Interactions between chemokines and their receptors are emerging as an important group of mediators within the tumor microenvironment. Of the known chemokines, stromal-derived factor 1 (SDF1), or CXCL12, and its receptor, chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4), have gained attention because of their role in promoting cancer metastasis and stem cell homing and engraftment. CXCR4 expression has also been proposed as a prognostic factor in several cancers, including brain, breast, colon, prostate, melanoma, and osteosarcoma (1).

Several brain tumor cell lines, primary tumors, and metastases, compared with normal brain parenchyma, demonstrate high concentrations of CXCR4 receptors (2,3). CXCR4 levels were elevated with respect to tumor grade, demonstrating the highest levels in grade IV tumors (glioblastomas) (2). Rempel et al. showed that the CXCR4–CXCL12 axis modulates vascular endothelial growth factor expression and angiogenesis and the immune response (2). Low-molecular-weight inhibitors targeting CXCR4 not only inhibit the growth of primary brain tumors but also synergize with standard, cytotoxic chemotherapy (4,5). Those observations suggest that CXCR4 could be an important target for the imaging of primary tumors and metastases within the neuraxis, possibly providing important prognostic information, or for the monitoring of therapies.

Recent improvements in diagnostic imaging have enabled the early detection and management of cancer (6). For example, mammograms are the current clinical mainstay of breast cancer imaging, serving as an example of a successful image-based screening test for cancer. MRI is frequently used to delineate the extent of disease in breast, central nervous system, and other cancers (7). Highly sensitive functional imaging techniques such as PET with 18F-FDG, for glucose metabolism, and 18F-fluorothymidine, for tumor proliferation, are used for the diagnosis, staging, and therapeutic monitoring of cancer (8,9). However, these metabolic imaging techniques cannot detect specific receptor expression in the tumor (i.e., estrogen receptor, human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 [HER2], or CXCR4, which have important prognostic implications) (10,11). Noninvasive imaging studies of estrogen receptor and HER2 expression by nuclear imaging techniques are under way (12–14). Of these receptors, only CXCR4 is directly involved in the metastatic process and is a well-characterized biomarker for the direct imaging of tumor metastatic potential (15–17).

Because of their target-binding specificity, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) provide an attractive choice as a molecular scaffold for radiopharmaceutical-based imaging. That potential has largely gone unrealized because of the relatively poor pharmacokinetic properties of mAbs, such as long circulation time and large size, mitigating solid tumor
penetration. However, radiolabeled mAbs generated recently are providing viable clinical imaging agents (18). Because of the importance of CXCR4 in brain tumor development, growth, and metastasis, we generated a radio-labeled version of a mouse antihuman CXCR4 antibody (12G5) (19) and used it to evaluate CXCR4 expression in U87 human glioblastoma xenografts with SPECT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines
The human glioblastoma cell line U87 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection and maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U of penicillin per milliliter, and 100 mg of streptomycin per milliliter. A U87 cell line stably transfeced with human CD4 and CXCR4 (U87-stb-CXCR4) was obtained from the National Institutes of Health AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program. The U87-stb-CXCR4 cell line was maintained in DMEM supplemented with 15% fetal bovine serum, 1 µg of puromycin per milliliter, 300 µg of G418 per milliliter, 100 U of penicillin per milliliter, and 100 mg of streptomycin per milliliter. Both the cell lines were maintained in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. All cell culture reagents (Gibco) were purchased from Invitrogen.

