Rapid Brain Nicotine Uptake from Electronic Cigarettes

Kiran Kumar Solingapuram Sai1, Yantao Zuo2*, Jed E. Rose2, Pradeep K. Garg4, Sudha Garg4, Rachid Nazih1, Akiva Mintz1†, and Alexey G. Mukhin1‡

1Department of Radiological Sciences, Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; and 2Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina

This study sought to determine brain nicotine kinetics from use of the increasingly popular electronic cigarette (E-cig). Methods: In 17 E-cig users (9 men and 8 women), brain uptake of nicotine after inhalation from E-cigs was directly assessed using 11C-nicotine PET. The brain nicotine kinetics were compared with those from smoking combustible cigarettes (C-cigs). Results: A single puff of E-cig vapor caused the nicotine concentration in the brain to rise quickly (mean time to reach 50% of maximum brain nicotine concentration, 27 s), with a peak amplitude 25% higher in women than men, resembling previous observations with C-cigs. Nonetheless, the accumulation from E-cigs (24%) was less than that from C-cigs (32%) in both men and women. Conclusion: E-cigs can deliver nicotine to the brain with a rapidity similar to that of C-cigs. Therefore, to the extent that rapid brain uptake promotes smoking reward, E-cigs might maintain a degree of nicotine dependence and also serve as a noncombustible substitute for cigarettes.

Key Words: nicotine; electronic cigarettes; e-cigarettes; ENDS; smoking; vaping

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.119.230748

Recently, there has been enormous growth in the popularity of electronic cigarettes (E-cigs) (1,2). Although E-cigs are likely less harmful than combustible cigarettes (C-cigs), a concern is that use of these products can lead to the development and maintenance of nicotine dependence. As with other abused drugs, the rate and magnitude of nicotine accumulation in the brain may contribute strongly to its acute reinforcing effects (3–9). The proposed continuum of nicotine-containing products’ abuse liability (10) is quite close to the rapidity of nicotine delivery to the brain (highest for cigarettes and lowest for nicotine patches). In several recent studies (11–13) performed on experienced E-cig users, increases in nicotine concentration in the venous blood after E-cig use were comparable to those after C-cig smoking. Venous concentrations, however, do not accurately reflect brain levels; the capability of E-cigs to produce fast nicotine delivery to the brain, as previously observed with C-cigs (14,15), has not been studied.

Here, we report the results of the first—to our knowledge—direct assessment of brain uptake of nicotine from E-cig use. Sex differences were also examined, in view of the previously observed sex differences in nicotine accumulation in the brain with C-cigs (16).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PET scanning after inhalation of E-cig vapor was conducted on 17 E-cig users, 3 of whom were also scanned after they inhaled C-cig smoke at a separate session. For comparison, PET data from 19 C-cig smokers who completed a previously reported study (16) were also included for the current analysis. Participants in each group were recruited from the Winston-Salem, NC, area. The inclusion criteria were an age of 18–65 y, good general health, and use of E-cigs at least 4 times per month or C-cigs at least 8 times per day. The exclusion criteria included respiratory or cardiovascular diseases, psychiatric disorders, alcohol abuse, illicit drug use, and contraindications to PET scanning (e.g., pregnancy). The E-cig group included 8 current smokers, 8 former smokers, and 1 person who had never smoked. The E-cig and C-cig groups were comparable in sex composition (male/female, 9/8 vs. 9/10, respectively), racial composition (Caucasian/African-American/others, 70.6%/17.6%/5.9% vs. 73.7%/26.3%/0, respectively) (x2 tests, not statistically significant), mean age ± SD (43 ± 13 vs. 44 ± 10 y, respectively), mean body weight ± SD (87 ± 16 vs. 82 ± 19 kg, respectively), and mean years of smoking ± SD (21 ± 14 vs. 24 ± 12, respectively) (t tests, not statistically significant). The institutional review boards of the Duke University Health System and of Wake Forest University Health Sciences approved this study, and all subjects gave written informed consent.

