Pretargeted Radioimmunotherapy of Ovarian Cancer with ²²⁵Ac and an Internalizing Antibody

Xiaoyan Li¹, Xiaoli Lan^{2,3}, and Weibo Cai¹

¹Departments of Radiology and Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin; ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China; and ³Hubei Key Laboratory of Molecular Imaging, Wuhan, China

he field of nuclear medicine and theranostics has never been as vibrant as it is today (1-3). Over the last decade, largely because of the approval of several new radiopharmaceuticals by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency for diagnosis and treatment of various types of cancer, a large number of startup companies have been formed around the globe. Numerous research laboratories have devoted significant resources and effort to the development of novel radiopharmaceuticals that can be translated into the clinic for cancer patient management as well. For diagnostic purposes, the most common radioisotopes used in the clinic are still ^{99m}Tc, ¹⁸F, ¹¹C, and ⁶⁸Ga, although there are an increasing number of studies using radioisotopes such as ⁶⁴Cu and ⁸⁹Zr. For therapeutic purposes, that is, radioligand therapy or targeted radionuclide therapy, there are, generally speaking, 3 types of radionuclides that can be used: α-emitting radioisotopes (e.g., ²²³Ra, ²²⁵Ac, ²¹¹At, ²¹³Bi, and ²¹²Pb), β-emitting radioisotopes (e.g., ¹³¹I, ¹⁷⁷Lu, ⁹⁰Y, ⁶⁷Cu, and ⁴⁷Sc), and Auger-emitting radioisotopes (e.g., ^{123/125}I, ⁶⁷Ga, ^{99m}Tc, ¹¹¹In, and ²⁰¹Tl). This is a decade of unprecedented excitement and high expectations for novel radiopharmaceuticals.

Some literature also refers to radioligand therapy and targeted radionuclide therapy as a *radionuclide–drug conjugate*, which is obviously based on the commercial successes of antibody–drug conjugates. However, we argue that *radionuclide–drug conjugate* is not a scientifically accurate term because the radionuclide itself is the drug that causes cell killing, not, as the name *radionuclide–drug conjugate* suggests, the antibody or other ligand.

When we compare the 3 relevant types of radionuclides, that is, α -emitters, β -emitters, and Auger-emitters, there are advantages and disadvantages to each choice, and the right choice may be dependent on a variety of factors, such as the targeting ligand, the size of the tumor, the cost, and the risk-to-benefit ratio, to name just a few. β -emitters (e.g., ¹⁷⁷Lu, ⁹⁰Y, and ¹³¹I) have been extensively used in the clinical setting (with some radiopharmaceuticals approved or soon to be approved for clinical use); to be effective, Auger-emitters often require precise targeting of the cancer cell nucleus, a requirement that can be challenging to achieve in high efficiency; α -emitters are much more effective in cell killing at a short distance (<100 µm) but may have significant toxicity if not targeted properly to the tumor tissue. Such toxicity may be exacerbated by the fact that the strong recoil of an α -emission will cause the radioisotope to detach from the chelator, and the daughter radionuclides may accumulate substantially in other organs such as the kidneys and potentially cause dose-limiting toxicity. This possibility has been one of the major concerns and challenges regarding the clinical use of ²²⁵Ac, as it has 4 α -decays. Therefore, researchers have been investigating various strategies to reduce the potential toxicity of ²²⁵Ac and its daughter radionuclides, such as kidney protection, pretargeting, or the use of nanomaterials to trap ²²⁵Ac and its daughters.

In this issue of The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Chung et al. reported the use of ²²⁵Ac for human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2)-targeted treatment of small-volume ovarian peritoneal carcinomatosis (OPC) in a mouse model (4). The approach adopted in this comprehensive study was pretargeted radioimmunotherapy (PRIT), which may need some elaboration. The 3 components used for HER2-targeted PRIT were an anti-HER2/anti-DOTA IgG-single-chain variable fragment (scFv) bispecific antibody (BsAb), a clearing agent (CA; DOTA(Y)-conjugated poly-N-acetylgalactosamine glycodendron), and a radiohapten that is either ²²⁵Ac-Pr ("Pr" denotes Proteus-DOTA; for therapy) or ¹¹¹In-Pr (for imaging and dosimetry estimation). Pr represents the radiohapten precursor (molecular weight \sim 1,350 Da), which consists of 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (DO3A, a radiometal chelator), separated by a tetraethylene glycol (PEG₄) linker to a ¹⁷⁵Lu complex of 2-benzyl-DOTA (5).

The BsAb (molecular weight ~210 kDa) was produced in Chinese hamster ovary cells and purified by protein A affinity chromatography, as the investigators previously reported in 2018 (6). It can bind to HER2 on the ovarian cancer cells for tumor targeting, as well as provide a handle for binding to the radiohapten for imaging (with ¹¹¹In) or therapy (with ²²⁵Ac). Since the BsAb circulates for a long time in mice (potentially even longer in humans), the CA (molecular weight $\sim 9 \text{ kDa}$) was designed, optimized, and used to rapidly remove the circulating BsAb. This occurs by forming a complex via the DOTA(Y) moiety, which can subsequently be cleared via liver asialoglycoprotein receptor recognition and catabolism (7). After the circulating BsAb is cleared, the radiohapten (i.e., ²²⁵Ac-Pr) was injected, accumulated rapidly in the tumor tissue, and caused cancer cell killing. As a small molecule, ²²⁵Ac-Pr clears rapidly from the mouse body, minimizing the potential toxic side effects caused by the daughter

Received Jun. 7, 2023; revision accepted Jul. 20, 2023.