Antibody Radiolabeling
The mouse antihuman CXCR4 antibody (clone 12G5) was obtained from the National Institutes of Health AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program and also purchased from R&D systems, Inc. (cat. no. MAB170). Isotype-matched control mouse IgG2A antibody was purchased from R&D systems, Inc. (clone 20102, cat. no. IC003P). 125I-sodium iodide (125I-NaI) was purchased from MP Biomedicals. Antibodies were labeled using a modified version of the IODO-GEN method (Pierce) (1). Briefly, 37–74 MBq (1–2 mCi) of 125I-NaI were incubated with 25 µg of purified antibody in 50–100 µL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) in an IODO-GEN-coated reaction vial. Reaction times varied between 5 and 10 min. Radiolabeled antibody was purified from low-molecular-weight impurities using size-exclusion chromatography on a Sephadex G-25 desalting column (Amersham Biosciences) preconditioned with PBS (pH 7.4). Protein purities were determined by instant thin-layer chromatography.

Receptor Binding Assays
U87 and U87-stb-CXCR4 cells seeded in 6-well plates at 60%–80% confluence were used for receptor binding assays. Cells were incubated with 37 KBq (1 µCi)/mL of either 125I-IgG2A or 125I-12G5 in PBS supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin and 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for 30 and 60 min at 4°C. After incubation, cells were washed quickly 4 times with 4°C binding buffer and trypsinized using nonenzymatic buffer; cell-associated activity was determined in a γ-spectrometer (1282 Compugamma CS; Pharmacia/LKB Nuclear, Inc.). Radioactivity values were converted into percentage of incubated dose per microgram of total protein. Experiments were performed in triplicate and repeated 3 times. Immunoreactive fraction of 125I-12G5 was determined using U87-stb-CXCR4 cells as described previously (20). Data were fitted according to linear regression analysis using PRIZM software (GraphPad Software, Inc.), and the extrapolation to the ordinate was used to calculate the immunoreactive fraction.

Animal Models
Male BALB/C severe combined immunodeficient mice (age, 6–8 wk; weight, between 25 and 30 g) were purchased from the National Cancer Institute. All of the experimental procedures using the animals were conducted according to protocols approved by the Johns Hopkins Animal Care and Use Committee. Mice were implanted subcutaneously with U87 cells (4 × 10⁶ cells/100 µL) in the right upper flank. After 2–3 wk, when the tumor size was 600–800 mm³, the animals were used for biodistribution and SPECT/CT experiments.

SPECT/CT
An X-SPECT small-animal SPECT/CT system (Gamma Medica-Ideas) was used for image acquisition. Three mice for each radiolabeled antibody were used for imaging studies. After an intravenous injection of 37 MBq (1 mCi) of radiolabeled antibody, images were acquired at 24, 48, and 72 h after injection. The SPECT projection data were acquired using 2 low-energy, high-resolution parallel-hole collimators with a radius of rotation of 4.65 cm (spatial resolution, 1.6 mm). The tomographic data were acquired in 64 projections over 360° at 40 s per projection. After tomography, CT was acquired in 512 projections to allow anatomic coregistration. Data were reconstructed using the ordered subsets-expectation maximization algorithm and analyzed using AMIDE software (free software provided by SourceForge). Maximum-intensity-projection images were generated using Amira 5.2.0 software (Visage Imaging Inc.).

Ex Vivo Biodistribution
Animals were injected with 74 KBq (2 µCi) of either 125I-IgG2A or 125I-12G5 in 200 µL of saline via the tail vein. At 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 h after injection, blood, lung, muscle, heart, spleen, stomach, small intestine, kidney, liver, and tumor samples were collected and weighed, and their radioactivity content was determined in an automated γ-spectrometer. Aliquots of the injected tracer and tumor samples were counted and served as standards for the calculation of the percentage injected dose per gram of tissue. Three animals per time point were used. Ratios of 125I-I2G5 uptake to control 125I-IgG2A antibody uptake and ratios of tumor to muscle and tumor to blood were calculated. One set of mice with a tumor size less than 200 mm³ was also used for biodistribution studies.

