MIRD Pamphlet No. 28, Part 1: MIRDcalc—A Software Tool for Medical Internal Radiation Dosimetry
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Medical internal radiation dosimetry constitutes a fundamental aspect of diagnosis, treatment, optimization, and safety in nuclear medicine. The MIRD committee of the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Medical Imaging developed a new computational tool to support organ-level and suborgan tissue dosimetry (MIRDcalc, version 1). Based on a standard Excel spreadsheet platform, MIRDcalc provides enhanced capabilities to facilitate radiopharmaceutical internal dosimetry. This new computational tool implements the well-established MIRD schema for internal dosimetry. The spreadsheet incorporates a significantly enhanced database comprising details for 333 radionuclides, 12 phantom reference models (International Commission on Radiological Protection), 81 source regions, and 48 target regions, along with the ability to interpolate between models for patient-specific dosimetry. The software also includes sphere models of various composition for tumor dosimetry. MIRDcalc offers several noteworthy features for organ-level dosimetry, including modeling of blood source regions and dynamic source regions defined by user input, integration of tumor tissues, error propagation, quality control checks, batch processing, and report-generation capabilities. MIRDcalc implements an immediate, easy-to-use single-screen interface. The MIRDcalc software is available for free download (www.mirdsoft.org) and has been approved by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.
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Methods for radiopharmaceutical dosimetry, that is, estimation of absorbed radiation dose, have evolved gradually since the 1950s. Accurate dose assessment requires an accounting of both the spatial and temporal pharmacokinetics of an administered radiopharmaceutical. This characterization defines the biodistribution of a radiopharmaceutical which is used to estimate absorbed dose to the patient. Measurement-based data may be obtained from clinical quantitative imaging (1). The MIRD schema and supporting software represent the standard method for calculating absorbed radiation doses resulting from given biodistributions of administered radiopharmaceuticals (2).

Medical internal radionuclide dosimetry comes with unique challenges; clinicians rely on supporting software and user expertise to make dose calculations timely and practical. Existing software tools vary significantly in design and function and are typically based on different models and assumptions. These tools vary in complexity, ranging from simple lookup tables (3) to computationally intensive Monte Carlo–based radiation transport models (4).

Dosimetry can be performed at different scales, including at the whole-body, organ, suborgan, voxel, and cellular levels. Organ-level dosimetry may stand out in one respect because it provides a balance of relatively personalized dosimetry that can be derived with practical methods based on quantitative PET and SPECT imaging. Calculating absorbed dose to specific organs and tissues allows one to contextualize the dosimetry against known, commonly accepted organ-specific dosimetric thresholds for tissue reactions (5).

Organ-level dosimetry software has been used in the field for many years. For example, the OLINDA/EXM software (6) based on MIRDOS (developed in the mid-1980s), demonstrated to the nuclear medicine community the utility of software tools for implementing a standardized dosimetry schema. Other organ-level dosimetry software tools include IDAC-Dose 2.1, which is freely available and is used internally within International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) task group 36 on radiopharmaceuticals (7). A companion article is provided which compares dose calculations using the different available software (8). Other software tools extend dosimetric analysis beyond the organ level, including voxel Monte Carlo, kernel convolution, cellular-level, and microdoseimetric (9–12) applications. Across the variety of tools, no solution is demonstrably superior across all use cases (13). A contemporary summary of dosimetry software options can be found in chapter 6 of MIRD primer 2022 (14).

The dose calculations provided in MIRDcalc software are based on the well-established MIRD schema and other methods needed for calculating absorbed radiation doses (2). Thus, MIRDcalc was inspired by MIRDOS and OLINDA/EXM, with attention to their generalizable suitability and practical application. MIRDcalc uses the organ-level dosimetry paradigm as the starting point from which to innovate, exemplified with new features that include error propagation and dynamic source regions. MIRDcalc itself is not a complete package for absorbed dose calculation workflows. It is a robust tool to support the computational aspect of a dosimetry...
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where

\[ S(r_T \leftarrow r_S) = \sum_i E_i Y_i \Phi(r_T \leftarrow r_S, E_i), \quad \text{Eq. 1} \]

