One-Step ¹⁸F-Labeling and Preclinical Evaluation of Prostate-Specific Membrane Antigen Trifluoroborate Probes for Cancer Imaging

Hsiou-Ting Kuo^{*1,2}, Mathieu L. Lepage^{*3}, Kuo-Shyan Lin^{1,2}, Jinhe Pan¹, Zhengxing Zhang¹, Zhibo Liu³, Alla Pryyma³, Chengcheng Zhang¹, Helen Merkens^{1,2}, Aron Roxin^{1,3}, David M. Perrin³, and François Bénard^{1,2}

¹BC Cancer, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ²Department of Radiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; and ³Chemistry Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

After the identification of the high-affinity glutamate-ureido scaffold, the design of several potent ¹⁸F- and ⁶⁸Ga-labeled tracers has allowed spectacular progress in imaging recurrent prostate cancer by targeting the prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA). We evaluated a series of PSMA-targeting probes that are ¹⁸F-labeled in a single step for PET imaging of prostate cancer. Methods: We prepared 8 trifluoroborate constructs for prostate cancer imaging, to study the influence of the linker and the trifluoroborate prosthetic on pharmacokinetics and image quality. After 1-step labeling by ¹⁹F-¹⁸F isotopic exchange, the radiotracers were injected in mice bearing LNCaP xenografts, with or without blocking controls, to assess specific uptake. PET/CT images and biodistribution data were acquired at 1 h after injection and compared with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL on the same mouse strain and tumor model. Results: All tracers exhibited nanomolar affinities, were labeled in good radiochemical yields at high molar activities, and exhibited high tumor uptake in LNCaP xenografts with clearance from nontarget organs. Most derivatives with a naphthylalanine linker showed significant gastrointestinal excretion. A radiotracer incorporating this linker with a dual trifluoroborate-glutamate labeling moiety showed high tumor uptake, low background activity, and no liver or gastrointestinal track accumulation. Conclusion: PSMA-targeting probes with trifluoroborate prosthetic groups represent promising candidates for prostate cancer imaging because of facile labeling while affording high tumor uptake values and contrast ratios that are similar to those obtained with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL.

*Contributed equally to this work. Published online Feb. 8, 2019. **Key Words:** PSMA; ¹⁸F-trifluoroborate; ¹⁸F labeling; positron emission tomography; prostate cancer

J Nucl Med 2019; 60:1160–1166 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.118.216598

he prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA), a transmembrane metalloenzyme (1), is highly overexpressed in prostate cancer and tumor-associated neovasculature (2). PSMA-targeting constructs have been designed and evaluated as imaging agents for visualizing prostate cancer, most notably by PET (3-6). The diamino acid glutamate-ureido is commonly used for PSMA targeting because of synthetic ease, rapid pharmacokinetics, and high contrast ratios (7). ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 is currently the most commonly used radioligand for prostate cancer imaging (8,9). The short half-life of ⁶⁸Ga (68 min) generally restricts distribution to clinics that are close to a ⁶⁸Ge-⁶⁸Ga generator, which itself limits daily production to 2-4 clinical doses unless direct production using a more complex solid-target apparatus is implemented (10). In contrast, ¹⁸F has several advantages, including a longer half-life (109.8 min); higher spatial resolution than ⁶⁸Ga due to its short positron range; and on-demand, scalable production of ¹⁸F-fluoride ions up to a few hundred gigabecquerels (11).

To this effect, ¹⁸F-labeled PSMA-targeting radiotracers such as ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (*12*) and ¹⁸F-PSMA-1007 (*13*) have been introduced in clinical studies. We sought to explore a new ¹⁹F–¹⁸F isotope exchange reaction on organotrifluoroborate (RBF₃⁻) groups to develop PSMA-targeting radiotracers. With this approach, a precursor is converted into a radiotracer of identical chemical composition. Isotope exchange labeling of RBF₃⁻ groups provides good activity yields (15%–60%) and high molar activity values (\geq 75 GBq/µmol) (*14*,*15*). This method has been successfully applied to several ¹⁸F-RBF₃⁻–based radiotracers (*15–23*).

We report the synthesis, radiolabeling, and PET imaging of radiotracers based on the glutamate-ureido-lysine scaffold bearing RBF_3^- radioprosthetic groups (compounds **1–8**, Fig. 1). We measured their binding affinity toward PSMA and LogD_{7.4} values and then acquired PET images and ex vivo biodistribution data in mice bearing PSMA-expressing LNCaP prostate cancer xenografts. These results were compared with those of ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, a clinically emergent ¹⁸F-labeled tracer for prostate cancer imaging.

Received Jun. 26, 2018; revision accepted Jan. 13, 2019.

For correspondence or reprints contact the following: Francois Benard, BC Cancer Research Centre, 675 West 10th Ave., Vancouver, BC, V5Z 1L3, Canada.

E-mail: fbenard@bccrc.ca

David Perrin, Chemistry Department, 2036 Main Mall, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z1, Canada.

