Comparison of $^{18}$F-FDG PET and Optimized Voxel-Based Morphometry for Detection of Alzheimer’s Disease: Aging Effect on Diagnostic Performance
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The aim of this study was to compare optimized voxel-based morphometry (VBM) and $^{18}$F-FDG PET for discrimination between patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and healthy subjects in relation to age. Methods: The study population consisted of 2 groups; the first group (27 AD patients and 40 control subjects) was used to determine the locations of significant abnormalities for both PET and VBM using statistical parametric mapping, and the second group (34 AD patients and 50 control subjects) was used to compare the diagnostic performance of PET and VBM. In the second group, a $z$-score map for PET or VBM of each subject was obtained by comparison with the mean and SD of PET or gray-matter MR images of the control subjects. Receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was then performed to compare the diagnostic performance between PET and VBM. Furthermore, group 2 was divided into the early- and late-onset subgroups, and ROC analysis was performed for each subgroup. Results: In the first group, VBM revealed a significant decrease in gray-matter concentration in the hippocampus complex in AD, whereas PET showed a significant reduction in $^{18}$F-FDG uptake in the posterior cingulate and parietotemporal lobe. The diagnostic performance of PET (0.988 ± 0.008; mean ± SE), as measured by the area under the ROC curve, was higher than that of VBM with (0.782 ± 0.059) or without (0.832 ± 0.049) modulation. PET yielded a sensitivity, specificity, and overall accuracy of 100%, 92%, and 95%, respectively, whereas for VBM the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 74%, 92%, and 85%. Modulation for the VBM did not improve these values (56%, 94%, and 79%, respectively). When the early- and late-onset subjects were analyzed separately, the superiority of $^{18}$F-FDG PET was significant only in the early-onset subgroup. Conclusion: The present study indicates that the detection of metabolic alteration by $^{18}$F-FDG PET yields a better diagnostic performance for the discrimination between AD patients and healthy control subjects than does the morphologic approach by VBM, particularly in the early-onset subjects.
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Because Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the leading form of age-related dementia in many countries, efforts have been made to develop techniques to detect abnormalities associated with the presence and severity of disease (1). Of these, $^{18}$F-FDG PET combined with automated image analysis—such as statistical parametric mapping (SPM) (2) or NEUROSTAT (3)—is an established diagnostic imaging technique, applied to distinguish between neurodegenerative disorders according to changes in regional brain glucose use. Using these methods, regional metabolic alteration typically in the precuneus, posterior cingulate gyri, and lateral parietal association cortex is reported to be an early marker of AD (4–6).

Apart from the metabolic alterations described, structural MRI studies have demonstrated that atrophy of the medial temporal lobe, including the hippocampal complex, occurs at an early stage of AD (7–9). Many such studies have used a manual region-of-interest (ROI) approach to measure gray-matter volumes. Recently, a statistical voxel-based approach using 3-dimensional (3D) T1-weighted MRI, referred as voxel-based morphometry (VBM) (10), has emerged as one possible approach for automatic detection of gray-matter volume loss in various neuropsychologic disorders on a voxel-by-voxel basis after anatomic standardization. Recent VBM studies have shown that gray-matter volume loss in the hippocampal complex measured by VBM serves as a reliable
marker of AD (11) and, thus, has a diagnostic value for
detection of AD (12). Although VBM, in general, does not
allow assessment of structural changes because of some
known limitations—such as those encountered in imper-
fectly registered images (13)—it is a popular and practical
implementation of morphology that could be compared with
PET. To date, however, few data are available that directly
compare the diagnostic utility of PET and VBM. According
to a recent study by Kawachi et al. (14), PET seems to yield a
better diagnostic performance for detection of AD than
standard VBM does. However, more sophisticated VBM
approaches such as optimized VBM (15) have been devel-
oped for better anatomic standardization and segmentation.
Whether these improved VBM techniques would provide
diagnostic accuracy comparable with that obtained by PET
has, to our knowledge, not yet been investigated.

From a demographic viewpoint, it is well known that the
prevalence of AD significantly increases as a function of age
(1). Although the clinical value of a diagnostic test depends
on disease prevalence, age-related changes in comparative
diagnostic performance between PET and VBM are un-
known.

Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether the
diagnostic performance of optimized VBM for discrimina-
tion between AD patients and healthy subjects is compa-
rollable with that obtained by 18F-FDG PET in relation to age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

We studied 61 patients with a clinical diagnosis of probable AD
(28 men, 33 women; mean age ± SD, 68.5 ± 9.1 y) and 90 age-
matched healthy volunteers (44 men, 46 women; mean age, 67.2 ±
8.4 y; not significant [NS] vs. probable AD). Of these, a subset of
probable AD patients (n = 27) and healthy volunteers (n = 40) was
randomly selected to determine the location of significant abnor-
malities for PET or VBM on the basis of voxel-based analysis (group
1). The remaining subjects (34 probable AD patients and 50 healthy
volunteers) were used to compare the diagnostic performance of
PET and VBM (group 2). This randomization procedure was per-
duced using statistical software (JMP 6.0; SAS Institute Inc.) to
randomly select to determine the location of significant abnor-
malities for PET or VBM on the basis of voxel-based analysis (group
1). PET seems to yield a better diagnostic performance for detection of AD than
standard VBM does. However, more sophisticated VBM
approaches such as optimized VBM (15) have been devel-
oped for better anatomic standardization and segmentation.

Whether these improved VBM techniques would provide
diagnostic accuracy comparable with that obtained by PET
has, to our knowledge, not yet been investigated.

From a demographic viewpoint, it is well known that the
prevalence of AD significantly increases as a function of age
(1). Although the clinical value of a diagnostic test depends
on disease prevalence, age-related changes in comparative
diagnostic performance between PET and VBM are un-
known.

Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether the
diagnostic performance of optimized VBM for discrimina-
tion between AD patients and healthy subjects is compa-
rollable with that obtained by 18F-FDG PET in relation to age.

PET and MRI

All subjects underwent physical examinations, neuropsycho-
logic examinations, and brain MRI and replied to the question-
naire for clinical information. Cognitive ability was assessed using
the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). The brain MRI
scans (3D T1-weighted, T2-weighted, MR angiography) were
performed on all subjects on the same day as the PET scan, and
the 3D T1 images were used for VBM study. The criteria to define
“healthy” were no history of neuropsychologic disorders, normal
findings on physical and neurologic examinations (MMSE score 
≥ 28), and normal findings on brain MRI (no asymptomatic
cerebral infarction, hemorrhage, tumor, or brain vessel abnormal-
ities on T1-weighted or T2-weighted MRI or MR angiography).
The AD patients were recruited at a memory disorders clinic at
Kanazawa University Hospital (Kanazawa, Japan). The diagnosis
of probable AD was made by neurologists who were unaware of
PET or VBM results according to the criteria of the National
Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and
Stroke and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Asso-
ciation (16). The mean MMSE score was 22.1 ± 3.5.

The study protocol was approved by the institutional human
study committee of The Medical and Pharmacological Research
Center Foundation and written informed consent was obtained
from all subjects.

TABLE 1

Clinical Characteristics of Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD (n = 27)</td>
<td>Healthy (n = 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>12/15</td>
<td>20/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age* (y)</td>
<td>68.6 ± 6.8</td>
<td>66.5 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of AD* (y)</td>
<td>66.3 ± 6.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration* (y)</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score*</td>
<td>22.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>29.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are expressed as mean ± SD.

MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination.
of slices, 124; pixel size, 0.78 × 1.04; slice thickness, 1.4 mm). T2-weighted MRI and MR angiography were performed according to our routine protocol for screening.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

Anatomic normalization and statistical processing for PET and MRI were performed using SPM2 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, U.K.) in conjunction with MATLAB 7.1 (Mathworks Inc.).

**PET**

All PET images were spatially normalized in SPM2 to a standard stereotactic space based on the Talairach and Tournoux atlas (18), using a 12-parameter linear affine normalization and a further non-linear iteration algorithm. This was performed using a template for 18F-FDG that had been constructed by averaging 18F-FDG images of group 1 subjects whose deformation was estimated from coregistered MRI. The PET images were then smoothed using a 12-mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) isotropic gaussian kernel.