The full energy spectrum for both \( \beta \)-particles and positrons was used in lieu of considering only their mean energies. The \( \beta \)-particle component of the \( S \) value was computed as

\[ S(r_T \leftarrow r_S)_{\beta} = \int P(E) \frac{\Phi(r_T \leftarrow r_S, E)}{dE}, \quad \text{Eq. 2} \]

where \( E \) is the energy of emission, ranging from zero to endpoint energy \( E_o \), and \( P(E) \) and \( \Phi(r_T \leftarrow r_S, E) \) are, respectively, the energy-dependent yield and SAF.

All \( S \) values were computed using a Python script with SAF interpolation through particle energies using piecewise cubic Hermite interpolation polynomials. For \( \alpha \)-recoils, the SAF values were interpolated at a 2-MeV \( \alpha \)-particle, an approach previously adopted by the ICRP (19). \( S \) values for members of \( \alpha \)-emitter decay chains were computed and reported independently for the parent radionuclide and all individual progeny.

For the ICRP reference adult phantoms within MIRDcalc, ICRP publication 133 (19) was used as the source of all SAF values in the computation of \( S \) values. These 2 phantoms—reference adult male and reference adult female—are fully described in ICRP publication 110 (20). For the pediatric reference phantoms, as described in ICRP publication 143 (21), SAF values for photons and electrons were taken primarily from the work presented by Schwarz et al. (22,23) with subsequent adjustments for source region blood content when \( r_T = r_S \). SAF values that were not fully covered in the 2 articles by Schwarz et al., including SAFs for \( \alpha \)-particles, SAFs for localized regions in the respiratory and alimentary tracts, and SAFs for intraskeletal sources and targets, were taken from transport studies conducted by ICRP task group 96, which are fully described in a forthcoming ICRP publication (24).

Platform

The platform of our software is Microsoft Excel, with additional interface features supported with Visual Basic coding, and is compiled as an executable application for Microsoft Windows operating systems. Advances in Excel over the last decade, including the PowerPivot tools, have made this project possible. The PowerPivot tools allow seamless integration of large reference datasets, such as the reference individual-specific \( S \) values, into MIRDcalc. The Excel platform has several advantages over traditional compiled code: the software is familiar, accessible, and easy to install; the platform is highly developed for broad use across industries and supports easy integration of complex computational and visual functions; the tools can be built to fit on an intuitive, user-friendly single-screen interface (Fig. 1); the interface responds instantly to user interaction; the software includes visual graphics that check data integrity, conditional formatting, and selective cell protection; inputs and outputs are inherently formatted for easy access and integration; and the calculations are easy to access for educational purposes and for quality control with transparency. The only part of the code that will not be available to users is the Visual Basic code.

User Manual

MIRDcalc comes with a comprehensive user manual in searchable portable document file format, available within the software and online (https://mirrors.org/mirdcalc). The manual covers all relevant elements of software use, including the topics presented in this article. The manual also provides background theory to
help users understand basic concepts of radiopharmaceutical internal dosimetry.

Classic Use

Analogous to other software, MIRDcalc allows users to select a radionuclide and reference patient model and enter the TIACs that characterize the radiopharmaceutical biodistribution in a subject. Given this input information, an organ-level dosimetry report is generated on-screen and may be copied to the clipboard or exported. An example classic absorbed dose calculation is provided in Supplement A (supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org)(25–30).

Software Validation

MIRDcalc version 1.0 was beta tested and validated by benchmarking against published references (8). The results of MIRDcalc were compared with reference values in ICRP publication 128 (3) using biokinetic data for 71 radiopharmaceuticals. Absorbed dose coefficients estimated with MIRDcalc were systematically compared against dose coefficients derived using other software; the absorbed dose coefficients are the dose quantity per organ or tissue that, when multiplied by administered activity, provide the organ or tissue dose estimates. The dosimetry computed with MIRDcalc agreed closely with results from another dosimetry software implementing the ICRP publication 133 reference adult SAFs, IDAC-Dose 2 (19), and showed partial agreement with dose coefficients derived using stylized or hybrid phantoms with reference masses derived from ICRP publication 89 (31) in OLINDA/EXM version 2.0 (32).

