Tumor pH and Its Measurement
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Studies over the last few decades have demonstrated that the intracellular pH of solid tumors is maintained within a range of 7.0–7.2, whereas the extracellular pH is acidic. A low extracellular pH may be an important factor inducing more aggressive cancer phenotypes. Research into the causes and consequences of this acidic pH of tumors is highly dependent on accurate, precise, and reproducible measurements, and these have undergone great changes in the last decade. This review focuses on the most recent advances in the in vivo measurement of tumor pH by pH-sensitive PET radiotracers, MR spectroscopy, MRI, and optical imaging.
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The physiologic microenvironment of solid tumors is normally characterized by poor perfusion and high metabolic rates. As a consequence, many regions within tumors are transiently or chronically hypoxic and acidic. Acidity is likely related to glucose consumption rates. High ¹⁸F-FDG consumption has been consistently shown to be a poor prognostic indicator in human cancers. The pH of cells and tissues is the result of the balance between metabolic processes, proton exchange, vacuolar H⁺ transport, and buffering. Catabolism of sugars or fats results in a net production of acid, whether through fermentation or respiration (Fig. 1) (1). The pyruvate made by glycolysis or amino acids can either enter the tricarboxylic acid cycle through pyruvate dehydrogenase or be reduced to lactate. Carbonic acid arises by hydration of CO₂ formed by oxidation in the tricarboxylic acid cycle, whereas lactic acid is the product of the glycolytic pathway in the absence of oxygen. Excess lactate is exported via several transport systems such as Na⁺/H⁺ exchange, vacuolar H⁺ adenosine triphosphatases, and Na⁺/HCO₃⁻ exchanges. All 3 systems perform redundant functions and can substitute for one another in the presence of inhibitors. Oxidatively produced CO₂ can exit the cell through aquaporins, where it is hydrated by membrane-bound carbonic anhydrases, to yield bicarbonate and a hydrogen ion.

Maintenance of acid–base homeostasis is critical. However, this balance in solid tumors is vulnerable. In tumor cells, the increased glucose catabolism results in significant production of lactate and H⁺. Although tumor cells have increased acid production, they maintain a normal or alkaline intracellular pH (pHi) relative to normal cells. The major acid load is transported outside the cells, but the acid cannot be removed by the vasculature. The capacity of primary extracellular buffer is limited; as a result, extracellular space becomes acidic. Tumor cells seem well adapted to acidic microenvironments. In fact, in vitro studies have shown that tumor cell proliferation maximizes at an extracellular pH (pHe) of 6.8 instead of 7.3 in normal cells. The excess H⁺ ions diffuse along concentration gradients from the tumor into adjacent normal tissue, resulting in a chronically acidic microenvironment for neighboring normal cells. Recent therapeutic approaches have been designed to target the tumor pH either through low-pH activation of pro-drugs, low-pH activation of drug release from micelles and nanoparticles, or drugs that raise the pH of acidic tumors. Thus, it will become increasingly important to be able to measure pH with accuracy, precision, and high spatiotemporal resolution in experimental preclinical systems and in human beings.

PET

PET has been used for measuring tissue pH since the 1970s. Techniques that have had moderate success use radiolabeled dimethadione, which distributes according to the pH gradient across semipermeable membranes via ion trapping. Although this technique represents the first noninvasive in vivo pH measurement, it is inaccurate and imprecise, since dimethadione distribution depends on the plasmalemmal pH gradient and the fractional volumes of intra- and extracellular space, both of which are unknown. Recently, an innovative technique was discovered to selectively target acidic tissues in vivo using pH (low) insertion peptide (pHLIP), a peptide that predominantly inserts across a lipid bilayer as a monomeric α-helix at an acidic extracellular environment but not at a normal physiologic pH. Vávère et al. extended this method with PET to investigate the acidic environment in prostate tumors using ⁶⁴Cu conjugated to pHLIP (⁶⁴Cu-DOTA-pHLIP) (2). This was the first time a pH-sensitive peptide-based PET agent was reported for delineating the pHe of tumors. Although the affinity of pHLIP to the cell membrane is low
at a normal physiologic pH, an inaccurate pHe measurement may result.

**MR SPECTROSCOPY (MRS) AND MRI**

In vivo MRS and MRI have been used for more than 3 decades to monitor metabolic and physiologic processes. Both endogenous and exogenous nuclear MR–active compounds have been used to measure pH in vivo (3). MRS methods are generally based on a difference in chemical shifts between pH-dependent and -independent resonances. Several isotopes have been evaluated to determine tissue pH with MRS. 31P-MRS provides a robust technique for simultaneously measuring pHi and pHe from the chemical shift of endogenous triphosphates and pHi from the absence of oxygen, pyruvate is reduced to lactate, which is exported from cell. Both processes produce hydrogen ions (H+), which acidify extracellular space. ATP = adenosine triphosphate; HbO2 = oxygenated hemoglobin. (Reprinted with permission of (7).)