**Theory of Optimized VBM and Modulation**

Originally, VBM was developed to identify local differences in the composition of brain tissue (gray or white matter) between 2 or more experimental groups, which is achieved by spatial normalization, segmentation, smoothing, and subsequent statistical analysis (19). Spatial normalization fits all structural images into the same stereotactic space. During spatial normalization, SPM requires templates that have contrasts and shapes similar to those of the images to be matched with them. Spatially normalized MR images are then segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) using a clustering algorithm. The segmentation procedure involves calculating a Bayesian probability for each voxel to determine whether it belongs to a tissue class on the basis of a priori MRI information; ideally, these tissue probability maps should represent the prior probabilities for the population under investigation. After smoothing, gray-matter intensities should reflect gray-matter concentrations by the partial-volume effect. However, there are cases when the results are influenced by the surrounding tissue, such as the ventricles, as a result of spatial normalization. Optimized VBM has been developed to overcome shortcomings of standard VBM. Figure 1 illustrates the entire preprocessing steps of optimized VBM for gray-matter analysis that was used in the present study. The basic idea of optimized VBM is to improve spatial normalization by the use of gray-matter images and a gray-matter template rather than whole-brain T1 images. This is achieved by segmentation of the original T1 MR images, spatial normalization of segmented images, applying normalization parameters to the original T1 MR images, and segmentation of spatially normalized T1 MR images.

During spatial normalization, brain regions are expanded or contracted. Modulation involves scaling by the amount of expansion or contraction, so that the total amount of gray-matter intensity in the modulated gray matter remains the same as it would be in the original images. Therefore, gray-matter intensity on modulated images should represent tissue volume rather than tissue concentration.

**Optimized VBM Procedure**

The optimized VBM method with or without modulation was performed for all MRI data as illustrated in Figure 1. The study-specific T1 template and prior gray or white matter and CSF images were generated from group 1 subjects. Each optimally normalized, segmented, and modulated or unmodulated gray-matter image was smoothed with a 12-mm FWHM kernel.

**Determination of Regional Metabolic Reduction and Gray-Matter Loss in AD**

To identify clusters of significantly reduced 18F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentration in patients, anatomically normalized PET and gray-matter MRI datasets of the first group (27 AD patients and 40 control subjects) were compared on a voxel-by-voxel basis using the “compare-population 1 scan per subject” routine in SPM2. The “proportional scaling” routine was used to control for individual variation in global mean activity or intensities except for modulated optimized VBM. The results were corrected for multiple comparisons by controlling the “familywise error rate” at \( P < 0.05 \).
(20). The extent threshold was set to 50 voxels. Then, we defined ROI maps for PET and gray-matter MRI obtained from the comparison of the AD patients and healthy control subjects in the first group.

**Tomographic z-Score Mapping**

After preprocessing of $^{18}$F-FDG PET and MRI data using SPM2 as described, each $^{18}$F-FDG PET or gray-matter image of group 2 subjects (34 AD patients and 50 healthy subjects) was compared with the mean and SD of $^{18}$F-FDG PET or gray-matter images of the 40 healthy volunteers of group 1 by voxel-by-voxel z-score analysis using a software program developed by Matsuda (21); $z$ score = $([\text{control mean}] - [\text{individual value}])/[\text{control SD}]$ as previously reported by Minoshima et al. (22) in a PET study. Although this software can implement some SPM functions, we used it only for tomographic z-score mapping because optimized VBM was not implemented in the current version. These z-score maps were displayed by overlay on tomographic sections. This program registered the SPM[t] results for significant decline of $^{18}$F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentrations in patients determined by group comparison in the first group as a specific ROI. Each $^{18}$F-FDG PET or gray-matter image of 1 of the 50 healthy subjects was also compared with the averaged $^{18}$F-FDG PET or gray-matter image of the remaining 40 healthy volunteers in the same manner as that used for the patients. Using the averaged value of positive $z$ scores in the specific ROI in a $z$-score map as the threshold, receiver-operating-characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed for PET and VBM using the DBM-MRMC2.1β program developed at the University of Chicago (22) (http://xray.bsd.uchicago.edu/krl). The optimal cutoff was defined as the point to maximize the overall accuracy on the ROC curves.