A comparison of different organ-level dosimetry software calculations, derived using a standard 18F-FDG biodistribution published in ICRP publication 128, calculated for an adult male anthropomorphic model, is presented in Fig 2. The figure illustrates general concordance between organ-absorbed doses and the effective dose calculations across different software platforms. It also demonstrates a certain degree of variability in dose calculations in reported relevant organs, largely within 20%. Systematic differences are also seen in the bladder wall dose, and an analysis of this issue is presented in the Design Considerations section below.

Innovative Features

Single-Screen Interface. MIRDcalc provides full absorbed dose calculation tools on a single-screen interface, facilitating usability, inspection, and interpretation of results (Fig. 1).

Free Distribution. MIRDcalc is freely downloadable from the MIRDsoft.org website, together with other dosimetry-related tools developed within the MIRDsoft initiative.

Quality Control Graphics: Real-Time Dose Calculations. MIRDcalc provides useful quality control and safety check metrics...
and graphics to protect against user error. These include checks on activity accounted for and ability to account for activity excreted and eliminated. MIRDcalc also displays a unique isotope/input organ identification code.

**Fully Accessible Calculations (Open Source).** Dose calculations are performed within an accessible spreadsheet that permits a user to review all math operations. Users may edit and change values in designated input cells, whereas the other portions of the interface are locked to prevent code corruption.

**Comprehensive Case Documentation.** Subject dose calculations may be saved in a comma-separated-value file format to document output results, as well as input parameters and phantom S values.

**Uncertainty Propagation.** MIRDcalc propagates estimated uncertainty values of radiopharmaceutical biodistribution parameters and organ masses to calculate an associated uncertainty for calculated absorbed doses. Users may optionally enter custom uncertainties for TIACs or organ masses. Users may also select a global S-value uncertainty (coefficient of variation), which is propagated to all calculations that are derived from the S values; this feature may ideally be used to insert a global uncertainty that provides a general accounting of the expected mismatch between anthropomorphic reference models and any given patient geometry. The uncertainties entered are propagated through all TIAC-to-dose calculations using the Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (33) generalized schema for propagating uncertainties. To propagate the error of parameters when these are added or subtracted \( f(A, B) = f(A) \pm f(B) \) or multiplied or divided \( f(A, B) = \frac{f(A) \cdot f(B)}{f(B)} \) in the dosimetry calculations, Equations 3 and 4 are used (assuming only 2 variables are combined in the operation), respectively.

\[
\sigma_f = \sqrt{a^2 \sigma_A^2 + b^2 \sigma_B^2 + 2ab \sigma_{AB}},
\]  
Eq. 3

\[
\sigma_f = f(A, B) \times \left( \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_A}{A^2} + \left( \frac{\sigma^2_B}{B^2} \right)} + 2 \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{AB} \right),
\]  
Eq. 4

where \( A \) and \( B \) are real variables, with SDs \( \sigma_A \) and \( \sigma_B \), respectively; \( \sigma_{AB} \) is covariance. Both Equations 3 and 4 include a covariance term at the end. In the context of dosimetry, this term is complex and derived from many physical and biologic variables. To provide a generally applicable and implementable tool, MIRDcalc assumes that the covariance of all variables in the dosimetry calculations is zero, with justification from Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement clause F.1.2.1.c (33). Thus, the propagation of error equations implemented in all but one situation in MIRDcalc are shown in Equations 5 and 6.

\[
\sigma_f = \sqrt{a^2 \sigma_A^2 + b^2 \sigma_B^2},
\]  
Eq. 5

\[
\sigma_f = f(A, B) \times \left( \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_A}{A^2} + \left( \frac{\sigma^2_B}{B^2} \right)} \right).
\]  
Eq. 6

Zero covariance, however, is not assumed in uncertainty calculation for effective dose, which includes male and female dose averaging. In this instance, the covariance between male and female doses is set to be 1, as the two are highly correlated. For users interested in performing more complex error propagation calculations, MIRDcalc outputs all data required for in-house calculations in the standard MIRDcalc output files.