Tumor Cell Extraction and Flow Cytometry
Tumors from an additional group of 5 mice were extracted, and CXCR4 expression levels were analyzed by flow cytometry and immunoblot analysis. Briefly, U87 tumors were cut into multiple small cubes and dissociated at 37°C for 30 min with 0.5 mg of collagenase II per milliliter in DMEM medium. The cell suspension was filtered through a cell strainer with 70-µm nylon mesh (Becton Dickinson Biosciences). The dissociation procedure was repeated 3 times to maximize the cell yield. Cells collected by centrifugation were washed 3 times with DMEM fortified with 10% fetal bovine serum and cultured in DMEM as described above. The tumor-extracted cells were seeded at 2 × 10⁶ cells/mL in 100 mm plates and used for flow cytometry analysis after 1 or 2 passages. At 50%–70% confluence, cells were washed twice with PBS buffer and plates were placed on ice to prevent receptor internalization. Cells were detached using a nonenzymatic cocktail (cat. no. C5914; Sigma). To induce hypoxic conditions in vitro, U87 cells were incubated with a hypoxia mimetic (0.1 mM cobalt chloride) for 48 h before processing. The expression levels of CXCR4 in the normoxic and hypoxic conditions were determined by immunostaining with CXCR4 12G5.
iodinated antibody were 1.85 and 1.48 MBq/mg, with purities greater than 95%. Specific radioactivities of the antibody were calculated.

**RESULTS**

**Antibody Radiolabeling**

Yields for radioiodination were typically 40%-60%, with purities greater than 95%. Specific radioactivities of the iodinated antibody were 1.85 and 1.48 MBq/μg for 125I-12G5 and 125I-IgG2A, respectively.

**Protein Lysates and Immunoblot Analysis**

U87 and tumor-derived U87 (U87-TMD) cells in 100 mm plates were washed twice with PBS and homogenized with Tris-lysine buffer (100 mM Tris [pH 6.7], 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 12% glycerol) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Protein concentrations were determined using a Bio-Rad protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Standard concentrations of bovine serum albumin were used to obtain a calibration curve. Aliquots containing 50 μg of the total protein and with a molecular weight standard were loaded and electrophoretically separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Separated proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes and blocked with 5% nonfat milk with 0.05% polysorbate-20 for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated with the rabbit anti-CXCR4 polyclonal antibody (1:500 dilution) raised against a peptide corresponding to amino acids 1–14 of human CXCR4 (ProSci Inc.), followed by mouse antirabbit secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:2,500 dilution). After 3 washes, bands were visualized using the Supersignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate kit (Pierce Biotechnology Inc.). β-Actin served as a control.

**Data Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using an unpaired 2-tailed t test. P values of less than 0.05 for the comparison between CXCR4-specific and control antibody uptake were considered to be statistically significant.

**Antibody Specificity**

As demonstrated in Figure 1, 125I-12G5 showed greater than 98% specificity toward U87-stb-CXCR4 cells. The levels of 125I-12G5 binding to U87 were similar to but significantly different (P = 0.04) from those of the control 125I-IgG2A antibody. These results were in agreement with the low surface CXCR4 expression levels observed in U87 cells with flow cytometry (Figs. 1 and 2). The immunoreactive fraction of the radiolabeled antibody was 0.87, as indicated in Figure 1B.

**SPECT/CT**

SPECT/CT of U87 tumors with 125I-12G5 demonstrated a clear and specific accumulation of radioactivity in the U87 tumors by 24 h, and the radioactivity was slowly clearing by 72 h (Fig. 3A). The tumor-to-nontumor contrast was maximum at 48 h after injection. The control 125I-IgG2A antibody also showed accumulation of radioactivity, although less than 125I-12G5 (Fig. 3B). A maximum-intensity projection of 125I-12G5 showed highest uptake in the spleen (Fig. 3C).

