HAI.

The primary objectives of this study were to evaluate the safety of a single dose of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 and its ability to target colorectal cancer. Secondary objectives included determining the immunogenicity of a single injection of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 and the pharmacokinetics and quantitative imaging characteristics of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 administered through HAI or in conjunction with intravenous IgG (IVIG).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**A33 Antibody and Radiolabeling**

HuA33 is a fully humanized IgG1 mAb derived from murine A33 (24). The characterization and antigen recognition have been previously described (27,28). The huA33 antibody was produced at the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and was labeled with $^{124\text{I}}$ using the IODOGEN (Thermo Fisher Scientific) method in accordance with our Investigational New Drug application. Formulations of between 44.4 MBq of $^{124\text{I}}$/10 mg of A33 and 370 MBq of $^{124\text{I}}$/10 mg of A33 were produced under current good-manufacturing-practice conditions. In brief, a 30%–40% excess of $^{124\text{I}}$ was incubated with huA33 in an IODOGEN tube. The mixture was purified through an anion exchange column followed by terminal sterilization through a 0.22-μm filter. The activity of the final product was assayed in a dose calibrator, and cold antibody was added to yield a dose containing 10 mg. All products passed pyrogen and sterility testing. The median radiochemical yield was 86% (range, 56%–99%); the median radiochemical purity was 98.2% (range, 91%–100%); and the median immunoreactivity as determined by a cell-binding assay using SW1222 cells was 89% (range, 80%–98%) (29).

**Patient Eligibility and Protocol Design**

Patients were enrolled in an open-label prospective protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board of Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. The objectives were to determine the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, tumor-targeting ability, and human–antihuman antibody (HAHA) immune response of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 administered intravenously. Furthermore, we evaluated whether administration of large amounts of IVIG increased the blood clearance of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 and whether localization in liver metastases was better with HAI than with intravenous administration.

The patients had histologically confirmed primary or metastatic colorectal cancer and were candidates for laparotomy for tumor resection or were nonsurgical candidates with liver metastasis larger than 2.5 cm. Other criteria included an expected survival of more than 3 mo, age greater than 18 y, Karnofsky performance status of at least 70, platelet count of at least 75,000/mm$^3$, white blood cell count of at least 3,000/mm$^3$, serum bilirubin level of no more than 2.5 mg/dL, serum creatinine level of no more than 2.0 mg/dL, no clinically significant heart disease, no brain metastasis, and no chemotherapy within 4 wk of the study. All patients provided informed consent.

Twenty-five patients (19 men and 6 women) were imaged. Their median age was 61 y (range, 49–77 y). Each received a single intravenous ($n = 19$) or HAI ($n = 6$) administration of $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33. The antibody was infused intravenously over 5–20 min (19 patients), intraarterially over approximately 5 min (5 patients), or intraarterially over 60 min (1 patient), through a hepatic infusion pump (Codman) previously used for chemotherapy.

Patients scheduled for surgery underwent $^{124\text{I}}$-huA33 PET the morning of their surgery. In the 19 patients who underwent surgery, the PET results could be correlated with the surgical resection specimen; the 6 patients who did not undergo surgery had CT correlation only. Fifteen patients who had undergone previous resection of their primary tumor had radiographic findings suggestive of metastatic disease; 10 patients were studied with their primary tumor in situ. When tissue could be spared at the time of surgery (16/19 patients), it was weighed and counted in a well.
counter, and the percentage injected dose (%ID) of $^{124}$I per gram of tumor or normal tissue was determined.

Five patients received Gamunex—IVIG (Talecris Biotherapeutics Inc.), 1 g/kg, 1–2 d after intravenous injection of $^{124}$I-huA33. A median of 70.8 g of IVIG (range, 53–90.7 g) in a median of 1.32 L was administered over 5–9 h. All these patients underwent scanning immediately before the start of IVIG and shortly after the end of IVIG (median, 8.6 h after the start of IVIG).

Imaging

Patients were imaged either on an Advance (n = 2; GE Healthcare), Discovery LS (n = 14; GE Healthcare), or DSTE (n = 9; GE Healthcare) PET/CT scanner in 2-dimensional mode with attenuation, scatter, and other standard corrections applied. Images were acquired at 6 min per field of view and included whole-torso imaging from neck to proximal thighs in 14 patients and from mid chest to pelvis in the remainder. All patients underwent their final scan at a median of 8.9 d (range, 4.9–8.9 d) after tracer administration. In addition, 20 patients underwent imaging shortly (median, 2.6 h) after antibody administration, and 14 were imaged at 1 intermediate time point (median, 2 d) between injection and their last scan.