**Hyperpolarized 13C Bicarbonate**

13C is distinct from more commonly used isotopes in that it is only 1.1% naturally abundant. Hence, 13C studies rely on using compounds with isotopic enrichment. Additionally, dynamic nuclear hyperpolarization can radically improve the sensitivity of in vivo 13C MR. The technique is based on transferring the polarization of unpaired electrons to neighboring nuclei by microwave irradiation of the sample. Dynamic nuclear hyperpolarization has been shown to be capable of enhancing the MR signal of 13C nuclear MR by more than a factor of 40,000. Brindle et al. have recently measured tumor pH using hyperpolarized 13C bicarbonate (4). This measurement used the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation to estimate tissue pH from the voxelwise ratio of H13CO3 to CO2 after injection of hyperpolarized H13CO3. The results showed that the pH of a lymphoma xenograft was significantly lower (6.7 ± 0.1) than that of normal tissue (7.1 ± 0.1). Although a rapid pharmacokinetic distribution of bicarbonate measures a weighted average of pHi and pHe, the results appear weighted to pHe. A limitation of dynamic nuclear hyperpolarization is that the hyperpolarized nuclear spin signal decreases rapidly according to spin-lattice relaxation, T1. Therefore, measurements must be completed within 1–2 min after injection. Rapid relaxation also severely restricts the number of phase-encoding steps during short acquisitions, resulting in limited spatial resolution. However, fast imaging techniques such as compressed sensing reconstruction can be used to overcome these potential pitfalls (5).

**MR Relaxometry**

An alternative approach using MRI relies on perturbing the relaxivity of water via pH-dependent relaxation agents. A small-molecule approach to measuring pH has been developed by Sherry et al. (6) and Zhang et al (7), who have synthesized gadolinium-based agents whose relaxivity is pH-dependent. In the case of the tetraphosphonate, gadolinium-DOTA-4AmP5− the hydrogen-bonding network created by phosphonate side-arm protonation provides a catalytic pathway for hydrogen exchange. For quantification, this approach requires accurate knowledge of the agent concentration in each voxel. Raghunand solved that problem using sequential injection of 2 gadolinium agents, one of which was pH-insensitive. This method has been applied to imaging pH in the kidneys and in rat brain gliomas (8). In the glioma model, the comparison of pHe and tumor perfusion time to maximal amplitude indicated that volumes with slower perfusion correlated with lower pH values. Although this method worked well, there are drawbacks to the successive injection of 2 different agents, especially for potential clinical use. During the course of the injections, prolonged exposure to anesthesia may alter blood pressure, which can result in significant differences in the pharmacokinetics of successive injections. In addition, it is necessary to wait until most of the first agent has exited the tumor before the second is administered.

Recently, a relaxivity-based single-injection method has been developed, which consists of a mixture of dysprosium-DOTP5− with gadolinium-DOTA-4AmP5− (9). Although the effects of the gadolinium contrast agent on T1 and T2 relaxation exhibited similar pH dependence, the dysprosium contrast agent induced a strong outer-sphere effect on T2* that was pH-independent, with negligible effect on T1. This method involved measurement of the concentration of dysprosium contrast agent through its effects on spin-spin relaxivity including magnetic field effects (ΔR2*) and subsequently extrapolating the concentration of gadolinium contrast agent from the known molar ratio of dysprosium and gadolinium contrast agent, thus enabling dynamic calculation of spatially localized unique pHe values. The protocol is outlined in Figure 2 and represents the first application of a contrast agent cocktail for the determination of spin lattice and susceptibility.
induced transverse relaxation in this manner (9). The primary advantage of this protocol over previous studies is the rapidity of the pH measurement, as high-resolution pH maps can be obtained with approximately 0.20 mM gadolinium-DOTA-

