
Elevated Body Mass Index Is Associated with Improved Overall Survival in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer Patients Undergoing Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen–Directed Radioligand Therapy

Philipp E. Hartrampf^{*1}, Patrick W. Mihatsch^{*2}, Anna Katharina Seitz³, Lilja B. Solnes⁴, Steven P. Rowe⁴, Martin G. Pomper⁴, Hubert Kübler³, Thorsten A. Bley², Andreas K. Buck¹, and Rudolf A. Werner^{1,4}

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ²Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ³Department of Urology and Paediatric Urology, University Hospital of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; and ⁴Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland

J Nucl Med 2023; 64:1272–1278
DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.122.265379

In patients with prostate cancer scheduled for systemic treatment, being overweight is linked to prolonged overall survival (OS), whereas sarcopenia is associated with shorter OS. We investigated fat-related and body composition parameters in patients undergoing prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)–directed radioligand therapy (RLT) to assess their predictive value for OS. **Methods:** Body mass index (BMI, in kg/m²) and CT-derived body composition parameters (total, subcutaneous, visceral fat area, and psoas muscle area at the L3–L4 level) were determined for 171 patients scheduled for PSMA-directed RLT. After normalization for stature, the psoas muscle index was used to define sarcopenia. Outcome analysis was performed using Kaplan–Meier curves and Cox regression including fat-related and other clinical parameters (Gleason score, C-reactive protein [CRP], lactate dehydrogenase [LDH], hemoglobin, and prostate-specific antigen levels). The Harrell C-index was used for goodness-of-fit analysis. **Results:** Sixty-five patients (38%) had sarcopenia, and 98 patients (57.3%) had increased BMI. Relative to the 8-mo OS in normal-weight men (BMI < 25), overweight men (25 ≤ BMI < 30) and obese men (BMI ≥ 30) achieved a longer OS of 14 mo (hazard ratio [HR], 0.63; 95% CI, 0.40–0.99; *P* = 0.03) and 13 mo (HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.29–0.77; *P* = 0.004), respectively. Sarcopenia showed no impact on OS (11 vs. 12 mo; HR, 1.4; 95% CI, 0.91–2.1; *P* = 0.09). Most of the body composition parameters were tightly linked to OS on univariable analyses, with the highest C-index for BMI. In multivariable analysis, a higher BMI (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.86–0.97; *P* = 0.006), lower CRP (HR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.03–1.14; *P* < 0.001), lower LDH (HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.03–1.14; *P* < 0.001), and longer interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.91–0.99; *P* = 0.02) were significant predictors of OS. **Conclusion:** Increased fat reserves assessed by BMI, CRP, LDH, and interval between initial diagnosis and RLT, but not CT-derived body composition parameters, were relevant predictors for OS. As BMI can be altered, future research should investigate whether a high-calorie diet before or during PSMA RLT may improve OS.

Key Words: PSMA; prostate cancer; radioligand therapy; overall survival; body composition

With more than 1.4 million new cases globally, prostate cancer (PC) is a major health burden (1), and androgen deprivation therapy alone or in combination with androgen receptor–targeted agents or chemotherapy is essential for treating patients with metastatic PC (2). Therapeutic options for evolving tumor biology leading to a castration-resistant tumor stage are still limited but include androgen receptor–targeted agents, for example, abiraterone and enzalutamide (3,4), or taxane-containing chemotherapies (5,6). In recent years, prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)–directed radioligand therapy (RLT) has penetrated the clinical arena, demonstrating remarkable outcome benefits (7–9). For instance, the prospective VISION trial reported on a relevant improvement in overall survival (OS) when added to standard care (10), leading to recent U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval (11).

First-line therapeutic regimens used in hormone-sensitive stages, including androgen deprivation therapy, can influence body composition in PC (12–15). Body composition parameters were also tightly linked to outcome for men with castration-resistant PC (CRPC) (16). For instance, several studies have reported that overweight or obesity is favorable for prognosis in patients with CRPC, such as those receiving taxane-based chemotherapy (17–19), whereas high visceral fat is suspected of being a predictor of worse outcome (19–21). Moreover, defined as gradual skeletal muscle loss, sarcopenia still has a debatable impact on disease progression under second-line therapies such as docetaxel (19,22,23).