Images were read by an experienced nuclear medicine physician who was aware of the patient’s history and diagnostic CT scan report. Localization of tracer in tumor was defined as focal accumulation greater than adjacent background activity in areas where physiologic activity is not expected. Because $^{124}$I-huA33 localizes in small bowel and colon, activity in bowel was considered positive for tumor when there was a focal accumulation greater than activity in the adjacent colon. All images and maximum-intensity-projection images were reviewed on a dedicated PET workstation (AW Suite; GE Healthcare). Regions of interest were placed visually over structures of interest, and mean standardized uptake value (SUV) and maximum SUV (SUVmax) normalized to body weight [$\text{[kBq/mL activity in region]} / \text{[kBq injected activity/body mass in g]}$] were determined for blood pool, liver, spleen, bowel, thyroid, and small and large bowel. To determine the %ID/g from the PET image, the SUV was divided by the body weight (in g) and multiplied by 100.

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic analysis was performed on all patients by drawing blood at approximately 5, 15, 60, and 120 min after antibody administration and on the last day of imaging or surgery (5–9 d). Starting with patient 12, an intermediate blood sample was drawn at 24–72 h after infusion, and in those patients undergoing IVIG infusion, a sample was also drawn immediately before and after IVIG infusion. All samples were centrifuged; serum was divided into aliquots, weighed, and counted in the scintillation well counter with a standard of the injected dose; and the decay-corrected %ID/L in serum was calculated. The data were fit to a monoexponential function because of the limited samples (Prism; GraphPad). The data were used to determine the serum half-time ($T_{1/2}$), volume of distribution, area underneath the curve (AUC), and clearance. The AUC was determined by trapezoidal integration up to the time of the last blood sampling, and the terminal component was estimated using the fitted half-life. To determine the %ID in the plasma volume, the %ID/L at the end of infusion was multiplied by the patient’s estimated plasma volume as determined from a nomogram. To determine whether the blood-pool concentration, derived from PET, correlated with counting of serum samples, a region of interest was drawn over the atrial blood pool. The SUVmax in the blood was converted to %ID/L of serum using the patient’s hematocrit level.

To determine whether IVIG accelerated the blood clearance of $^{124}$I-huA33, we compared the AUC and $T_{1/2}$ of those patients receiving and not receiving IVIG. Further, we compared the activity in the last serum sample measured in those patients receiving IVIG with that of other patients, who had similarly timed serum activity measurements but did not receive IVIG. We also compared the observed and expected immediate post-IVIG serum concentrations by extrapolating the clearance from the pre-IVIG serum sample using the mean $T_{1/2}$ determined from those patients not receiving IVIG and accounting for the time elapsed between the pre- and post-IVIG samples. The SUVmax in pre-IVIG liver, spleen, bowel, and kidney regions of interest was compared with that after IVIG administration to assess the effect of the IVIG on $^{124}$I-huA33 biodistribution.

Evaluation for Toxicity

Patients were monitored for adverse events during the infusion, at each imaging visit, and at 35 ± 3 d after infusion. Adverse events were graded using the National Cancer Institute toxicity criteria (30).

Evaluation for HAHA

The patients’ serum was evaluated for HAHA before injection and at an approximate mean of 39 ± 19 d after infusion. The HAHA assay was performed by surface plasmon resonance technology using a BLACORE 2000 instrument (GE Healthcare) as previously described (31).

Statistics

Descriptive statistics include median or mean ± SD. Because of the small sample sizes, nonparametric methods were used for group comparisons: Wilcoxon signed rank for paired comparisons and Kruskal–Wallis 1-way ANOVA for comparisons across 3 groups. All statistical analyses were performed with SigmaStat 3.5 (Systat Software Inc.).

RESULTS

Patients and Dosing

Patients received a median dose of 343 MBq (range, 44.4–396 MBq) of $^{124}$I-huA33 with a total of 10 mg of huA33. No toxicity or adverse side effects attributable to the huA33 were observed. HAHA was not present in any of the preinjection baseline serum, although low titers developed in 6 of the 25 patients.

Imaging Results

Individual conventional imaging results, $^{124}$I-huA33 imaging results, and surgical findings are presented in Supplemental Table 1 (supplemental materials are available online only at http://jnm.snjmjournals.org). Eleven patients had 12 primary tumors in situ. Ten of these 12 primaries were positive for $^{124}$I-huA33 uptake. One of the 2 primary colon tumors negative for uptake was in a patient with prior adjuvant chemotherapy and a negative $^{18}$F-FDG PET result at the time of $^{124}$I-huA33 imaging. In all 10 patients with liver metastases, the metastases were detected by $^{124}$I-huA33 (Supplemental Table 1; Figs. 1 and 2). In 4 of 7
patients with nodal metastases, the $^{124}$I-huA33 scan was positive for uptake; 2 of the PET-negative nodal sites were identified only at surgery. Lung lesions in 2 of 5 patients were positive for uptake on PET.