For correspondence or reprints, contact Weibo Cai (wcai@uwhealth.org) or Xiaoli Lan (xiaoli_lan@hust.edu.cn).

Published online Aug. 17, 2023.

COPYRIGHT @ 2023 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.123.266026

radionuclides of ²²⁵Ac (e.g., ²²¹Fr, ²¹⁷At, ²¹³Bi, ²¹³Po, and ²⁰⁹Bi), which will not be inside DO3A or Pr anymore because of the recoil of the initial α -decay.

Tremendous effort has been devoted to pretargeting over the last several decades for imaging or therapy of cancer. Excellent review articles are available on this topic (8,9). Generally, and for most of the literature reports, noninternalizing antibodies were used for pretargeting, as the antibody needs to be accessible by the subsequently injected radiolabeled small molecule. Interestingly, in the study of Chung et al. (4), the antibody used for HER2 (overexpressed in a significant percentage of ovarian cancer) was an internalizing antibody, trastuzumab. According to the experimental findings investigating the internalization kinetics of anti-HER2 BsAb-pretargeted ¹¹¹In-Pr, the average ¹¹¹In internalization over the 48-h period was about 60%, much higher than the control noninternalizing antibody for GPA33 (~15%). It was concluded via cellular dosimetry calculations that such a PRIT system could deliver about a 5 times greater absorbed dose to the cell nucleus than a noninternalizing system, desirable for better therapeutic efficacy. Future optimization of radiohapten delivery with an even higher percentage of internalization may further increase the absorbed dose delivered to the tumor tissue.

It is worth pointing out that since trastuzumab is an internalizing antibody, it was estimated that the mean surface-bound ¹³¹I-BsAb at 24 h (which is the interval between injections of BsAb and the CA) was less than 10% (i.e., most of the injected BsAb was not accessible for ²²⁵Ac/¹¹¹In-Pr). Since the model used in this study is small-volume OPC, the BsAb (0.25 mg/1.19 nmol, as determined by the previous study (6)) was injected intraperitoneally. Such an injection will likely lead to faster HER2 binding and tumor accumulation than intravenously injected BsAb. Therefore, the 24-h interval could potentially be shortened for perhaps a higher percentage of BsAb on the tumor cell surface for ²²⁵Ac/¹¹¹In-Pr binding or a higher percentage of internalization for the latter. That being said, whether such an intraperitoneal injection will be applicable to the clinical situation of PRIT of OPC remains to be determined.

The CA used in this study deserves some attention. The commonly used CA is a 500-kDa dextran-DOTA hapten conjugate, which can bind to the anti-DOTA(M)-scFv domain of the BsAb in circulation, which then is removed from the blood via the reticuloendothelial system. Since a 500-kDa CA is too large to extravasate efficiently, it does not bind significantly to tumor-associated BsAb. In addition, the polydispersity of this 500-kDa CA (poor reproducibility for compliance with current good manufacturing practices), as well as enzymatic degradation of the CA, hampers its potential clinical translation. Therefore, a DOTA-dendron CA consisting of 16 terminal α -thio-N-acetylgalactosamine residues was synthesized and systematically investigated in a recent in vivo study (7). It was concluded that this new CA could be used for enhanced blood clearance of BsAb, with the optimal conditions being intravenous injection of a BsAb dose of 0.25 mg (1.19 nmol), use of a 24-h interval between BsAb and intravenous injection of the CA (25 µg; 2.76 nmol), and use of a 4-h interval between injections of the CA and a ¹⁷⁷Lu-based radiohapten. The same dosing regimen was adopted in this work for ²²⁵Ac-based PRIT, except that intraperitoneal (instead of intravenous) injections were used for the BsAb and ²²⁵Ac/¹¹¹In-Pr. Interestingly, the CA was still injected intravenously. It is unclear whether the CA is still necessary in this case, as most of the ²²⁵Ac/¹¹¹In-Pr may not even enter the bloodstream. More detailed investigation may be needed in the future, regarding timing interval, dose of each agent, the use of CA, etc., to give the best protocol for PRIT of OPC.