In the present study, the prevalence of sarcopenia and obesity in men with PSMA-targeted RLT using [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T was assessed. We also aimed to determine the impact of fat-related and other CT-derived body composition parameters, as well as laboratory values and clinical characteristics, on outcome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Cohort

One hundred seventy-one CRPC patients treated with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T were included in this investigation. The local ethics

Received Dec. 29, 2022; revision accepted Mar. 21, 2023.
For correspondence or reprints, contact Philipp E. Hartrampf (hartrampf_p@ukw.de).

*Contributed equally to this work.

Published online Jun. 8, 2023.

COPYRIGHT © 2023 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

committee waived the need for further approval of this retrospective study (waiver 20210422 04). All patients gave written informed consent for the conducted procedures. Parts of this cohort have previously been reported (24–28), without focusing on fat-related and body composition parameters and their predictive performance for OS.

Treatment with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T

The synthesis of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T was previously described (28). Details on the standardized pretherapy work-up in our department were also previously published (24). In brief, blood panels including prostate-specific antigen (PSA) level, C-reactive protein (CRP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and hemoglobin were collected at cycle 1, day 1, and the patient's history was available in an electronic health database. Information on processing of blood samples and dedicated laboratory analyses was previously published (28). For treatment, we administered 6.0 GBq of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T every 8 wk (maximum, 9 cycles per patient).

Assessment of Body Composition

As part of serial hybrid imaging, PSMA-directed PET along with respective CT was performed before initiation of PSMA-directed RLT, defined as baseline. CT images were assessed on a workstation equipped with syngo.via imaging software, version VB60A_HF01 (Siemens Healthineers AG). The scans were evaluated by an observer with 4 y of experience in reading PSMA-targeted PET/CT, who underwent a previous training session, and were reviewed by experienced readers, if needed. The hybrid imaging protocol was previously published (29).

CT-based assessment of body composition was performed as previously described (23). Cross-sectional areas of different tissue compartments, including total, subcutaneous, and visceral fat areas, as well as the psoas muscle area, were segmented on axial CT scans at the level of the third and fourth lumbar vertebrae (L3–L4) by manually drawing a region of interest for each compartment and applying the respective tissue-typical Hounsfield unit threshold: –190 to –30 for adipose tissue and –29 to +150 for muscle tissue (Fig. 1). Body mass index (BMI, in kg/m²), visceral fat-to-subcutaneous fat ratio, and subcutaneous fat-to-muscle ratio were calculated. BMI was classified as normal

(<25), preobese (25 ≤ BMI < 30), or obese (≥30) (30). Subcutaneous fat area, visceral fat area, and psoas muscle area were then normalized for slice thickness, and all of those parameters were also normalized for stature to derive respective indices (subcutaneous fat index, visceral fat index, and psoas muscle index [PMI], in cm²/m²). Sarcopenia was then defined as an L3 PMI of less than 5.7 cm²/m² according to the literature (22,23).

Statistical Analyses

We used GraphPad Prism, version 9.3.0 (GraphPad Software), for statistical analyses. Descriptive data are presented as median and range. We defined OS as the interval from the day of the first cycle until the day of death (presented as median). Univariable Cox regression was performed with all parameters for OS after exclusion of outliers (*n* = 7). For multivariable analysis, we then selected significant parameters from the univariable analysis. Parallel inclusion of multiple body composition parameters in 1 model was not possible because of multicollinearity (e.g., BMI + weight + total fat area or psoas muscle area + PMI). Thus, we decided for the relevant clinical parameter BMI (as a fat-related parameter) and PMI (as an established marker of sarcopenia) in the multivariable analyses (22,23). Different Cox regression models were compared with each other and with a null model (without any parameter) using the Akaike information criterion (31) and the Harrell C-statistic (32). In this regard, a lower Akaike information criterion and a higher Harrell C value indicate a better-fit model (31,32). The hazard ratio (HR) of death and 95% CI are presented. Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank comparison were also calculated to illustrate different survivals between high- and low-risk patients. We present median survival in months, with the HR of death and 95% CI. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