**Tissue Uptake**

Based on well counting of tissue biopsy specimens from patients receiving intravenous $^{124}$I-huA33 (Table 1), the median concentration was 0.016 %ID/g in primary colon tumors and 0.004 %ID/g in normal colon ($P < 0.001$), with a mean ratio of 4.8 for tumor uptake to normal-colon uptake (range, 2.5–8.6). Three of the 5 patients positive for liver lesions at surgery had liver tumor specimens available for counting; these had a median concentration of 0.004 %ID/g, compared with 0.001 %ID/g in normal adjacent liver, resulting in a median ratio of 3.9 for tumor uptake to normal-liver uptake (range, 1.8–22.2). The range of concentration in 3 normal ileum specimens was 0.003–0.007 %ID/g.

When the concentration in normal colon and liver biopsy samples was measured with the well counter and compared with that determined by PET ($n = 12$), there was no significant difference between mean well-counting and PET-derived concentrations: 0.0033 ± 0.002 and 0.0032 ± 0.0039 %ID/g ($P = 0.23$), respectively. The mean concentration in colon and liver tumor specimens determined by well counting, 0.017 ± 0.011 %ID/g, was significantly higher than that based on PET, 0.010 ± 0.004 %ID/g ($P = 0.019$); however, these values correlated with each other (Pearson correlation coefficient, 0.646). There were 10 patients with PET-positive liver lesions, with a ratio of 9.3 ± 6.1 for metastasis uptake to normal-liver uptake and a mean concentration of 0.0096 ± 0.004 %ID/g in lesions.

The concentrations in serum, based on the early post-injection and last PET scans, were compared with the well-counting–derived respective values (Fig. 3). Overall, the concentration in serum based on the early whole-body PET scan was 12% less than that based on well counting: 28.1 ± 6.2 and 31.8 ± 7.3 %ID/L ($P = 0.043$), respectively. There was no significant difference in serum concentrations at the late time point: 6.9 ± 3.5 and 6.1 ± 2.2 %ID/L for PET and well counting, respectively ($P = 0.105$).

Early imaging, performed at a median of 2.6 h and maximum of 4.8 h after injection, showed predominantly blood-pool activity (Fig. 1), and in only 1 patient was tumor visualized in the early images. To assess the huA33 kinetics in tumor by PET, the SUVmax at the intermediate imaging time point (47.7 ± 21.9 h from injection) was compared with that at the last imaging time point (187.6 ± 51.0 h from injection). Seventeen tumors (13 patients) were visualized on both the intermediate and the late scans, which were obtained a mean of 139.9 ± 58.4 h apart. In 3 tumors, there was either no change or an increase in tumor uptake between the 2 scans. In the other 14 tumors, there was some decrease in uptake, corresponding to a mean biologic $T_{1/2}$ of 336 h when fit to a monoexponential function.

In addition, the intermediate images always showed prominent uptake in small bowel (Fig. 1), with a mean SUVmax of 12.8 and 11.7 at the intermediate and late time points, respectively ($n = 14$). In 7 patients, the small-bowel uptake increased from the intermediate image to the late image; in the other 7, there was a decrease with a mean $T_{1/2}$ of 394 h. Furthermore, uptake in colon was less prominent than in small bowel, with a mean SUVmax of 3.2 and 3.3 at the intermediate and late time points, respectively. In 7 patients, uptake in colon increased slightly, whereas in 6 patients it decreased with a mean $T_{1/2}$ of 443 h.

**Pharmacokinetics**

The pharmacokinetic parameters for the 3 groups—intravenous, IVIG, and HAI—are shown in Table 2. With the exception of the shorter $T_{1/2}$ for the IVIG group than for the other groups, there were no significant differences in any pharmacokinetic parameters. On the basis of the well counting–derived %ID/L at the initial time point after injection, most of the activity (97 ± 17 %ID) was still in circulation at that time.

**Effect of IVIG and HAI**

The plasma concentration after the IVIG infusion at 9 ± 2 h dropped a mean of 21% ± 4% from the value present on the pre-IVIG concentration. In comparison, the expected decrease based on the monoexponential function fitted to the plasma time–activity data for patients not receiving IVIG was only 9% ± 4%.

