PET/CT Enterography in Crohn Disease: Correlation of Disease Activity on CT Enterography with \(^{18}\)F-FDG Uptake
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We combined \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET and CT enterography in a single examination and compared the level of \(^{18}\)F-FDG uptake measured by maximal standardized uptake value (SUV\(_{\text{max}}\)) with the CT enterography patterns of disease activity found in patients with Crohn disease (CD). Methods: Twenty-eight patients (mean age, 37.5 y; 11 male and 17 female) suspected of having active CD underwent PET/CT enterography. Abnormal bowel segments recognized on CT enterography were graded qualitatively for the presence of perienteric fat infiltration, the comb sign, and intramural attenuation and by quantitative measurements of mural enhancement (Hounsfield units) and thickness (mm). Also, for each patient, normal segments of the ileum and colon were noted, and CT enterography measurements of thickness and enhancement were obtained. For segments detected on CT enterography, a volume of interest was placed on the fused \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET scan, and the SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was obtained. Results: Of the 28 patients with suspected active CD, 22 had 85 abnormal segments and 6 had no abnormal segments. SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was significantly higher in the abnormal segments than in the normal segments (5.0 ± 2.5 [95% confidence interval, 4.5–5.5] and 2.1 ± 0.69 [95% confidence interval, 1.9–2.2], respectively; \(P < 0.0001\)). A good correlation was found between SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) with CT enterography measurements of thickness and enhancement (\(P < 0.00001\)). There was a significant difference in SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) between the 3 levels of disease activity found by intramural attenuation, perienteric fat infiltration, and the comb sign on CT enterography. SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) was significantly higher when there were intense CT enterography findings of active disease (\(P < 0.001\)). Conclusion: SUV\(_{\text{max}}\) assessment may allow an objective, reliable indication of the grade and severity of inflammation activity in abnormal segments of the bowel detected by CT enterography.
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\(18\)F-FDG accumulates in malignant tissues but also at sites of infection and inflammation and in autoimmune and granulomatous diseases by the overexpression of distinct facultative glucose transporter isotypes (mainly glucose transporters 1 and 3) and by an overproduction of glycolytic enzymes in cancer cells and inflammatory cells (6). Standardized uptake value (SUV), representing glucose...
metabolic activity, is an objective measurement of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in tissues and is typically higher in areas of inflammation than in normal tissue. $^{18}$F-FDG PET has been shown to be both sensitive and specific in the detection of active sites of disease within both the small bowel and the colon and to correlate well with the clinical, endoscopic, and biologic activity of CD (7–12).

By combining the morphologic and physiologic patterns obtained by CT enterography with the $^{18}$F-FDG metabolic activity obtained by PET, PET/CT enterography as a single test may provide accurately fused morphologic, physiologic, and metabolic imaging that can be useful in the diagnosis, follow-up, and objective assessment of CD. Our hypothesis was that SUV activity would be related to CT enterography parameters, enabling quantification of CD activity. In this pilot study, we compared the metabolic activity of $^{18}$F-FDG obtained by SUV measurements with patterns of disease activity obtained by CT enterography.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This study was approved by the review board of our institution, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

The study included patients who were known to have or suspected of having active CD, had been scheduled for CT enterography ordered as part of routine clinical practice by a gastroenterologist, and consented prospectively to undergo PET/CT enterography instead. All patients were examined in the inflammatory bowel disease clinic of a tertiary referral center (Beilinson Hospital, Petah Tikva, Israel) by a single gastroenterologist with 26 y of experience. Patients who were younger than 18 y, pregnant, or unable to receive iodinated contrast material (allergy, renal insufficiency) were excluded.

Twenty-eight consecutive patients (mean age, 37.5 y; range, 18.6–70.7 y; 11 male [mean age, 42.1 y; range, 18.6–70.7 y] and 17 female [mean age, 34.5 y; range, 19.6–65.6 y]) were recruited between September 2008 and September 2009. The study was performed to assess disease activity in 20 patients who had established CD with suspected stricture of the small intestine based on appropriate clinical symptoms or who had established but asymptomatic CD with laboratory findings compatible with active disease such as elevated C-reactive protein and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, thrombocytosis, refractory iron-deficiency anemia, and low albumin. In a further 8 patients, CD was strongly suspected on the basis of clinical and laboratory findings but colonoscopy was negative for disease.