The median age of the 171 included patients was 71 y (range, 46–95 y), and the median Gleason score at original diagnosis was 9 (range, 5–10). The patients received a median of 2 systemic treatment lines before PSMA RLT, with 71%, 65%, 68%, and 8% of patients receiving taxane-based chemotherapy, enzalutamide, abiraterone, and ²²³Ra, respectively. The median baseline laboratory values were 158 ng/mL (range, 0.07–5,000 ng/mL), 0.56 mg/dL (range, 0.02–29.34 mg/dL), 268 U/L (range, 118–1,800 U/L), and 11.6 g/dL (range, 6.0–16.1 g/dL) for PSA, CRP, LDH, and hemoglobin, respectively. Men underwent a median of 2 cycles (range, 1–9) of RLT with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T. The median follow-up was 7 mo (range, 1–53 mo), and the median OS was 11 mo. Ninety-four patients died during follow-up. At baseline, 73 patients (42.7%) showed a normal BMI, 56 patients (32.7%) were preobese, and the remaining 42 patients (24.6%) were obese. Sarcopenia was recorded in 65 patients (38%; Table 1). Table 1 provides a comprehensive overview of the baseline patient characteristics.



FIGURE 1. Example of 68-y-old patient with PC. As part of hybrid imaging (PSMA PET/CT), body composition of CT was investigated. Sagittal (A), coronal (B), and axial (C–E) CT images show segmentation of separate tissue compartments at level of third and fourth lumbar vertebrae (L3–L4) applying different Hounsfield units: subcutaneous fat cross-sectional area (–190 to –30) (C), visceral fat cross-sectional area (–190 to –30) (D), and psoas muscle area (–29 to +150) (E).

Identification of Patients Prone to Shorter Survival Through BMI but Not CT-Derived Body Composition Parameters

Identification of Patients Prone to Shorter Survival Through BMI but Not CT-Derived Body Composition Parameters

Univariable Cox regression for different body composition parameters revealed total fat area (per 50 cm²; HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88–0.99; *P* = 0.03), psoas muscle area

TABLE 1
Patient Characteristics

Characteristic at initiation of RLT	Data
Age (y)	71 (46–95)
PSA (ng/mL)	158 (0.07–5,000)
CRP (mg/dL)	0.56 (0.02–29.34)
LDH (U/L)	268 (118–1,800)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.6 (6.0–16.1)
Interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (mo)	71 (9–364)
Gleason score	9 (5–10)
BMI (kg/m ²)	25.8 (18.4–49.7)
Normal (BMI < 25)	73 (42.7%)
Preobese (25 ≤ BMI < 30)	56 (32.7%)
Obese (BMI ≥ 30)	42 (24.6%)
Body composition before initiation of RLT	
Psoas muscle area (cm ²)	19.0 (7.9–37.2)
PMI (cm ² /m ²)	6.1 (2.5–11.9)
Sarcopenia (PMI < 5.7 cm ² /m ²)	65 (38.0%)
Total fat area (cm ²)	416.7 (104.8–1,372)
Visceral fat area (cm ²)	166.9 (23.3–478.9)
Visceral fat index (cm ² /m ²)	53.8 (6.5–160.4)
Subcutaneous fat area (cm ²)	229.5 (70.2–1,053)
Subcutaneous fat index (cm ² /m ²)	76.4 (22.8–307.6)
Visceral fat-to-subcutaneous fat ratio	0.77 (0.25–1.96)
Subcutaneous fat-to-muscle ratio	12.4 (3.7–42.5)
RLT cycles (n)	2 (1–9)
RLT in castration-resistant stage as ...	
First-line therapy	6/171 (3.5%)
Second-line therapy	25/171 (14.6%)
Third-line therapy	71/171 (41.5%)
Fourth-line therapy	60/171 (35.1%)

Qualitative data are number and percentage; continuous data are median and range.

(HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.91–0.99; $P = 0.02$), PMI (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.75–0.97; $P = 0.01$), visceral fat index (HR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.99–1.00; $P = 0.05$), BMI (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.86–0.96; $P = 0.001$), and weight (HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.96–0.99; $P = 0.005$) as significantly associated with OS. Sarcopenia, however, failed to reach significance ($P = 0.14$, Table 2). Investigating clinical parameters, CRP (HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.11–1.20; $P = 0.001$), LDH (per 50 U/L; HR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.11–1.20; $P = 0.001$), hemoglobin (HR, 0.71; 95% CI, 0.61–0.81; $P < 0.001$), PSA (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 1.00–1.03; $P = 0.04$), and interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (per year; HR, 0.94; 95% CI, 0.89–0.98; $P = 0.003$) were significant predictors of OS. Age and Gleason score, however, were not significant ($P \geq 0.31$). However, the largest improvements in the models compared with a model without parameters (null model) were seen for BMI among the body composition and fat parameters (Akaike information criterion, 728.5 vs. 738.2 in the null model; C-index, 0.64).

The multivariable analysis included BMI, CRP, LDH, interval between initial diagnosis and RLT, hemoglobin, baseline PSA value,

and PMI (C-index, 0.80). CRP (HR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.03–1.14; $P < 0.001$), LDH (per 50 U/L; HR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.03–1.14; $P < 0.001$), interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (per year; HR, 0.95; 95% CI, 0.91–0.99; $P = 0.02$), and BMI (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.86–0.97; $P = 0.006$) remained significant predictors of OS (Table 3).

Relative to 8 mo in patients with a normal BMI, preobese men achieved a longer OS of 14 mo in Kaplan–Meier analysis (HR, 0.63; 95% CI, 0.40–0.99; $P = 0.03$). Similar results were recorded for obese subjects (OS, 13 mo; HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.29–0.77; $P = 0.004$ vs. normal BMI; Fig. 2). There was no significant difference in OS between preobese and obese subjects ($P = 0.39$). Patients with sarcopenia at baseline did not have a shorter OS than patients without sarcopenia (11 vs. 12 mo; HR, 1.4; 95% CI, 0.91–2.1; $P = 0.09$; Fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

Investigating fat-related and CT-derived body composition parameters along with other clinical parameters of 171 PC patients

TABLE 2
Univariable Cox Regression Model

Parameter	HR	95% CI	AIC	Harrell C	P
Null model			738.2		
CRP (per mg/dL)	1.16	1.11–1.20	700.0	0.76	0.001
LDH (per 50 U/L)	1.16	1.11–1.20	703.2	0.75	0.001
Hemoglobin (per g/dL)	0.71	0.61–0.81	716.5	0.69	0.001
BMI (per kg/m ²)	0.91	0.86–0.96	728.5	0.64	0.001
Interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (per year)	0.94	0.89–0.98	729.4	0.62	0.003
Weight (per kg)	0.97	0.96–0.99	731.5	0.63	0.005
PMI (cm ² /m ²)	0.85	0.75–0.97	733.8	0.59	0.01
Psoas muscle area (per cm ²)	0.95	0.91–0.99	734.2	0.59	0.02
Total fat area (per 50 cm ²)	0.93	0.88–0.99	735.3	0.57	0.03
Visceral fat area (per 50 cm ²)	0.89	0.79–1.00	736.0	0.55	0.05
Visceral fat index (per cm ² /m ²)	0.99	0.99–1.00	736.1	0.56	0.05
PSA (per 50 ng/mL)	1.01	1.00–1.03	736.6	0.59	0.04
Subcutaneous fat index (per cm ² /m ²)	1.00	0.99–1.00	736.6	0.58	0.07
Subcutaneous fat area (per 50 cm ²)	0.91	0.82–1.01	737.1	0.57	0.09
Sarcopenia present	1.39	0.90–2.12	738.0	0.55	0.14
Visceral fat-to-subcutaneous fat ratio	0.71	0.39–1.22	738.7	0.50	0.24
Age (per year)	0.99	0.96–1.01	739.2	0.54	0.31
Gleason score*	1.12	0.89–1.42		0.53	0.35
Subcutaneous fat-to-muscle ratio	0.99	0.96–1.03	740.1	0.51	0.74

*Because of missing values, another null model was used and comparison was not possible.
AIC = Akaike information criterion.
Lower Akaike information criterion and higher Harrell C values indicate better-fit model (31,32).

scheduled for RLT, we found that a higher BMI of at least 25 kg/m², a lower CRP, a lower LDH, and a longer interval between initial diagnosis and RLT were significant predictors for prolonged OS. The prevalence of sarcopenia or any other CT-derived body composition parameter, however, had no relevant impact on OS in a multivariable analysis.