In 4 patients, the mean %ID drop in serum after IVIG was expressed as a fraction of their initial serum concentration. The concentration after IVIG decreased to a mean of 36.5% of the initial value at a mean of 49.8 h after
124I-huA33 infusion (immediately after IVIG). That was a statistically significant decline ($P = 0.005$, paired $t$ test) from their pre-IVIG level. Four patients who did not receive IVIG underwent serum sampling at a comparable time (mean, 46.3 h) after 124I-huA33 infusion and showed a larger mean retention of activity: 57.8% of the initial value. This decrease in serum concentration in the group receiving IVIG was significantly greater than that in the non-IVIG group ($P \leq 0.006$, $t$ test). No redistribution of 124I-huA33 was seen into liver, spleen, kidneys, small bowel, or large bowel after IVIG. Instead, there was a decrease ranging from 5% to 34%.

HAI had no detectable advantage over the intravenous route of administration. Visual comparison of the images at the end of HAI and for intravenous administration did not reveal any differences in biodistribution. Likewise, the pharmacokinetic analysis did not show any significant differences between the HAI and intravenous routes of administration. No tumor targeting was seen in the initial PET images for either route.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that 124I-huA33 could be given safely, with no significant toxicity, by intravenous administration or HAI. The low-titer HAHA that was observed is of unclear significance if repeated dosing were to be performed (31). Furthermore, 124I-huA33 showed high uptake in lesions, sufficient for tumor visualization by PET in almost all patients (Supplemental Table 1). PET findings were corroborated by analysis of surgical specimens (Table 1). In addition, imaging confirmed prolonged tumor retention, with a mean biologic $T_{1/2}$ of 336 ± 258 h. In some cases, retention was so long that it was not possible to determine the biologic clearance.

Previous imaging studies with A33 have used single-photon emitters (11,32). In contrast, our study was novel in

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Tumor uptake (%ID/g)</th>
<th>Normal-tissue uptake (%ID/g)</th>
<th>Tumor-to-normal-tissue uptake ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>0.00318</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
<td>0.003757</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.006131</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>0.001389</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0210</td>
<td>0.00244</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0260</td>
<td>0.005113</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0151</td>
<td>0.003428</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>0.004548</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
<td>0.006911</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00049</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>0.0288</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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demonstrated that quantitative 124I-huA33 PET provides studies to use positron-emitting mAbs. In this study, we being the first to use 124I-huA33 and was one of the few studies to use positron-emitting mAbs. In this study, we demonstrated that quantitative 124I-huA33 PET provides reliable estimates of tissue concentration. Although some differences between PET and well-counting–derived serum concentrations were observed, overall these differences were small (Fig. 3). Such differences may be due to technical considerations such as partial-volume averaging and inexact correlation between sites of biopsy specimens and region-of-interest analysis.

As in prior studies, the early distribution of antibody was dominated by blood-pool activity, with a distribution volume comparable to the patient’s estimated plasma volume. Because of the limited number of imaging time points, the time of peak tumor uptake could not be determined, although tumor uptake was seen at 2 d. PET of liver tumors yielded a mean uptake of 0.0096 ± 0.0041 %ID/g. Although slightly higher than the mean value reported by Scott et al., 0.005 ± 0.003 %ID/g, it was in the same range (0.002–0.011 %ID/g). The median ratio of liver-tumor concentration to normal-liver concentration by PET was 3.9 and was not significantly different from the 5.8 found by Scott et al. (32).

Pharmacokinetic analyses for 131I huA33 have been reported by others (11,12,32). In our study, the T1/2 was in the lower range of those reported previously, possibly because of the fewer data points used for curve fitting in this study. Nonetheless, the maximum concentration, clearance, and AUC were in the same range as found by previous studies, and distribution volume was similar to that reported by Chong et al. (11,12,32).

We could not confirm, in our patients, the large decreases in radiolabeled antibody mediated by Fc receptor that were found in mice when IVIG was administered (18). Although we found a decrease in serum 124I-huA33 levels after IVIG infusion, this difference was small, and by 1 wk the levels were comparable to those in non-IVIG–treated cohorts. In addition, the AUCs among cohorts were not significantly different (Table 2). Furthermore, we could not exclude that the drop in serum levels of 124I-huA33 after IVIG could have been due in part to a dilutional effect from the 1.3 L of IVIG infused fluid. PET after IVIG did not show the increase of 124I in organs of catabolism that was seen in the mouse model, although the latter determination is limited by the use 124I versus 111In in mouse studies (18). The difference in the effectiveness of IVIG on circulating mAb in mice versus humans may be related to the 8-fold higher binding affinity of the Fc receptor for mouse than for human IVIG or the relatively low dose of IVIG given to the subjects, as compared with the mice. Although larger amounts of IVIG might overcome this limitation, logistic considerations combined with the cost of IVIG prevented us from evaluating this possibility further.