Incorporating uncertainty propagation into dosimetry calculations remains a relatively new concept for the field of internal dosimetry (34). The community has yet to reach consensus and develop standards for how to properly use this information. MIRDcalc includes this feature to promote the development of a standard for error integration in the field. However, all uncertainty estimates presented by MIRDcalc are based on the above-mentioned covariance assumptions and are entirely dependent on uncertainty values provided by the user. Reported uncertainty values of the outputs are therefore only as accurate as the user’s data and assumptions.

**Mass Accounting with New Source and Target Regions.** MIRDcalc accounts for the complete subject using published values for reference man and woman (19,20) and pediatric phantoms (21). Two additional source regions were added: heart contents and major blood vessels. A detailed accounting of tissue masses can be found in Supplement B to this article.

Users are also given access to new suborgan combination target regions, which aggregate dose to subregions defined in ICRP publication 133: colon, extrathoracic region, lung, lymphatic nodes, and whole-body target. A description of these regions can be found in the original reference from the ICRP (19) and the MIRDcalc user manual.

**Dynamic Source Regions.** MIRDcalc introduces 3 dynamic source regions: rest of body, rest of blood, and rest of parenchyma. This option allows users to assign TIAC values across entire body tissues. TIACs entered into the “rest-of” regions are distributed among unaccounted-for organs and tissues, weighted by mass. Unaccounted-for source regions are defined as the nonoverlapping source regions for which the user has not explicitly assigned a coefficient. The rest-of-regions are dynamic; as the user assigns TIACs to static source organs, the total mass of the rest-of regions adjusts accordingly.
This strategy is modeled after the additive approach described by the ICRP for handling other tissues as presented in ICRP publication 133, Equation 2.10 (19). MIRDcalc distributes the dynamic TIAC into nonassigned organs rather than updating SAFs.

The explicit makeup of the subregions that comprise the MIRDcalc-defined rest-of regions are presented in the MIRDcalc user manual.

Blood Source Model. Modeling blood separate from soft tissues and bone can be difficult but important since the biokinetics of blood are different from the biokinetics of tissue-bound activity. Although other dosimetry approaches assume parenchyma and blood within each organ as a single region, MIRDcalc offers the option to treat the different biokinetics separately. Coefficients entered for the source regions may be associated with either the combined blood and parenchyma in each source region (accommodating imaging-derived input) or parenchyma only to accommodate pharmacokinetic models that address tissue parenchyma and total-body blood separately. MIRDcalc keeps track of the separate blood and parenchyma masses accounted for in the regions of user-entered TIACs and removes them from the rest-of-body, rest-of-blood, and rest-of-parenchyma input terms as appropriate. These rest-of terms can then be used to distribute radionuclides into unaccounted-for tissues.

Coefficients associated with the blood may be assigned to organ regions (defining blood and parenchyma), blood regions (heart content and major blood vessels), rest-of-body source region (distributes to all unaccounted-for blood and soft and hard tissues), and separate rest-of-blood and rest-of-parenchyma source regions (which separately distribute TIACs to the blood and parenchyma, respectively).

The blood source region models provided in MIRDcalc are depicted in Figure 3. The choice of regions to use depends on the user’s activity measurement methods and assumptions. For example, if a user defines the remainder (or unaccounted-for activity) from imaging, a background measurement derived from a background region or volume of interest measured from images may be used for the rest of body TIAC, accounting for both remainder blood and remainder parenchyma. Alternatively, if blood activity measurements are available from specimens or blood pool imaging, the input values may be entered for separate blood and parenchyma inputs.

Integrated Spheric Tumor Dosimetry. MIRDcalc supports simplistic tumor dosimetry by calculating the self-dose that a sphere receives from uniformly incorporated activity. MIRDcalc allows selection of sphere volume, volume uncertainty, tissue composition (bone/soft-tissue mixture), radionuclide, TIAC, and TIAC uncertainty. These dose calculations are based on S values provided by Olguin et al. (35). MIRDcalc implementation utilizes S-value interpolation or extrapolation of published data points for user-specified volumes. Interpolation and extrapolation are accomplished via log–log interpolation between the 2 nearest sampling points. Propagation of uncertainty in tumor dosimetry is estimated from the inherent uncertainty of the tissue volume and coefficient values, as described in Equation 67 of Gear et al. (34).