In the present cohort, the occurrence of sarcopenia (38%) was comparable to that in prior studies for patients scheduled for docetaxel

chemotherapy (19,22). However, this prevalence is higher than reported for people aged 60–70 y in the United States and parts of Europe, with those values ranging from 5% to 13% (33). As a possible explanation, this difference may be caused by an advanced tumor biology as included in the present study, which can result in increased catabolism and malnutrition (34). In addition, RLT patients have usually undergone extensive pretreatment with androgen deprivation therapy, enzalutamide/abiraterone, and chemotherapy with

TABLE 3
Multivariable Cox Regression

Parameter	HR	95% CI	AIC	Harrell C	P
Null model			738.2		
CRP (per mg/dL)	1.09	1.03–1.14	675.8	0.80	<0.0001
LDH (per 50 U/L)	1.08	1.03–1.14			<0.001
BMI (per kg/m ²)	0.91	0.86–0.97			0.006
Interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (per year)	0.95	0.91–0.99			0.02
Hemoglobin (per g/dL)	0.86	0.74–1.00			0.06
PMI (per cm ² /m ²)	0.92	0.80–1.01			0.25
PSA (per 50 ng/mL)	1.00	0.98–1.01			0.97

AIC = Akaike information criterion.




FIGURE 2. Kaplan–Meier curves and log rank comparisons for patients with and without sarcopenia and treated with RLT, revealing no relevant differences in OS for men allocated to either group.

docetaxel, which may also result in loss of skeletal muscle. In our investigated mCRPC patients treated with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T, sarcopenia was not associated with OS, as is in line with the findings on subjects scheduled for other last-line therapies such as docetaxel chemotherapy (19). Of note, Ohtaka et al. reported a shortened OS in sarcopenic patients undergoing taxane-based chemotherapy (22). Thus, given those varying results depending on the treatment regimen, further studies are needed to corroborate our findings of no relevant impact of muscle loss on outcome by investigating other PSMA-targeted therapeutic compounds, such as [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 or rhPSMA-7.3 (10,35).

Investigating a large single-center experience with men treated with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-I&T to date, we found that most of the body composition parameters were tightly linked to OS on univariable analyses, thereby demonstrating their clinical relevance in patients treated with RLT. In this regard, a rather time-consuming assessment of CT-derived body composition parameters yielded comparable results to BMI. The latter parameter, however, is easily obtainable, for example, on a first-time visit when taking the patient’s history before initiation of RLT.

In addition, BMI also achieved the highest C-index of all body composition parameters, further emphasizing the importance of this parameter in clinical routine to identify high-risk individuals

in this regard, we found that patients with a BMI of more than 25 kg/m² showed a significantly longer OS, which corroborates previous results for CRPC patients (17,18), in particular when treated with standard docetaxel chemotherapy (19,21).

As a possible explanation, patients with higher BMIs have greater fat reserves, thereby resisting tumor-associated cachexia for a substantially longer time after starting PSMA RLT. As such, this observation may have further clinical implications, as future studies may include high-calorie diet protocols before initiation of RLT or on repeated cycles, particularly when other therapies such as docetaxel have already been applied. In this regard, the observed impact of body habitus on OS is a variable that could be modified by the referring treating physician, whereas all other clinical variables that remained significant in multivariate analyses (including blood-based values or interval between initial diagnosis and RLT) cannot be modified. Nonetheless, the most appropriate dietary control would then have to be defined, as preclinical studies have reported stronger PC growth on high-fat than high-carbohydrate diets in murine studies (37). In this regard, our study may then also pave the way for future investigations, which should assess whether underweight patients scheduled for RLT may be at higher risk for treatment failure and whether those high-risk individuals may benefit from such modified dietary protocols.