The chemical structure of ²²⁵Ac/¹¹¹In-Pr may also warrant some discussion. The C8.2.5 scFv used for DOTA binding in the BsAb was developed more than a decade ago and exhibited notable metal specificity (10). It has picomolar affinity for DOTA complexes of lutetium and vttrium and nanomolar affinity for indium and gallium chelates, which were shown to give very different tumor uptake values for in vivo pretargeting when different radiohaptens were used (5). Therefore, although the direct use of ¹⁷⁷Lu-DOTA-Bn worked quite well for pretargeting, tumor uptake of ²²⁵Ac-DOTA-Bn was much lower and far from satisfactory. Hence, Pr was synthesized (5): p-SCN-Bn-DOTA was loaded with nonradioactive ¹⁷⁵Lu to yield a p-SCN-Bn-DOTA.¹⁷⁵Lu complex, which was then coupled to NH₂-PEG₄-DO3A to yield the Pr used in this work (4). The ¹⁷⁵Lu-DOTA-Bn portion of the Pr confers picomolar affinity to the anti-DOTA C8.2.5 scFv moiety of the BsAb, whereas the DO3A can be efficiently labeled with either ²²⁵Ac or ¹¹¹In, or certain other radiometals if needed.

It was concluded that all treatments were well tolerated, whether they were performed with 1-cycle or 2-cycle anti-HER2-PRIT (4). More importantly, both treatments were highly effective, evidenced by serial bioluminescence imaging of the OPC tumor burden, as well as statistically significant extension of survival for the anti-HER2-PRIT groups. When analyzing statistical values of bioluminescence imaging, one must keep in mind both that intraperitoneal tumor growth is highly heterogeneous and that the bioluminescence imaging signal does not correlate linearly with the overall tumor burden. Survival data may be a better indication of therapeutic efficacy in this study, which was indeed quite impressive.

We applaud the authors for performing comprehensive histologic analyses and toxicity studies of the mice undergoing PRIT (most of the data were presented in the supplemental material (4)), which is usually done for clinical studies but rarely seen in preclinical research. No significant weight loss of the mice was observed after PRIT. On the basis of histopathology, the only finding of organ injury was minimal-to-mild renal tubular degeneration. However, this did not affect the renal function based on serum blood urea nitrogen and creatinine data. Lastly, all hematologic parameters were within normal limits for treated mice. All these promising results suggested little to no acute or chronic toxicity for PRIT, and further dose escalation is possible if needed in the future.

In conclusion, this study reported a promising approach to treating HER2-expressing OPC in small-animal models. Since there is no effective treatment for this devastating disease in the clinic, this PRIT strategy may fulfill an unmet urgent clinical need in the future, upon further optimization and clinical translation. Since the C8.2.5 scFv used for DOTA binding has picomolar affinity for DOTA complexes of yttrium (*10*), the ⁸⁶Y/⁹⁰Y theranostic radioisotope pair should certainly be evaluated in the future; such a pair not only would enable true cancer theranostics with the same chemical entity (using different isotopes of the same element Y) but also would offer better imaging characteristics via PET than ¹¹¹In-based SPECT. We look forward to more exciting follow-up studies in the PRIT space, which holds tremendous potential for revolutionizing cancer patient management.

DISCLOSURE

Financial support was received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82030052 and 81901783), the University of Wisconsin–Madison, and the National Institutes of Health (P30CA014520). Weibo Cai declares a conflict of interest with the following corporations: Actithera, Inc., Rad Source Technologies, Inc., Portrai, Inc., rTR Technovation Corp., and Four Health Global Pharmaceuticals Inc. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

- Wei W, Rosenkrans ZT, Liu J, Huang G, Luo QY, Cai W. ImmunoPET: concept, design, and applications. *Chem Rev.* 2020;120:3787–3851.
- Jadvar H, Chen X, Cai W, Mahmood U. Radiotheranostics in cancer diagnosis and management. *Radiology*. 2018;286:388–400.

- Chakravarty R, Siamof CM, Dash A, Cai W. Targeted α-therapy of prostate cancer using radiolabeled PSMA inhibitors: a game changer in nuclear medicine. *Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2018;8:247–267.
- Chung SK, Vargas DB, Chandler CS, et al. Efficacy of HER2-targeted intraperitoneal ²²⁵Ac α-pretargeted radioimmunotherapy for small-volume ovarian peritoneal carcinomatosis. J Nucl Med. 2023;64:1439–1445.
- Cheal SM, McDevitt MR, Santich BH, et al. Alpha radioimmunotherapy using ²²⁵Ac-proteus-DOTA for solid tumors: safety at curative doses. *Theranostics*. 2020;10:11359–11375.
- Cheal SM, Xu H, Guo H-F, et al. Theranostic pretargeted radioimmunotherapy of internalizing solid tumor antigens in human tumor xenografts in mice: curative treatment of HER2-positive breast carcinoma. *Theranostics.* 2018;8: 5106–5125.
- Cheal SM, Patel M, Yang G, et al. An N-acetylgalactosamino dendron-clearing agent for high-therapeutic-index DOTA-hapten pretargeted radioimmunotherapy. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2020;31:501–506.
- Cheal SM, Chung SK, Vaughn BA, Cheung NV, Larson SM. Pretargeting: a path forward for radioimmunotherapy. J Nucl Med. 2022;63:1302–1315.
- Altai M, Membreno R, Cook B, Tolmachev V, Zeglis BM. Pretargeted imaging and therapy. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:1553–1559.
- Orcutt KD, Slusarczyk AL, Cieslewicz M, et al. Engineering an antibody with picomolar affinity to DOTA chelates of multiple radionuclides for pretargeted radioimmunotherapy and imaging. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2011;38:223–233.