**Ex Vivo Biodistribution**

To quantify the degree of radiopharmaceutical uptake on a per-organ basis, U87 tumor-bearing animals were injected with either 125I-12G5 or 125I-IgG2A antibody and were followed for 5 d. Figure 4 shows the combined experiments with both antibodies at 24, 48, and 72 h after injection. The animals injected with 125I-12G5 showed consistently higher tumor uptake than did the animals that received 125I-IgG2A. The 125I-12G5-to-125I-IgG2A ratios were 2.1, 2.5, and 1.7 at 24, 48, and 72 h, respectively, after injection. In the case of 125I-12G5 antibody, the amount of radioactivity increased in tumors until 48 h and then decreased; in normal organs, the radioactivity decreased with time after 24 h. The 125I-IgG2A antibody showed a monotonic decrease in radioactivity both in tumors and in normal organs. The tissue-to-muscle ratios of 125I-12G5 reached a maximum at 48 h after injection, but those of 125I-IgG2A remained uniform until 72 h (Fig. 5).
Both radiolabeled antibodies demonstrated uniform uptake in all nontumor organs. Rapid clearance of radioactivity from the blood was observed, perhaps because of deiodination of the antibody. The spleen had the highest accumulation of radioactivity at all times.

Flow Cytometry of Tumor-Derived Cells and Immunoblotting

To assess the percentage of CXCR4-positive cells within the tumors, cells were extracted and analyzed by flow cytometry after 1 or 2 passages. The tumor-derived cells showed a 2- to 7-fold increase in surface CXCR4 expression levels (Figs. 2A–2C). Because most of the radioactivity observed was within the center of the tumors, we were prompted to investigate further the origin of this result. Because CXCR4 is known to have a hypoxia-responsive element in the promoter region (21), we suspected that hypoxic upregulation of CXCR4 expression occurred within the tumors and was more pronounced near the core of the tumors, in which oxygen tension was expected to be lowest (22). U87 cells maintained under hypoxic conditions showed an increase in CXCR4 levels, suggesting the role of hypoxia in driving CXCR4 expression. The total protein expression levels also indicated a similar pattern (Fig. 2C).

DISCUSSION

To monitor CXCR4 expression noninvasively, we radioiodinated and evaluated a CXCR4 mAb in mice harboring subcutaneous U87 brain tumor xenografts using SPECT/CT. We also associated the observed tumor uptake and retention of radioactivity with the surface and total CXCR4 protein expression levels that may have been upregulated by clonal expansion of high CXCR4–expressing cells.

As mentioned at the outset, CXCR4 expression has been proposed as a prognostic marker in several cancers (1). In addition, metastases often have elevated levels of CXCR4 expression (17,23). Therefore, imaging agents for the noninvasive detection of CXCR4 would be beneficial not only for the assessment of metastatic potential of the primary tumor but also for the detection of systemic metastases. CXCR4 is expressed in several organs including the spleen, thymus, lung, and bone marrow; therefore, therapeutic agents targeting CXCR4 may result in unwanted toxicity (24). Imaging patients before therapy with a CXCR4-based imaging agent may enable avoidance of toxicity through quantification of normal organ radiopharmaceutical distribution.

Noninvasive detection of CXCR4 levels could be achieved using suitably functionalized, specifically targeted low-molecular-weight agents or mAbs. To our knowledge, there...
has been only 1 reported attempt to image CXCR4 expression in cancer using nuclear imaging techniques. Hanaoka et al. developed and evaluated $^{111}$In-labeled diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid–Ac-TZ14011, a peptide analog of CXCL12. The authors found that conjugation with diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid and labeling with $^{111}$In reduced the specificity of the analog to CXCR4 by 6-fold ($^{25}$). To investigate the feasibility of CXCR4-based imaging, we radiolabeled a well-characterized CXCR4 antibody, 12G5, with $^{125}$I-NaI. 12G5 recognizes a determinant in the first and second extracellular loops of CXCR4, and its specificity to CXCR4 is well established ($^{26}$). Direct antibody radio-labeling with the IODO-GEN method did not impair the specificity of $^{125}$I-12G5 antibody to CXCR4, as indicated by the in vitro binding studies. Imaging and biodistribution studies demonstrated a significant uptake of $^{125}$I-12G5 in the U87 tumors, despite some nonspecific uptake observed with the $^{125}$I-IgG$_{2A}$ antibody. The uptake observed by the control antibody suggests that at least a portion of the uptake seen by $^{125}$I-12G5 was also not due to receptor-mediated binding but rather to the enhanced permeability and retention effect commonly observed with macromolecular agents ($^{27}$). The highest uptake of the radiolabeled mAbs was seen in the spleen, followed by the tumor. Similar nonspecific uptake of mAbs in the liver and spleen has been observed because of cross-reactivity with antigen-positive circulating cells and normal B cells in the blood or spleen and nonantigenic binding of antibody, such as Fc binding ($^{28,29}$). Methods based on predosing strategies ($^{30,31}$) to reduce nonspecific uptake have been developed; these methods could be applied in future studies.