FIGURE 3. Kaplan–Meier curves and log rank comparisons for patients treated with RLT and stratified according to their BMI. Higher BMI was associated with longer median survival, in particular for obese subjects with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m². *Compared with patients with BMI < 25 kg/m².

experiencing treatment failure. On multivariable analysis including other relevant clinical parameters, BMI then again remained a significant predictor of OS along with CRP, LDH, and interval between initial diagnosis and RLT, whereas PMI as a CT body composition parameter failed to reach significance (Table 3). In this regard, PMI may still be prone to observer bias, but BMI as an easily obtainable clinical variable can be recorded in a standardized fashion on a first visit for RLT. Those considerations are further fueled by the fact that the recent Food and Drug Administration approval may increase the number of men anticipated for PSMA-directed RLT in the near future (36), thereby not allowing for laborious CT assessments in a busy theranostic practice. In

Similar to previous studies, other clinical parameters have reached significance on univariable regression analysis, including CRP, LDH, and interval between initial diagnosis and RLT (24,38,39). The assumption that patients with a high visceral fat-to-subcutaneous fat ratio exhibit shorter OS than patients with a low visceral fat-to-subcutaneous fat ratio (20,21) could not be verified in our cohort of patients undergoing RLT. Interestingly, relative to CRP, LDH, or hemoglobin, BMI or CT-derived body composition parameters such as total fat area, visceral fat area, and psoas muscle area predict OS to a lesser extent. This may be partially explained by an observer bias for CT assessment, in particular as blood-based biomarkers are analyzed following highly standardized protocols. Baseline PSA values also failed to reach significance in multivariable

Cox regression. This, however, is in line with previous findings for predicting survival using [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 (40–42) and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T (24,38).

This study has limitations, including its retrospective design and its not individually determined, but fixed activity, regimens. PSMA RLT is usually given 4–6 times (43). As a real-world dataset obtained from routine care, not all patients were able to complete all 4–6 cycles, explaining the drop-out rate of approximately 20% after every cycle. Another partial explanation is that when the first patients were included in this analysis, respective restrictions on the number of cycles were nonexistent, for example, because of the missing results of the Vision Trial (10). Nonetheless, the number of cycles is of importance, as this is also recommended by the respective guidelines (43), and thus, future investigations may include only subjects with no more than 6 cycles. Although previous studies have shown no relevant outcome differences in a matched-pair analysis comparing the 2 most widely used therapeutic radiotracers, [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 (25), future studies should determine the predictive performance of baseline BMI and body composition in other PSMA-targeted agents recently introduced for therapy, including [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-rhPSMA-7.3 (35). Other studies may also incorporate sophisticated nomograms along with fat-related parameters to provide an even more refined selection of candidates who are likely to respond to treatment (44). Last, performance status, such as Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status, should be investigated to determine the predictive value relative to BMI or CT-based body composition (45).

CONCLUSION

In CRPC patients scheduled for RLT, patients with higher BMIs showed longer OS in multivariable analyses, whereas CT-derived body composition parameters reached significance only in univariate analyses. BMI as an easily obtainable parameter was also predictive of survival, along with blood-based parameters (CRP and LDH) and time between diagnosis and initiation of RLT. Although the latter clinical variables cannot be modified by the referring physician, future studies should determine whether a preceding high-calorie diet in individuals with lower fat reserves may also improve outcome.

DISCLOSURE

This work was supported by the IZKF Wuerzburg (grant Z-02/85 to Philipp Hartrampf). Rudolf Werner and Andreas Buck received speaker honoraria from Novartis (Triple A). No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

KEY POINTS

QUESTION: How do body composition parameters (e.g., fat parameters, BMI, or sarcopenia) influence OS in CRPC patients undergoing PSMA RLT?

PERTINENT FINDINGS: In PC patients scheduled for RLT, sarcopenia is present in 38% of cases but is not associated with survival. However, increased fat reserves, as determined by BMI, are associated with a better outcome.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PATIENT CARE: Future studies should investigate whether alteration of BMI before treatment initiation may improve OS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Dr. Dirk Mügge (Adelebsen) for his statistical advice.