E-mail: dperrin@chem.ubc.ca

Kuo-Shyan Lin, BC Cancer Research Centre, 675 West 10th Ave., Vancouver, BC, V5Z 1L3, Canada.

E-mail: klin@bccrc.ca

Immediate Open Access: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY) allows users to share and adapt with attribution, excluding materials credited to previous publications. License: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. Details: http://jnm.snmjournals.org/site/misc/permission.xhtml.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.



FIGURE 1. Trifluoroborate probes resembling scaffolds of DCFPhL, DCFPyL, and PSMA-617. In red: AMBF₃ prosthetic; in blue: pyrBF₃ prosthetic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis of Trifluoroborate Probes and Radiosynthesis

¹⁸F-DCFPyL was prepared following literature procedures (24). Precursors for tracers 1-8 were synthesized as described in the supplemental data section (available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org) to give azide-bearing precursors (6,18,21,24-28), which were conjugated to previously reported alkyne-bearing RBF_3^{-} (19). After conjugation, the final trifluoroborate conjugate was purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and purity was confirmed by electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry. Representative crude and quality control HPLC traces are provided in the supplemental data section. ¹⁸F-1-8 were labeled via previously reported procedures (15,29). Briefly, 30-40 GBq of nocarrier-added ¹⁸F-fluoride were trapped on a QMA light cartridge and eluted with 0.9% saline or phosphate-buffered saline (typically 100 µL) directly into a septum-sealed falcon tube containing 80-100 nmol of precursors 1-8 dissolved in 50:50 dimethylformamide:water containing 1 M pyridazinium-HCl buffer (pH 2.5). The reaction was heated to 80°C, and a vacuum was applied to reduce the reaction volume. After 15-20 min, the reaction was quenched by addition of 2 mL of 40 mM ammonium formate or phosphate-buffered saline, and the contents were purified by semipreparative HPLC. Radiochemical purity was confirmed by HPLC analysis using an analytic RP-C18 column with gradients of acetonitrile and water (both containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid). Measurements of molar activity values were based on standard curve analysis.

Cell Culture

The LNCaP cell line was obtained from ATCC (LNCaP clone FGC, CRL-1740). The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/mL), and streptomycin (100 μ g/mL) at 37°C in a MCO-19AIC (Panasonic Healthcare) humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂. Cells grown to 80%–90% confluence were then washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (1 × phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4) and trypsinized. The collected cell number was counted with a Bal Supply 202C laboratory counter.

In Vitro Competition Binding Assay

Inhibition constants (K_i) of **1–8** and DCFPyL to PSMA were measured by in vitro competition binding assays using ¹⁸F-DCFPyL as the radioligand. LNCaP cells were plated onto a 24-well poly-D-lysine coated plate for 48 h (400,000/well). Growth medium was removed and replaced with 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffered saline (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.9% sodium chloride) After 1 h, ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (0.1 nM) was added to each well (in triplicate) containing varied concentrations (0.5 mM–0.05 nM) of tested compounds (DCFPyL, **1–8**). Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μ M unlabeled DCFPyL. The assay mixtures were incubated for 1 h at 37°C with gentle agitation followed by 2 washes with cold HEPES buffered saline. A trypsin solution (0.25%, 400 μ L) was then added to each well to harvest the cells. TABLE 1

Activity Yield, Molar Activity, Partition Coefficient, and Binding Affinity of ¹⁸F-Labeled PSMA Radiotracers

Tracer	Activity yield* (%, isolated)	Molar activity [†] (GBq/µmol)	$LogD_{7.4}$ (n = 3)	K_i (nM) ($n = 3$)
¹⁸ F-DCFPyL	12 ± 3 (n = 7)	118 ± 37 (n = 7)	-3.12 ± 0.22	2.0 ± 0.8
¹⁸ F- 1	$7 \pm 4 \ (n = 4)$	$70 \pm 19 (n = 4)$	-3.43 ± 0.35	14.4 ± 2.7
¹⁸ F- 2	$4 \pm 2 (n = 3)$	$89 \pm 26 \ (n = 3)$	-4.26 ± 0.04	11.8 ± 0.9
¹⁸ F- 3	$5 \pm 1 \ (n = 3)$	$56 \pm 15 (n = 3)$	-4.01 ± 0.14	25.9 ± 5.7
¹⁸ F- 4	$16 \pm 2 (n = 3)$	$148 \pm 89 \ (n = 3)$	-3.34 ± 0.02	27.6 ± 3.8
¹⁸ F- 5	$13 \pm 10 \ (n = 2)$	$137 \pm 22 \ (n = 2)$	-3.52 ± 0.21	1.14 ± 0.26
¹⁸ F -6	$15 \pm 2 (n = 6)$	$278 \pm 73 (n = 6)$	-2.28 ± 0.01	1.90 ± 0.68
¹⁸ F- 7	$10 \pm 5 (n = 3)$	$92 \pm 22 (n = 2)$	-3.24 ± 0.03	16.5 ± 5.5
¹⁸ F- 8	$7 \pm 6 (n = 3)$	211 ± 48 (n = 3)	-3.58 ± 0.36	0.22 ± 0.01
	$r \pm 0 (n - 0)$	$211 \pm 40 (1 - 0)$	0.00 ± 0.00	0.22 ± 0.01

*Activity yields are reported at end of synthesis (1 h for DCFPyL, 40 min for **1–8**) (with no correction for decay). [†]Molar activities are reported at time of quality control injection, shortly after end of synthesis.

