

Egg Labeling for Gastric-Emptying Studies

TO THE EDITOR: We were delighted to read some back-to-basics research in the November *JNM* and thank Knight et al. (1) for a much-needed evaluation of gastric-emptying labeling efficacy. Mixing a radiopharmaceutical and meal does not guarantee either successful labeling or, indeed, maintenance of the label in vivo. Nonetheless, a variety of different meal compositions have been used with varying success for gastric-emptying studies, including but not limited to chicken liver, pancakes, mushrooms, noodles, eggs (omelet, scrambled, whole eggs, egg whites, commercial egg substitute, and hard-boiled eggs), rice pudding, beef stew, cottage cheese, and porridge (1–3). In Australia, there is anecdotal evidence that solid-phase gastric-emptying studies are also performed using marshmallows, toasted sandwiches, commercially available egg-based breakfast burgers, and even egg-based milk shakes. In recent years, the need for palatability and ease of preparation and, on occasion, the need to address cultural issues appear to have played a role in the emergence of alternative meal types. Unfortunately, many of the alternative meal types have not had reference values validated or indeed the label efficacy determined. Moreover, widespread use of the microwave as a more convenient cooking method may have a significant impact on label integrity; one cannot simply adopt established reference values for pan (griddle)-cooked eggs. We thank Knight et al. (1) for highlighting these issues.

Despite recognition that the use of whole eggs is in widespread practice, gastric-emptying studies have traditionally required egg whites only—in theory, to improve label integrity in vivo (4). Consequently, we were cautious in interpreting the results comparing egg substitute with whole eggs without an account of the performance of egg-white meals. A comparative analysis of other common methods of meal preparation would have strengthened the external validity of this research.

In a recent investigation at Charles Sturt University, comparative analysis was performed on the labeling efficiency of eggs (whites, whole eggs, and precooked commercial breakfast burgers), tofu, marshmallows, and beef (raw meat and precooked commercial breakfast burgers). The method of cooking (precooked, griddle, or microwave) and the time of radiopharmaceutical administration (raw, semicooked, or cooked) were also evaluated. These results

(unpublished) showed that the labeling efficiency at 1 h after incubation in a simulated gastric environment for egg whites (96.1%) and whole eggs (94.8%) was similar to the labeling efficiency for beef (97.0%), whereas poorer efficacy was noted for precooked meat (83.7%), precooked eggs (66.7%), tofu (64.4%), and marshmallows (29.6%). The tofu was confounded by a large disparity in results between griddle-cooked tofu (81.3%) and microwave-cooked tofu (47.5%). It mattered naught whether the radiopharmaceutical was added to the raw (96.2%) or semicooked (96.0%) meal; however, addition to precooked food performed poorly (75.1%). Similarly, whether a griddle (96.1%) or a microwave (96.2%) was used as the method of cooking was inconsequential, after tofu was excluded.

The results from Charles Sturt University support the use of egg substitute as an alternative to both egg whites and whole eggs, although the findings for whole eggs between microwave and griddle cooking are discordant with those of Knight et al. (1). In the interest of maintaining scintigraphy as the gold standard for gastric-emptying evaluations, we hope that practitioners use informed decision making before adopting an alternative, nonvalidated meal type.

REFERENCES

1. Knight LC, Kantor S, Doma S, Parkman HP, Maurer AH. Egg labeling methods for gastric emptying scintigraphy are not equivalent in producing a stable solid meal. *J Nucl Med.* 2007;48:1897–1900.
2. Donohoe KJ, Maurer AH, Ziessman HA, Urbain J-LC, Royal HD, Martin-Comin J. *Society of Nuclear Medicine Procedure Guideline for Gastric Emptying and Motility*. Reston, VA: Society of Nuclear Medicine; 2004. Version 2.0.
3. Kwiatek MA, Jones KL, Burch WM, Horowitz M, Dylan FD. Use of Technegas as a radiopharmaceutical for the measurement of gastric emptying. *Eur J Nucl Med.* 1999;26:903–906.
4. Knight LC, Fisher RS, Malmud LS. Comparison of solid food markers in gastric emptying studies. In: Raynaud C, ed. *Nuclear Medicine and Biology Advances*. Vol III. Paris, France: Pergamon Press; 1982:2407–2410.

Geoffrey M. Currie
Janelle M. Wheat
 Charles Sturt University
 Wagga Wagga, Australia

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.107.050385