**$^{18}$F-FDG PET/CT Enterography Scans**

Patients fasted for a minimum of 4 h before the intravenous injection of 185 MBq (5 mCi) of $^{18}$F-FDG. For the CT enterography portion of the study, a large volume of bowel-distending negative contrast material was administered (13). A 1,250-mL volume of the solution, which comprised 1,000 mL of water and 250 mL of lactulose (670 mg/mL) (Laevolac; Fresenius-Kabi), was ingested orally over 40–50 min at a steady rate. All images were obtained from the mid thorax through the pelvis using an integrated 8-slice PET/CT scanner (Discovery ST; GE Healthcare). The parameters for CT image acquisition were as follows: helical imaging, 120 kV, modulated 80–280 mAs, 2.5-mm slice thickness, 0.875 pitch, and image reconstruction every 2.5 mm. Iodine contrast medium (100 mL of Ultravist 300 [iopromide, 0.623 g/mL]; Bayer Schering Pharma AG) was intravenously administered at a rate of 4.0 mL/s using an automatic power injector (Dual-Shot; Nemoto), with scanning initiated after a 40-s delay. Immediately after CT, a PET scan was acquired in 3-dimensional mode at a rate of 120–150 s per bed position. CT data were used for attenuation correction. Projection data were reconstructed online with manufacturer-supplied 3-dimensional iterative software (VuePoint 3-D; 2 iterations, 28 subsets, 3.27-mm slice thickness).

**Selection of Bowel Segments for Analysis**

A gastrointestinal radiologist with 30 y of clinical expertise in body CT, and 2 nuclear medicine experts with 5 and 3 y of expertise in PET/CT, reviewed all the images. For each patient, the radiologist first visually assessed the gastrointestinal tract from the stomach to the anus on CT enterograms while unaware of the PET findings. Any 5-cm or longer bowel segment showing mural hyperenhancement or mural stratification was noted as abnormal. Mural hyperenhancement was defined as a bowel wall segment showing increased attenuation, compared with adjacent normal bowel wall, and mural stratification was defined as 2 or 3 layers within the bowel wall. Then, for each abnormal segment noted, visual qualitative assessment of perienteric fat infiltration (Supplemental Fig. 1) and the comb sign (Supplemental Fig. 2) was categorized as absent, moderate, or intense; intramural attenuation was categorized as fat, soft-tissue, or water (Fig. 1–3); and quantitative measurements of mural enhancement (Hounsfield units [HU]) and mural thickness (mm) were obtained using the largest region of interest possible. Also, for each patient, normal segments of the ileum and normal segment of the colon were noted and CT enterography measurements of mural thickness and mural enhancement obtained.

For each abnormal and normal segment detected on CT enterography, a 3-dimensional volume-of-interest box was created on the axial slice of the fused $^{18}$F-FDG PET scan and manually adjusted to encompass the respective bowel segment in all (x, y, z).
and z planes. The maximum-intensity voxel within this volume of interest, calibrated to SUV normalized for body weight, was recorded as maximal SUV (SUVmax).

**Statistical Analysis**

Results are shown as mean ± SD, with 95% confidence interval (CI) of the mean. A 2-sided Mann–Whitney test was used to compare quantitative measurements obtained by PET and CT enterography between normal and abnormal bowel segments. CT enterography measurements of mural enhancement and mural thickness were correlated with PET measurements of SUVmax using Spearman rank correlation. The statistical significance of the difference between the CT-enterography– and PET-derived correlation coefficients was determined. Differences in measured SUVmax by PET for intramural attenuation, increased attenuation of the perienteric fat, and the comb sign by CT enterography were assessed using 1-way ANOVA. When there was a significant difference, the Student–Newman–Keuls test for pairwise comparison of subgroups was performed. The P level for statistical significance was set at 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using MedCalc software (version 11.1.1.0).