**FIGURE 3.** Plasma concentration of 124I-huA33 determined by well counting, compared with that estimated from region of interest over blood pool from PET scans. Individual patient samples are plotted, with line connecting values for each patient. Upper family of lines (n = 18) represents early postinjection time, and lower family (n = 20) represents last (presurgical) imaging time. At earliest time after injection, mean concentration in plasma by well counter was 31.8 %ID/L, whereas concentration estimate based on PET was slightly lower, at 28.1 %ID/L (P = 0.0270). At late time, concentration in plasma by well counter was 6.2 %ID/L, whereas estimate based on PET was similar, at 6.9 %ID/L (P = 0.124), = mean value immediately after injection. ▲ = mean value at latest time point that was a mean of 6.9 d after injection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameter</th>
<th>Intravenous (n = 14)</th>
<th>IVG (n = 5)</th>
<th>HAI (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (%ID/L)</td>
<td>31.9 ± 6.5</td>
<td>38.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>29.7 ± 6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/2 (h)</td>
<td>65.2 ± 9.8</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>41.6 ± 14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (%ID × h/L)</td>
<td>3,330 ± 648</td>
<td>3,205</td>
<td>2,838 ± 699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance (mL/h)</td>
<td>31.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>36.8 ± 8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of central compartment (mL)</td>
<td>3,257 ± 631.2</td>
<td>3,221</td>
<td>2,758 ± 634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated plasma volume (mL)</td>
<td>2,992 ± 491.9</td>
<td>3,171</td>
<td>2,935 ± 370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IVIG T1/2 shorter than intravenous or HAI is significantly different; all other values are not significantly different (Kruskal–Wallis 1-way ANOVA).

Co = estimated initial drug concentration in serum.
The targeting of huA33 to normal bowel has been a major concern for radioimmunotherapy or immunotherapy trials, although clinical trials have not shown toxicity (6,9,10,32). Prior studies using NR-LU-10 mAb, which cross-reacts with normal bowel, resulted in dose-limiting gastrointestinal toxicity when high amounts of 90Y were used (33). Scott et al. developed a kinetic model for colonic uptake of huA33 and derived a mean colon T1/2 of bowel activity of 32.4 h (32), suggesting that targeted activity in bowel may be exfoliated over time. We are currently exploring whether our quantitative PET data can be used to model the kinetics of bowel binding and whether the information can be used to optimize pretargeting approaches with respect to colon localization.

Despite the preferential localization in tumor relative to normal colon, some of the primary colon tumors and lymph node lesions were difficult to identify on PET/CT because of the adjacent high background activity. It is possible that with more delayed images, higher contrast would have been achieved, given prior studies that showed prolonged retention of activity in tumor up to 4–6 wk after tracer administration with clearance from bowel (6,11).

Although prior studies typically attributed activity in bowel to colonic activity, our PET studies with CT-based anatomic coregistration showed that the concentration of 124I-huA33 appeared much higher in the small bowel than in the colon. Nevertheless, in 3 patients who underwent resection of the cecum, there was adjacent normal ileum, and its concentration was similar to that in normal colon (Table 1). It is possible that these differences between small-bowel and colon concentration detected on PET were related to partial-volume effects (34). Alternatively, we cannot completely exclude the possibility that the concentration in other segments, such as jejunum, may be different.

In all patients undergoing HAI, there was localization in liver metastases but no visual difference in the amount or timing of uptake by liver metastases between the HAI and the intravenous routes. Further, pharmacokinetic analysis demonstrated that HAI did not differ kinetically from the intravenous routes. Determining the specifics of the distribution of 124I-huA33, this work is significant for being among the first to confirm, against a gold standard (well counting of serum and tissues), that one can use 124I to label antibodies and quantitatively evaluate their pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and targeting.
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124I-huA33 was well tolerated and had favorable imaging properties, with high concentrations achieved in tumors. Although the high concentration in bowel limited the evaluation of primary disease and regional lymph nodes, there was excellent localization in liver tumors that might be useful in the evaluation of metastatic liver disease. Beyond determining the specifics of the distribution of 124I-huA33, this work is significant for being among the first to confirm, against a gold standard (well counting of serum and tissues), that one can use 124I to label antibodies and quantitatively evaluate their pharmacokinetics, biodistribution, and targeting.
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