**Assessment of Age of Onset on Diagnostic Performance**

The AD patients in group 2 were divided into 2 subgroup of the early- and late-onset AD patients on the basis of the age of onset using a 65-y threshold. The healthy subjects were also assigned into the early- or late-onset subgroup using a 70-y threshold so that the current age of AD patients in each subgroup matched that of healthy subjects. There were 15 AD patients (11 men, 4 women; mean age ± SD, 58.9 ± 7.3 y; mean age of disease onset, 54.7 ± 5.2 y; mean MMSE score, 22.5 ± 4.5) and 23 healthy subjects (13 men, 10 women; mean age, 60.0 ± 7.9 y) included in the early-onset group and 19 AD patients (5 men, 14 women; mean age, 75.9 ± 5.7 y; mean age of disease onset, 73.6 ± 5.5 y; mean MMSE score, 21.7 ± 3.2) and 27 healthy subjects (11 men, 16 women; mean age, 74.3 ± 3.0 y) in the late-onset group. The ROC analysis was performed for each subgroup.

In addition, the diagnostic accuracy of age-specific normal databases and ROIs were also tested. To determine age-specific ROIs, group 1 was divided into the early- and late-onset subgroups in a manner as described for subgrouping group 2. There were 11 AD patients (7 men, 4 women; mean age, 62.1 ± 4.9 y; mean age of disease onset, 59.0 ± 3.9 y; mean MMSE score, 21.2 ± 4.1) and 26 healthy subjects (16 men, 10 women; mean age, 62.0 ± 4.9 y) included in the early-onset group and 16 AD patients (5 men, 11 women; mean age, 73.1 ± 3.3 y; mean age of disease onset, 71.3 ± 2.8 y; mean MMSE score, 22.6 ± 2.6) and 14 healthy subjects (4 men, 10 women; mean age, 75.0 ± 3.0 y) in the late-onset group. ROIs of significant declines in $^{18}$F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentration were determined for each early or late-onset subgroup using SPM2 in a manner as described for the determination of regional metabolic reduction and gray-matter loss in the whole group 1. The results were uncorrected, with $P < 0.001$. Furthermore, healthy subjects in each subgroup served as the age-specific normal database for tomographic $z$-score mapping.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are reported as mean ± 1 SD unless specified. Comparisons of 2 mean values were performed using a paired $t$ test; a nonpaired $t$ test was used where appropriate. When assumptions required for the $t$ test were not met, the nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test was used. Comparisons of average $z$ scores among $^{18}$F-FDG PET, VBM, and VBM with modulated VBM were performed using repeated-measures ANOVA, which was followed
RESULTS

Determination of Abnormal Regions by PET and Optimized VBM

The areas of significant declines in 18F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentration of the first group patients are illustrated in Figure 2 and Table 2. In the PET study, the reductions in 18F-FDG uptake were observed mainly in the posterior cingulate gyrus, the precuneus, and the bilateral angular gyri. In the VBM, reductions in gray-matter concentration were observed mainly in the hippocampal complex irrespective of the use of modulation. These regions with declines in 18F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentration were delineated as specific ROIs for AD.

Comparison of Diagnostic Accuracy Between 18F-FDG PET and Optimized VBM

Average positive z-score values of 18F-FDG PET and VBM in group 2 are summarized in Table 3. By definition, positive z scores indicate more severe hypometabolism or atrophy. The z-score value of PET or VBM in AD patients was higher than that in healthy subjects. When the z-score values of PET and VBM in AD patients were compared, 18F-FDG PET showed a significantly higher average z-score value than either modulated or unmodulated VBM (P, 0.001). The ROC curves for discrimination of AD patients and control subjects were compared between 18F-FDG PET and VBM (Fig. 3).

FIGURE 3. ROC curves for discrimination between AD patients and healthy volunteers obtained from PET and VBM when thresholding at averaged values of positive z scores as measure of hypometabolism or atrophy. Solid line indicates 18F-FDG PET; dashed line indicates optimized VBM without modulation (OPT); fine dot line indicates optimized VBM with modulation (MOD).
0.008 [mean ± SE]) was higher than that of VBM with
(0.782 ± 0.059, \( P < 0.01 \) vs. PET) or without (0.832 ±
0.049, \( P < 0.01 \) vs. PET) modulation. When the accuracy
was maximized, PET yielded a sensitivity, specificity, and
accuracy of 100%, 92%, and 95%, respectively, whereas
VBM yielded a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 74%,
92%, and 85%. Modulation for VBM did not improve these
values (56%, 94%, and 79%, respectively). The optimal
cutoff \( z \)-score value to maximize accuracy was 1.2 for
either PET, unmodulated VBM, or modulated VBM.