**RESULTS**

**Normal Segments**

Twenty-eight segments of normal-appearing ileum and 28 segments of normal-appearing colon were noted. CT enterography wall thickness (n = 56) was 1.8 ± 0.6 mm (95% CI, 1.7–2.0 mm); there was no significant difference in the measured thickness between colon and ileum (1.8 ± 0.7 and 1.8 ± 0.5 mm, respectively; P = 0.74). Mural enhancement (n = 56) was 49.9 ± 14.7 HU (95% CI, 45.9–53.8 HU); as expected, enhancement was higher in the ileum (56.6 ± 13.7 HU) than in the colon (43.1 ± 12.5 HU, P = 0.0003). PET SUVmax was 2.1 ± 0.69 (95% CI, 1.9–2.2); there was no significant difference in the measured SUVmax between colon and ileum (2.1 ± 0.87 and 1.99 ± 0.44, respectively; P = 0.46). An example is shown in Figure 4.

**Abnormal Segments**

Eighty-five abnormal segments were noted in 22 patients. There were 57 abnormal segments in the ileum and 28 in the colon. Six patients had no abnormal segments noted on CT enterography and no pathologic uptake of $^{18}$F.
FDG in the bowel: 3 were patients with suspected CD, and 3 were patients with previously diagnosed CD.

Mean wall thickness was significantly higher in abnormal segments than in normal segments (8.6 ± 2.5 mm [95% CI, 8.1–9.2 mm]; P < 0.0001). There was no significant difference in wall thickness between segments of ileum and segments of colon (8.7 ± 2.5 and 8.4 ± 2.5 mm, respectively; P = 0.74).

Mean mural enhancement was significantly higher in abnormal segments than in normal segments (113.4 ± 23.7 HU [95% CI, 108.3–118.5 HU]; P < 0.0001). Abnormal mural enhancement was significantly higher in the ileum than in the colon (117.9 ± 21.7 HU and 104.2 ± 25.3 HU, respectively; P = 0.013).

SUVmax was significantly higher in abnormal segments than in normal segments (5.0 ± 2.5 [95% CI, 4.5–5.5]; P < 0.0001). There was no significant difference in SUVmax between segments of ileum and segments of colon (5.1 ± 2.7 and 4.8 ± 2.0, respectively; P = 0.75).

A good correlation was found between SUVmax measurements and wall thickness in the colon and ileum (r = 0.69, P < 0.00001, and r = 0.65, P < 0.00001, respectively) (Supplemental Fig. 3). There was no significant difference between the correlation in the ileum and the correlation in the colon (P = 0.62).

A good correlation was found between SUVmax and mural enhancement in the colon and ileum (r = 0.77, P < 0.00001, and r = 0.59, P < 0.00001, respectively) (Supplemental Fig. 3). There was no significant difference between the correlation in the ileum and the correlation in the colon (P = 0.054).

### Table 1

Table 1 shows the differences in measured SUVmax between segments with different intramural attenuation by visual assessment. Examples are shown in Figures 1–3. SUVmax was significantly higher in segments with water attenuation than in segments with tissue attenuation, and SUVmax was higher in segments with tissue attenuation than in segments with fat attenuation (P < 0.001).

### Table 2

Table 2 shows the differences in measured SUVmax between segments with different levels of perienteric fat infiltration and the comb sign by visual assessment. SUVmax was significantly higher in segments with intense perienteric fat attenuation than in segments with moderate perienteric fat attenuation, and SUVmax was higher in segments with moderate perienteric fat attenuation than in segments without perienteric fat attenuation (P < 0.001). SUVmax was significantly higher in segments with an intense and moderate comb sign than in segments without the comb sign (P < 0.001). SUVmax in segments with an intense comb sign was similar to that in segments with a moderate comb sign (P = 0.6).

### DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrated that SUVmax may be an objective indication of active inflammation in segments of bowel that are abnormal on CT enterography. We combined PET and CT enterography in a single examination and compared the level of 18F-FDG uptake measured by SUVmax with CT enterography patterns of disease in patients with CD. An objective assessment of the grade and severity of disease activity is important in managing and monitoring response to therapy in patients with CD. Disease activity is currently evaluated through clinical indices, endoscopy, radiology, and biochemical markers of inflammation. However, none of these tools provides all the information needed to objectively measure disease activity.