After inhaling a single puff of vapor or smoke containing 11C-nicotine, each participant underwent PET scanning of the head. A standardized puff of vapor was produced from 15 μL of V2 Red e-liquid (1.2% nicotine, 20/80 vegetable glycerin/propanediol; Mig Vapor LLC) mixed with 13C-nicotine via a V2 EX Blanks refillable cartomizer (Mig Vapor LLC) coupled with a programmable air syringe pump. The smoke was generated from a shortened Basic Gold 100s hard-pack cigarette (Philip Morris USA) through a customized smoke delivery device after 11C-nicotine was applied (16,17). The subject’s head was scanned for just over 12 min in a sequence of 245 frames of 1–4 s each. Afterward, a full-body scan was conducted to measure the total absorbed dose of 11C-nicotine, which was used to normalize the 13C-nicotine uptake values between subjects and between conditions. 13C-nicotine was synthesized following an established protocol (18). The PET scans were conducted using a Discovery MI PR EC T/CT scanner (GE Healthcare). PET images were processed using PMOD.

Whole-brain 13C-nicotine radioactivity over time was calculated as a percentage of total absorbed dose per kilogram of brain tissue. After the individual brain time–activity curves were subjected to 3-exponential curve fitting (11), kinetic parameters were calculated (i.e., maximum brain nicotine concentration [Cmax], area under the brain time–activity curve [AUC], and time to reach 50% of Cmax [T1/2]) with ANOVA with 2
between-subject factors (E-cig vs. C-cig; sex) was conducted on each of the 3 parameters. Additional analyses of covariance with years of smoking or body weight, each entered as a covariate, were also performed, given the possible effects of either variable on nicotine kinetics. The threshold for statistical significance was set at a $P$ value of less than 0.05 (2-tailed). Group mean values ($\pm$SEM) are reported unless otherwise specified.

RESULTS

The average $^{11}$C-nicotine activity curves for the brain are shown in Figures 1A and 1B. Both product group and sex were significant factors affecting $C_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 1C) and AUC (Fig. 1D). Mean $C_{\text{max}}$ was 30.4% lower in the E-cig group than in the C-cig group (24.2% in men and 32.3% in women), and mean AUC was 28.9% lower (24.7% in men and 30.2% in women).

After E-cig vapor inhalation, $C_{\text{max}}$ was 24.6% greater in women than in men, and AUC was 25.3% greater in women than in men—findings that are similar to our observation with C-cigs (32.7% and 31.6% greater, respectively). Mean $T_{1/2}$ did not significantly differ between the 2 products. A trend for a shorter $T_{1/2}$ in women than in men was observed (22.5 ± 5.0 s vs. 30.8 ± 5.4 s for E-cig; 19.1 ± 2.2 s vs. 27.8 ± 4.8 s for C-cig; $P = 0.065$). No product × sex interaction was found for any of the 3 kinetic parameters.

The differences in brain nicotine kinetics between these 2 products and between sexes remained statistically robust in additional analyses of covariance with either body weight or years of smoking entered as a covariate.

A comparison of the whole-body distribution of the radioactivity after inhalation from E-cigs or C-cigs revealed higher oropharyngeal or tracheobronchial deposition of nicotine after E-cig use. To illustrate this observation, we performed 2 whole-body PET scans on 3 participants, each inhaling E-cig vapor and C-cig smoke in separate sessions. A representative result is shown in Figure 2.

DISCUSSION

This study produced 3 new and important findings: first, that E-cigs can deliver nicotine to the brain with a rapidity similar to that of C-cigs; second, that the magnitude of brain nicotine accumulation from E-cigs in both men and women was about 30% less than that from C-cigs; and third, that the magnitude of brain nicotine accumulation after E-cig use in women is about 24% higher than that in men, resembling the sex difference previously reported for C-cigs.

After inhalation of a single puff of E-cig vapor, the nicotine concentration in the brain rose quickly, similar to that after a puff from C-cigs (mean $T_{1/2}$, 27 ± 4 s and 23 ± 3 s, respectively). This temporal profile suggests that the primary route of nicotine delivery to the blood after E-cig use is alveolar absorption, leading to the rapid rise of nicotine concentration in arterial blood. Nonetheless, $C_{\text{max}}$ and AUC for E-cigs were two thirds those for C-cigs. Since nicotine uptake by the brain is dependent on regional cerebral blood flow (19–21), these differences could be explained by a slower brain blood flow after use of E-cigs or a lower nicotine concentration in the arterial blood. Both of these possible explanations remain to be verified in future studies. However, our preliminary results suggest that the lower brain nicotine accumulation after E-cig use than after C-cig use may be due in part to a lower arterial-blood nicotine concentration, which would be due to greater nicotine retention in the upper respiratory tract from vapor than from smoke. The effect of such retention is that less nicotine
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