**Effect of Age on Diagnostic Performance of \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET and Optimized VBM**

Average positive \( z \)-score values of \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET and
VBM in the early- and late-onset subgroups are summa-
rized in Tables 4 and 5. The \( z \)-score value of PET or VBM
in AD patients was higher than that in healthy subjects in
each subgroup. When the \( z \)-score values of PET or VBM in
AD patients were compared between the early- and late-
onset subgroups, PET showed a significantly higher average
\( z \)-score value in the early-onset subgroup than that in
the late-onset subgroup (\( P < 0.001 \)). In contrast, VBM,
with or without modulation, showed a significantly lower
average \( z \)-score value in the early-onset subgroup than that
in the late-onset subgroup (\( P < 0.001 \)).

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>(^{18})F-FDG PET</th>
<th>Optimized VBM</th>
<th>Optimized VBM with modulation</th>
<th>( P ) value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>3.30 ± 1.40</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value†</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( P \) value by repeated-measures ANOVA.
†\( P < 0.001 \) vs. either VBM or VBM with modulation.
‡\( P \) value by Wilcoxon rank sum test.

**Table 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>(^{18})F-FDG PET</th>
<th>Optimized VBM</th>
<th>Optimized VBM with modulation</th>
<th>( P ) value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.89</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.93</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.40</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value†</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*\( P \) value by repeated-measures ANOVA.
†\( P < 0.05 \) vs. VBM with modulation.
‡\( P \) value by Wilcoxon rank sum test.

**DISCUSSION**

This study directly compares \(^{18}\)F-FDG PET and opti-
imized VBM for the detection of AD in the same individu-
als. The major finding of this study was that even with the
sophisticated technique for MRI, such as optimized VBM,
the morphologic approach did not achieve the diagnostic
accuracy for the discrimination between AD patients and
healthy subjects comparable with that obtained by \(^{18}\)F-FDG
PET. This was particularly true in the early-onset subjects.
18F-FDG PET and Optimized VBM in AD Patients

18F-FDG PET is considered to be an established imaging technique to assess metabolic activity in various brain disorders. In particular, its diagnostic efficacy for the detection of AD is well documented in the literature (1,3,23). The results of this study confirmed this notion with high diagnostic accuracy, which is in line with these published studies (1,3,23). On the other hand, cerebral atrophy in AD patients, as a result of neurofibrillary degeneration and cell loss, is a pathologic feature of AD (1). VBM is an automated morphologic image analysis technique that permits the comparison of gray-matter concentration or volume on a voxel-by-voxel basis and has been applied in AD patients. In particular, optimized VBM used in this study minimizes the potential source of errors during spatial normalization by avoiding the influence of nonbrain tissue and, hence, has the effect of reducing the misinterpretation of significant differences relative to standard VBM (15). Additionally, modulated VBM enables regional assessment of specific gray-matter volume differences. Thus, the differences in hippocampal areas detected by unmodulated or modulated VBM observed in this study are considered to reflect reduced gray-matter concentration or volume in AD patients. From a clinical perspective, Hirata et al. (12) first reported the utility of VBM as a diagnostic tool for detection of AD from healthy subjects with a high diagnostic accuracy of 87.8%, which is essentially similar to the results (85%) of optimized VBM in this study. Furthermore, our data showed that modulation for VBM did not improve diagnostic accuracy, suggesting structural changes in mild AD patients as being more localized rather than global.

To date, few data that relate the use of VBM in comparison with 18F-FDG PET for the detection of AD are available (24). A study by Kawachi et al. (14) has reported a slightly better diagnostic accuracy for the detection of AD patients as compared with standard VBM. The results of this study indicate that, even with optimized VBM, the morphologic approach may not achieve the overall diagnostic performance as 18F-FDG PET does for discrimination of AD patients from healthy subjects. In particular, the metabolic alteration detected by 18F-FDG PET is a highly sensitive (100%) and specific (92%) diagnostic marker of AD, whereas the reduced gray-matter concentration or volume measured by VBM is a less-sensitive (56%–74%) but, when present, specific (92%–94%) marker of AD. This may be in line with the concept that hypometabolism exceeds structural changes that has been described in early-onset familial AD (25).