REFERENCES

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2021;71:209–249.
2. Parker C, Castro E, Fizazi K, et al. Prostate cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2020;31:1119–1134.
3. Ryan CJ, Smith MR, de Bono JS, et al. Abiraterone in metastatic prostate cancer without previous chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368:138–148.
4. Beer TM, Armstrong AJ, Rathkopf DE, et al. Enzalutamide in metastatic prostate cancer before chemotherapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:424–433.
5. Tannock IF, de Wit R, Berry WR, et al. Docetaxel plus prednisone or mitoxantrone plus prednisone for advanced prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;351:1502–1512.
6. de Bono JS, Oudard S, Ozguroglu M, et al. Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: a randomised open-label trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:1147–1154.
7. Calais J, Gafita A, Eiber M, et al. Prospective phase 2 trial of PSMA-targeted molecular Radiotherapy with [¹⁷⁷Lu]-PSMA-617 for metastatic castration-resistant Prostate Cancer (RESIST-PC): efficacy results of the UCLA cohort. *J Nucl Med*. 2021;62:1440–1446.
8. Hofman MS, Violet J, Hicks RJ, et al. [¹⁷⁷Lu]-PSMA-617 radionuclide treatment in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (LuPSMA trial): a single-centre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018;19:825–833.
9. Hofman MS, Emmett L, Sandhu S, et al. [¹⁷⁷Lu]-PSMA-617 versus cabazitaxel in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (TheraP): a randomised, open-label, phase 2 trial. *Lancet*. 2021;397:797–804.
10. Sartor O, de Bono J, Chi KN, et al. Lutetium-177-PSMA-617 for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385:1091–1103.
11. FDA Approves Pluvicto/Locametz for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med*. 2022;63(5):13N.
12. Smith MR, Saad F, Egerdie B, et al. Sarcopenia during androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30:3271–3276.
13. Smith MR. Changes in fat and lean body mass during androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *Urology*. 2004;63:742–745.
14. Hamilton EJ, Gianatti E, Strauss BJ, et al. Increase in visceral and subcutaneous abdominal fat in men with prostate cancer treated with androgen deprivation therapy. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2011;74:377–383.
15. Saylor PJ, Smith MR. Metabolic complications of androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Urol*. 2013;189(suppl):S34–S42.
16. Antoun S, Bayar A, Ileana E, et al. High subcutaneous adipose tissue predicts the prognosis in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer patients in post chemotherapy setting. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015;51:2570–2577.
17. Halabi S, Ou SS, Vogelzang NJ, Small EJ. Inverse correlation between body mass index and clinical outcomes in men with advanced castration-recurrent prostate cancer. *Cancer*. 2007;110:1478–1484.
18. Vidal AC, Howard LE, de Hoedt A, et al. Obese patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer may be at a lower risk of all-cause mortality: results from the shared equal access regional cancer hospital (SEARCH) database. *BJU Int*. 2018;122:76–82.
19. Cushen SJ, Power DG, Murphy KP, et al. Impact of body composition parameters on clinical outcomes in patients with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer treated with docetaxel. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2016;13:e39–e45.
20. Stangl-Kremser J, Suarez-Ibarrola R, Andrea D, et al. Assessment of body composition in the advanced stage of castration-resistant prostate cancer: special focus on sarcopenia. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis*. 2020;23:309–315.
21. Wu W, Liu X, Chaftari P, et al. Association of body composition with outcome of docetaxel chemotherapy in metastatic prostate cancer: a retrospective review. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0122047.
22. Ohtaka A, Aoki H, Nagata M, et al. Sarcopenia is a poor prognostic factor of castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with docetaxel therapy. *Prostate Int*. 2019;7:9–14.
23. Sheikhabahei S, Reyes DK, Rowe SP, Pienta KJ. CT-based assessment of body composition following neoadjuvant chemohormonal therapy in patients with castration-naïve oligometastatic prostate cancer. *Prostate*. 2021;81:127–134.
24. Hartrampf PE, Seitz AK, Weinzierl FX, et al. Baseline clinical characteristics predict overall survival in patients undergoing radioligand therapy with [¹⁷⁷Lu]-PSMA I&T during long-term follow-up. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2022;49:4262–4270.