**Chemical Exchange Saturation Transfer (CEST)**

Compared with T1- and T2-based contrast agents, novel agents have been developed to generate contrast via CEST, particularly at high magnetic fields. The dynamic process of CEST can be described by a simple 2-pool chemical exchange model, wherein the magnetizations for a labile proton and bulk water are derived by 2 groups of Bloch equations coupled by incorporating a paramagnetic center in the exchanging molecule, leading to increased chemical shift dispersions and, hence, increased sensitivities (11). This resulted in further work characterizing the diamagnetic CEST pH measurements using high concentrations of 2 low-molecular-weight solutes as tracers in vivo. Aime et al. demonstrated that iopamidol can be used as a diamagnetic CEST agent for MRI to measure pH in a concentration-independent fashion, because iopamidol contains 2 chemical groups that have different pH-dependent CEST effects (12). Mori, van Zijl, et al. optimized methods to obtain accurate chemical exchange rates between water and -NH protons in vivo by avoiding magnetization transfer signal losses for solvent suppression. This achievement has led to characterization of amide proton transfer effects based on the magnetization exchange from labile endogenous amide protons to bulk water, which has been applied to humans (13). A limitation of CEST imaging is that it requires homogeneous magnetic fields, which are difficult to achieve in motile tissues. However, recent technologies allow voxel-by-voxel correction based on the fact that the CEST spectral width is independent of field inhomogeneity and that the CEST spectrum is only shifted. Application of the CEST technique has been furthered by incorporating a paramagnetic center in the exchanging molecule, leading to increased chemical shift dispersions and, hence, increased sensitivities (14). Paramagnetic agents with enlarged chemical shifts (of >50 ppm) provide the possibility of keeping the slow nuclear MR conditions at high exchange rates. Lanthane-based paramagnetic complexes containing both highly shifted pH-insensitive and pH-sensitive exchangeable protons have been developed, wherein the CEST effects at 2 resonances can be used for a ratiometric determination of pH. The drawbacks to CEST and paramagnetic CEST remain limited by power deposition. Pagel’s group has developed a paramagnetic CEST agent, ytterbium-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane- N,N’N,N’’-tetra (methylene phosphonic acid); DOTP = diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid; EPSI = echoplanar spectroscopic imaging; LW = line width; R1 = spin-lattice relaxation rate (R1 = 1/T1); r1 = molar relaxivity.
cacies and different dependencies on pH (Fig. 3) (15). The ratio of the 2 paramagnetic CEST effects can measure the entire physiologic range of pH from 6.1 to 8.0 with acceptable radiofrequency powers.

OPTICS

Optics are another powerful tool of noninvasive pH measurement in tumors and surrounding tissue. The varying fluorescent properties of the probes, which are associated with local pH, can be measured optically and be converted to pH distribution accordingly. Currently, there are 2 main ways for measuring tumor pH: fluorescence ratio imaging microscopy and fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy, which are accomplished by the measurement of emission spectra and lifetimes of fluorophores, respectively. In fluorescence ratio imaging, the emission spectra of some pH probes undergo a pH-dependent wavelength shift, thus allowing the ratio of the fluorescence intensities from the dyes at 2 emission wavelengths to be used for accurate determinations of pH. However, the association of pH indicators to intracellular proteins and cytosolic constituents was found to modify the ratio of intensities in 2 emission bands. Moreover, fluorescence ratio imaging microscopy is based on fluorescence amplitude methods and is thus susceptible to photobleaching and to variation in light scattering and absorption of the sample, which may also introduce bias in the results of pH measurement. Fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy is an experimental technique in which the fluorescence decay is measured at each spatially resolvable location within a fluorescence image. The pH probes used in fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy display a pH-dependent shift in fluorescence lifetimes, which can be converted to a pH map of the tumor accordingly with construction of proper calibration curves. Fluorescence lifetime techniques are generally classified into 2 types: time domain and frequency domain. Compared with frequency domain methods, time domain measurements have a higher signal-to-noise ratio and are widely used for pH imaging (16). Although lifetime-based pH imaging has often been found to be more convenient than conventional ratiometric methods because of a simpler pH calibration, this method is not necessarily a straightforward approach to measuring pH in the absence of correction for the effect of probe binding.

SUMMARY

Techniques for measuring the pH of organelles, cytosol, and extracellular fluid in vivo have been consistently improving and converging. Optical techniques based on expression of pH-sensitive fluorescent dye are revolutionizing pH measurements in vitro. With improved detection platforms, optical methods hold promise to be applied in vivo. MR techniques have been developed to measure pH with endogenous indicators, albeit with low sensitivity. Methods based on exogenous compounds have clearly shown that high resolution and highly sensitivity measurements of pH(e) (and possibly pH(0)) are tractable in vivo. Hyperpolarized 31C-labeled bicarbonate techniques may potentially be used in the clinic, and new generations of pH-sensitive PET tracers are under improvement. All these developments are a testament to the emerging view that tissue pH is a biomedically important parameter of tumor metabolism.
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