18F-FDG accumulates in areas of active inflammation, and recent studies have suggested that 18F-FDG PET/CT, compared with endoscopy, histology, radiography, and small-bowel imaging, is useful in detecting inflamed segments of small and large bowel in inflammatory bowel disease, with sensitivities and specificities ranging from 54% to 98% and 55% to 81%, respectively (4.7–12). However, most of these studies did not completely assess the entire bowel wall. Louis et al. (7) showed that an intense SUV combined with thickened bowel wall in areas of normal endoscopic appearance establishes the diagnosis of active CD. They examined 95 bowel segments and demonstrated a sensitivity of 72.9% in detecting endoscopic inflammation and a sensitivity of 100% in detecting severely inflamed segments with deep ulcers and strictures. Das et al. (9) found that combined PET and CT enteroclysis detects significantly more lesions in both the small and the large bowels than does conventional barium studies and colonoscopy in patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

PET/CT is usually performed with positive oral contrast material. CT enterography usually uses negative oral contrast material that causes bowel distention without increasing CT attenuation and helps avoid the potential PET attenuation artifacts that can be seen with high-density barium- or iodine-based oral contrast material. Also, the bowel distension obtained by CT enterography reduces background 18F-FDG activity and physiologic uptake of 18F-FDG in the bowel, allowing better definition of uptake in the bowel wall (14–16).

The characteristic CT enterography appearances of active CD are bowel wall thickening, mural hyperenhancement, mural stratification, increased attenuation of the perienteric...
fat, and the comb sign (1–3.5). In a recent study, Ahmadi et al. (10) evaluated 48 segments of abnormal bowel in 30 patients by PET/CT enterography. Ahmadi et al. used a CT enterography score based on visual mural enhancement (1, physiologic enhancement; 2, mild to moderate enhancement; and 3, hyperenhancement) added to bowel thickness measurements (mm) and found a fair correlation (r = 0.31) between the CT enterography score and SUVmax. In the present study, we compared objective measurements of mural thickness (mm) and mural enhancement (HU), as well as subjective CT enterography findings associated with active CD. A good correlation was found between the PET SUVmax measurements and the CT enterography mural thickness and enhancement measurements (P < 0.00001). Mural hyperenhancement and increased mural thickness have been reported as objective CT enterography findings of active CD (17,18). However, mural attenuation depends on the contrast material injection protocol, the degree of bowel distension, and the method of measurement. Collapsed bowel segments show increased attenuation, compared with distended bowel segments, and may cause overdiagnosis of inflamed bowel loops (1–3). Also, chronic fibrosis leads to wall thickening and may show increased enhancement (1–3.5). Therefore, simple morphologic measurements of mural thickening and mural enhancement may not be used as an isolated objective assessment of disease activity in CD.

Subjective assessments of actively inflamed bowel loops on CT enterography include mural stratification, increased perienteric fat attenuation, and the comb sign. Attenuation of the intramural portion of the bowel wall can vary with the level of enteric inflammation: intramural edema (water attenuation) indicates active inflammation, intramural soft-tissue attenuation may represent an inflammatory infiltrate, and intramural fat usually indicates past or chronic inflammation (1–3). There was a statistically significant difference in mean SUVmax among the 3 patterns of intramural attenuation (P < 0.001). Mean SUVmax was highest for water attenuation, followed by tissue attenuation and then fat attenuation.

Increased perienteric fat infiltration and the comb sign are indirect CT enterography findings of inflammation involving the perienteric tissues (1–3). There was a significant difference in SUVmax among the 3 levels of perienteric fat infiltration (P < 0.001). SUVmax was higher in segments with an intense increase in perienteric fat infiltration than in segments with a moderate increase, and SUVmax was higher in segments with a moderate increase in perienteric fat infiltration than in segments without an increase.

The comb sign is not sensitive for active CD but is quite specific, correlating with clinically advanced and extensive CD (1,17). SUVmax showed a significant difference among the 3 levels of the comb sign (P < 0.001). There was no significant difference in SUVmax between a moderate and intense comb sign (P = 0.6). However, SUVmax was significantly higher in segments with the comb sign than in segments without it.

The limitations of our study include the small population and the use of only 1 radiologist to interpret the CT enterograms. On the one hand, the choice of a single radiologist could have biased the detection of abnormal segments, but on the other hand, interobserver agreement for characteristic CT enterography findings in active CD has been shown to be high (17), and a single skilled, meticulous reader could detect abnormal segments that might be difficult for others to duplicate.

**CONCLUSION**

This study showed that SUVmax determination might be a reliable objective method for quantifying the activity and severity of CD. Future studies are warranted to evaluate if combined PET/CT enterography has an important role in the clinical management of CD.
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