25. Hartrampf PE, Weinzierl FX, Buck AK, et al. Matched-pair analysis of [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T and [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA-617 in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2022;49:3269–3276.
26. Hartrampf PE, Bundschuh RA, Weinzierl FX, et al. mCRPC patients with PSA fluctuations under radioligand therapy have comparable survival benefits relative to patients with sustained PSA decrease. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2022;49:4727–4735.
27. Hartrampf PE, Weinzierl FX, Seitz AK, et al. Any decline in prostate-specific antigen levels identifies survivors scheduled for prostate-specific membrane antigen-directed radioligand therapy. *Prostate*. 2022;82:1406–1412.
28. Hartrampf PE, Weinzierl FX, Serfling SE, et al. Hematotoxicity and nephrotoxicity in prostate cancer patients undergoing radioligand therapy with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu-PSMA I&T. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2022;14:647.
29. Hartrampf PE, Heinrich M, Seitz AK, et al. Metabolic tumour volume from PSMA PET/CT scans of prostate cancer patients during chemotherapy: do different software solutions deliver comparable results? *J Clin Med*. 2020;9:1390.
30. Yumuk V, Tsigos C, Fried M, et al. European guidelines for obesity management in adults. *Obes Facts*. 2015;8:402–424.
31. Cavanaugh JE, Neath AA. The Akaike information criterion: background, derivation, properties, application, interpretation, and refinements. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat*. 2019;11:e1460.
32. Longato E, Vettoretti M, Di Camillo B. A practical perspective on the concordance index for the evaluation and selection of prognostic time-to-event models. *J Biomed Inform*. 2020;108:103496.
33. Waters DL, Baumgartner RN, Garry PJ, Vellas B. Advantages of dietary, exercise-related, and therapeutic interventions to prevent and treat sarcopenia in adult patients: an update. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2010;5:259–270.
34. Cavka L, Pohar Perme M, Zakotnik B, Rotovnik Kozjek N, Seruga B. Nutritional status and health-related quality of life in men with advanced castrate-resistant prostate cancer. *Nutr Cancer*. 2022;74:472–481.
35. Feuerecker B, Chantadisai M, Allmann A, et al. Pretherapeutic comparative dosimetry of ¹⁷⁷Lu-rhPSMA-7.3 and ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA I&T in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med*. 2022;63:833–839.
36. Jadvar H. The VISION forward: recognition and implication of PSMA-¹⁸F-FDG+mCRPC. *J Nucl Med*. 2022;63:812–815.
37. Huang M, Narita S, Numakura K, et al. A high-fat diet enhances proliferation of prostate cancer cells and activates MCP-1/CCR2 signaling. *Prostate*. 2012;72:1779–1788.
38. Heck MM, Tauber R, Schwaiger S, et al. Treatment outcome, toxicity, and predictive factors for radioligand therapy with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-I&T in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2019;75:920–926.
39. Karimzadeh A, Heck M, Tauber R, et al. ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-I&T for treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: prognostic value of scintigraphic and clinical biomarkers. *J Nucl Med*. 2023;64:402–409.
40. Rathke H, Holland-Letz T, Mier W, et al. Response prediction of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 radioligand therapy using prostate-specific antigen, chromogranin A, and lactate dehydrogenase. *J Nucl Med*. 2020;61:689–695.
41. Rahbar K, Boegemann M, Yordanova A, et al. PSMA targeted radioligandtherapy in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer after chemotherapy, abiraterone and/or enzalutamide. A retrospective analysis of overall survival. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2018;45:12–19.
42. Grubmüller B, Senn D, Kramer G, et al. Response assessment using ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA ligand PET in patients undergoing ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA radioligand therapy for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2019;46:1063–1072.
43. Kratochwil C, Fendler WP, Eiber M, et al. EANM procedure guidelines for radionuclide therapy with ¹⁷⁷Lu-labelled PSMA-ligands (¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-RLT). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2019;46:2536–2544.
44. Gafita A, Calais J, Grogan TR, et al. Nomograms to predict outcomes after ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA therapy in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: an international, multicentre, retrospective study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2021;22:1115–1125.
45. Chen WJ, Kong DM, Li L. Prognostic value of ECOG performance status and Gleason score in the survival of castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review. *Asian J Androl*. 2021;23:163–169.