The mAb used in this study is known to recognize only certain conformations of CXCR4 receptor ($^{26,32}$). Consequently, it is possible that we are underestimating the actual concentration of CXCR4 expression on the cell surface, which may vary between cell types. If they could be generated, other radiolabeled antibodies that detect all conformations of CXCR4 may improve the specificity of radioimmunodiagnostic imaging of CXCR4 and may also lower the nonspecific binding observed ($^{32}$).

Biodistribution studies with small tumors ($<$200 mm$^3$) showed no significant difference between the $^{125}$I-12G5 and the $^{125}$I-IgG$_{2A}$ uptake at 48 h after injection ($P = 0.08$). To investigate further whether the significant retention ($P = 0.009$) of radioactivity observed in larger tumors (600–800 mm$^3$) was due to $^{125}$I-12G5 binding to CXCR4 and not merely enhanced permeability and retention, we extracted the tumor cells and analyzed for surface CXCR4 expression by flow cytometry after 1 or 2 passages. The tumor-derived cells showed a 2- to 7-fold increase in surface CXCR4 expression. On the basis of the literature evidence, we postulated that the increased CXCR4 expression was due either to tumor hypoxia or to clonal selection of high CXCR4-expressing cells. U87 cells grown under conditions...
CXCR4 expression levels indicate that the high radio-mAb uptake seen was not just because of changes in surface expression levels but because of an overall increase in protein expression, we also analyzed the total CXCR4 protein levels in U87 wild-type and tumor-derived cells by immunoblot analysis. The immunoblot results demonstrated a considerable increase in total CXCR4 protein expression levels in both the hypoxia-induced U87 cells and the tumor-derived cell lines. Those observed CXCR4 expression levels indicate that the high radio-mAb uptake seen in larger tumors could, in part, be due to hypoxia-induced CXCR4 expression or tumor microenvironment-mediated clonal selection of highly CXCR4-expressing cells. Because of the extraction and passage of cells, the HIF-1α levels in the U87-TMD cells could not be assessed. On the other hand, elevated CXCR4 expression levels are known to persist even after 10 passages in culture, perhaps because of clonal selection (33). Even though there is evidence that HIF-1α upregulates CXCR4 expression in glioblastoma (34), it is not clear from our studies if the uptake observed is due to hypoxia or clonal selection.

Even though we used a subcutaneous brain xenograft model to demonstrate the principle, the observed results have translational potential to intracranial models. Because of a compromised blood–brain barrier, antibodies have been used as therapeutic and imaging agents for brain tumors and other lesions within the central nervous system (35–37). The imaging of CXCR4 expression may be particularly useful in the detection of infiltrative brain tumors that are known to have elevated CXCR4 expression levels (38). Those tumors are often not enhanced with standard MRI-based contrast agents, even though the tumors tend to be high-grade.

CONCLUSION

These results demonstrate the feasibility of the radioimmunoimaging of CXCR4 expression with an antihuman mAb. Also, these results indicate the possibility of imaging tumor microenvironment–induced CXCR4 expression, thereby providing an indirect readout on the heterogeneity of tumors.
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