Effects of Age on Diagnostic Accuracy

Age is known as the strongest risk factor for developing AD (1). Because the significance of a diagnostic test depends on disease prevalence, it would be important to assess the aging effect on diagnostic accuracies. In this study, the z-score value of PET or VBM in AD patients was higher than that in healthy subjects in both early- and late-onset subgroups. However, the z score of PET in AD patients was higher than that of VBM, particularly in the early-onset subgroup, suggesting the higher discrimination power of PET than VBM in this subgroup. Furthermore, PET showed a significantly higher z-score value in the early-onset subgroup than that in the late-onset subgroup. This is consistent with the previous finding that early-onset AD has more severe metabolic alteration in temporoparietal areas than does late-onset AD (26). In contrast, VBM with or without modulation showed a significantly higher z-score value in the late-onset subgroup than that in the early-onset subgroup, which is consistent with an earlier finding showing a greater hippocampal atrophy in late-onset AD (27). These observations lead to the hypothesis that the superiority of 18F-FDG PET over VBM would be more significant in the early-onset subjects than in the late-onset subjects. This was confirmed in this study, which showed a higher diagnostic performance of
18F-FDG PET in the early-onset subgroup than that in the late-onset subgroup. Furthermore, the optimal threshold of the z score to maximize the diagnostic accuracy of VBM differed between the early- and late-onset subgroups, indicating that the use of an age-specific reference value may be recommended.

In this study, the early-onset AD patients showed a broader area of reduced 18F-FDG uptake than that of the late-onset patients. This is in line with the literature showing a more prominent metabolic reduction in the early-onset AD (26). On the other hand, areas of declines in gray-matter concentration or volume were observed in the medial temporal areas with little neocortical involvement when the whole group 1 was analyzed, which is in line with the literature showing little or no neocortical involvement detected by VBM (12,24). However, when the early- and late-onset AD patients were compared, the early-onset AD patients showed more neocortical involvement, whereas the areas of involvement were confined to the medial temporal areas in the late-onset AD patients. This is in line with a recent VBM study showing neocortical involvement, particularly in early-onset AD (28).

FIGURE 5. Comparison of 18F-FDG PET and VBM z-score maps from 81-y-old woman (age of onset, 71 y; MMSE score, 22) and 53-y-old man (age of onset, 50 y; MMSE score, 26) with probable AD. Automated voxel-by-voxel z-score analysis was performed by comparison of relative 18F-FDG uptake or gray-matter concentration/volume for patient with mean and SD of 18F-FDG or gray-matter images of healthy volunteers. Color-scaled z-score maps ranging from 2.0 to 6.0 were displayed by overlaying on transaxial sections of anatomically standardized MRI of patient. By definition, positive z scores indicate more severe hypometabolism in PET or atrophy in VBM. Averaged values of positive z scores of the older patient in predefined regions were 2.66 for PET, 3.16 for optimized VBM without modulation, and 3.00 for optimized VBM with modulation, whereas those of the younger patient were 2.85, 0.09, and 0.29, respectively.
The use of age-specific ROIs and a normal database for analysis did not alter the results for 18F-FDG PET and VBM. This may not be surprising because the aging effect primarily affects bilateral perisylvian areas for 18F-FDG PET and VBM and not the areas of decline in 18F-FDG uptake or gray-matter volume in AD patients, as demonstrated in our earlier study (17).

Limitations

There are limitations of the study to be described. First, VBM is one possible approach and the results of this study do not permit conclusions on 18F-FDG PET versus general morphology. Second, we compared 18F-FDG PET and VBM only to discriminate between AD patients and healthy volunteers. Therefore, our data cannot be applied to other types of diseases, such as frontotemporal dementia. This needs to be addressed in further studies. Third, the diagnosis of probable AD was made on the basis of clinical examinations in this study and, therefore, may differ from that obtained from final pathologic verification—a limitation present in many such studies. However, it has been reported that the diagnostic accuracy can exceed 90% in an academic “memory disorders” clinical setting (29), which was the case in this study.

CONCLUSION

The present study indicates that the detection of metabolic alteration by 18F-FDG PET yields a better diagnostic performance for the discrimination between AD patients and healthy control subjects than does the morphologic approach by VBM, particularly in the early-onset subjects.
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