MIRDcalc tumor dosimetry is performed independently from organ dosimetry. Only tumor self-dose is provided; cross-organ contributions from all other source regions are not included in the tumor dose calculation.

Command Line and Batch Processing. MIRDcalc supports 2 methods of running absorbed dose calculations: via the user interface or via spool processing. The batch-processing feature allows MIRDcalc-dose calculations to run without an interface or user interaction and supports batch processing of population data.

Organ Mass Interpolation. It is recognized that organ masses from specific subjects may not match those from the standard phantoms, causing an error in organ dose estimation. A first-order approximation for correcting these differences was presented in MIRD pamphlet 11 and is implemented in MIRDcalc (36). Specifically, it was recommended that the impact of differences in nonreference organ sizes can be accounted for through scaling the self-dose by the ratio of the organ masses to a constant power. The value of the power was set to $-2/3$ for photon self-dose scaling and $-1$ for electron and $\alpha$-self-dose scaling. Estimations of cross-dose contributions are unchanged when using user-modified organ masses.

The option to add associated uncertainty to the user-modified mass is also available. This will impact only mass scaling calculations and therefore are relevant only for organs that have had their mass changed.

Whole-Phantom Mass Interpolation. The user may select among multiple phantom models for dose calculations; these include the ICRP phantom series (newborn, 1-y-old, 5-y-old, 10-y-old, 15-y-old, and adult male and female) (20,21). In addition, the user may select a phantom model based on weight. A representation of the MIRDcalc phantom library is shown in Figure 4. Selection of a weight-based phantom will load a linearly interpolated mass phantom model from the 2 closest-by-mass phantoms in the ICRP reference series. Reference region masses are scaled linearly, and S values are interpolated with log–log scaling, relative to the user-selected phantom mass (identified by the phantom name) and the 2 closest ICRP reference individuals.

ICRP Publication 128 Case Library. The ICRP published reference biokinetic data in the form of TIACs for radiopharmaceuticals (ICRP publication 128) (3).
These reference coefficients were incorporated into MIRDcalc case library, formatted for direct import. Processing of these files is performed using the batch-processing capability and is the basis of the comparisons reported in the companion validation article (8).

**Effective Dose, Detriment-Weighted Dose, and Risk Index.** In addition to absorbed doses, MIRDcalc also calculates the detriment-weighted dose and effective dose (19,37). Effective dose is a risk-related weighted construct based on organ doses. It is calculated using radiation-weighting factors and tissue-weighting factors described in ICRP publication 103 (38). Effective dose is specific to the ICRP reference individuals and is averaged over both male and female phantoms.

The detriment-weighted dose is also a risk-related weighted sum of organ-absorbed doses calculated using radiation-weighting factors and tissue-weighting factors described in ICRP publication 103 (38). Detriment-weighted dose is calculated similarly to effective dose but is specific to the phantom model used for dose calculations (single sex, potentially modified organ masses) (37).

MIRDcalc calculates a risk index, a ratio of the estimated added risk of cancer from specific radiation exposure relative to the estimated natural risk of cancer according to a concept known as lifetime attributable risk of cancer (National Cancer Institute’s Radiation Risk Assessment Tool (39)). The baseline natural incidence of cancer in a population with an absence of radiation exposure was derived from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database (40) as defined in the Radiation Risk Assessment Tool. The risk index was recently introduced in the literature (41,42). The intent behind the concept of risk index was to provide an alternative to effective dose for risk assessment. However, the validity and applicability of this quantity is limited by its dependence on the linear no-threshold model, a radiation dose risk model whose applicability at low radiation doses continues to be questioned (43,44). It also ignores the potential benefit that a diagnostic or therapeutic radiopharmaceutical may have.

**DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

The specialty of internal dosimetry is evolving, and the number of software tools has increased. Software packages provide different results and should therefore be compared and scrutinized. For the organ-level dosimetry tools, most discrepancies come from the differences in phantom models; MIRDcalc uses the ICRP publication 133 reference adult series and SAFs based on the ICRP publication 143 pediatric phantoms (Fig. 3) (21) and MIRD decay schemes (28), whereas OLINDA/EXM version 2.0 uses RADAR phantoms (45,46).

In comparing the similar dosimetry software packages, we can note some differences. First, calculated absorbed doses to the urinary bladder were factors of 2–3 times higher in ICRP publication 128 than in MIRDcalc because of advances in the stylized dosimetry model used to compute absorbed fractions of energy deposited in the bladder wall from emissions in the urinary bladder contents (47,48). Next, MIRDcalc spheric tumor β-dosimetry was modeled using the entire β-energy spectrum, rather than the mean β-emission energy. Finally, the skeletal target (endosteum) was redefined in ICRP publication 110, enlarged to a thickness of 50 μm from 10 μm (49). This change produced significant differences, relative to other organs, for calculated SAFs and S values for charged particles emitted within skeletal tissues (79,50).

The MIRDcalc software and the MIRDsoft.org webspace provide platforms for continued expansion and evolution of community dosimetry software tools. Future improvements will include new utilities for curve fitting, pregnant phantom/fetus dosimetry, and suborgan dosimetry. We can also expect to see an analogous CT dosimetry software, MIRDct, to be released shortly. These additions will complement the already-released MIRDcell software, which performs cellular and multicellular dosimetry and bioeffect modeling (11).

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

MIRDcalc represents a new software solution for medical internal radiation dosimetry. The software was developed by the MIRD committee of the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging to benefit an international user community. MIRDcalc implements the basic MIRD schema, equations, and nuclear databases with molecular imaging data for applications to patient-specific dosimetry and radiopharmaceutical therapy planning.
As clinical nuclear medicine evolves with the ability to acquire more detailed quantitative molecular imaging data on radiopharmaceutical uptake, distribution, and biokinetics, the need has intensified for more powerful and more accurate computational tools for calculating radiation dose to organs, suborgan regions, tissues, and tumors. Integration of advanced quantitative imaging data with more flexible and detailed anatomic models, such as those recently developed by the ICRP, necessitated development of software that could make best use of these models. New radiopharmaceutical applications also suggested the need for an expanded and updated nuclear emissions database. Although greater complexity may provide additional key features and capabilities, the need remained to provide the user community with a software platform that was readily available, simple to execute, and affordable.

The design of a next-generation computing package necessarily focused first on addressing the technical gaps, weaknesses in, deficiencies with, and elements missing from all other available software platforms. In response to calls for improvements in personalization, standardization, and contextualization of dosimetry calculations, MIRDcalc increases user ability to incorporate custom organ sizes obtained from medical imaging, to interpolate between standard models, and to customize biokinetic modeling to more accurately calculate a full suite of organ and tissue doses. Accordingly, the MIRDcalc developers collaborated closely with the leadership of ICRP Task Group 96, a group which has been responsible for producing anatomically realistic reference voxel phantoms, dosimetric models, and specific absorbed fractions for use in internal dosimetry. Going forward, MIRDcalc will be revised and updated as scientific progress in nuclear medicine physics dose modeling continues.

DISCLOSURE

The MIRDcalc software aids a user in performing dose calculations for a variety of diagnostic and therapeutic isotopes. MIRDcalc is intended for educational and research use only. MIRDcalc has not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and is not intended for clinical use or use as a medical device. MIRDcalc and any results generated from its use are not substitutes for medical diagnosis, advice, or treatment of specific medical conditions. A physician should always be consulted for any health problem or medical condition. This research was funded in part through the MIRDcalc S values. We thank Dr. Pat Zanzonico from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center for providing guidance and support to the MIRDcalc development effort. We thank Dr. Keith Eckerman from Oak Ridge National Laboratory for help in validating the MIRDcalc S values. We thank Dr. Jazmin Schwartz from Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center for providing statistics support.
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