Radioactivity was measured by γ -counting, and K_i values were calculated using the "1 site—fit K_i" built-in model in Prism 7 (GraphPad). The dissociation constant value for ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, used for K_i determination, was 0.49 nM, as previously measured by saturation assays using LNCaP cells (*30*).

Distribution Coefficient (LogD7.4) Measurements

LogD_{7.4} values were measured using the shake flask method. Briefly, an aliquot of ¹⁸F-labeled tracer was added to a vial containing 2.5 mL of *n*-octanol and 2.5 mL of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4). The mixture was vortexed for 2 min and then centrifuged at 3,000g for 10 min. A sample of the *n*-octanol (0.1 mL) and buffer (0.1 mL) layers was counted using a γ -counter. Values of LogD_{7.4} were calculated using the following equation: LogD_{7.4} = log₁₀ [(counts in *n*-octanol phase)/(counts in buffer phase)].

PET/CT Imaging and Biodistribution Studies

Imaging and biodistribution experiments were performed using NODSCID IL2R γ KO male mice. All experiments were conducted according to the guidelines established by the Canadian Council on Animal Care and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of British Columbia. Mice were anesthetized by inhalation with 2% isoflurane in oxygen and implanted subcutaneously with 1 × 10⁷ LNCaP cells behind the left shoulder. The mice were imaged or used in biodistribution studies once the tumor reached 5–8 mm in diameter (5–6 wk).

PET imaging experiments were conducted using an Inveon preclinical PET/CT scanner (Siemens). Compounds ¹⁸F-1,2,3,5,7, and 8 were formulated in 10% ethanol/normal saline, whereas ¹⁸F-4 and 6 were formulated in 10% ethanol/phosphate-buffered saline. Each tumor-bearing mouse was injected with 6-8 MBg of ¹⁸F-**1-8** or ¹⁸F-DCFPyL through the tail vein under sedation (2% isoflurane in oxygen). For blocking controls, the mice were coinjected with DCFPyL (0.5 mg). After injection, the mice were allowed to recover and roam freely in their cage. After 50 min, the mice were sedated by 2% isoflurane inhalation and positioned in the scanner. A CT scan was performed first for localization and attenuation correction. This was followed by a 10-min static PET scan. The mice were kept warm by a heating pad during image acquisition. PET images were reconstructed using the IAW software (Siemens), using 2 iterations of the orderedsubset expectation maximization algorithm followed by 18 iterations of the maximum a posteriori algorithm.

For biodistribution and blocking studies, the mice were injected with 1–3 MBq of radiotracer. At 60 min, the mice were anesthetized

with 2% isoflurane inhalation and euthanized by CO_2 inhalation. Blood was withdrawn by cardiac puncture, and the organs and tissues of interest were collected, weighed, and counted using an automatic γ -counter (PerkinElmer). Uptake values were expressed as the percentage of the injected dose per gram of tissue (%ID/g).

Statistical Analysis

A standard 1-way ANOVA was performed to determine whether statistically significant differences in tumor uptake occurred between radiotracers. Each radiotracer was compared with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL using the Dunnett test (a many-to-one *t* test comparison). This analysis was also performed for kidney and blood activity and for tumor-to-blood and tumor-to-muscle ratios. Reported *P* values were adjusted for multiple comparisons. The analysis was performed using Prism 8 (GraphPad).

RESULTS

Radiolabeling

Starting with 37 GBq of ¹⁸F-fluoride, **1–8** (80–100 nmol) were successfully labeled within 25 min, with activity yields ranging from 4% to 16% (Table 1) at high molar activities (\geq 56 GBq/ µmol). In all cases, the radiochemical purity was at least 99% by analytic HPLC. Compounds bearing the pyridine-trifluoroborate (pyrBF₃) prosthetic (**4**, **6**) were labeled in higher yields and molar activities than conjugates bearing the ammoniomethyl-trifluoroborate (AMBF₃) prosthetic (**3**, **5**). Although HPLC was used to isolate tracers at greater than or equal to 99% radiochemical purity, HPLC purification can be avoided: ¹⁸F-**6** was purified on a Sep-Pak C₁₈ cartridge according to reported procedures (29). In that case, the radiochemical purity was at least 95%. In addition, we deliberately labeled **2** at lower molar activity; starting with 37 GBq of no-carrier-added

 TABLE 2

 Changes in Activity Yield and Molar Activity with Higher

 Quantities of Precursor Material

Tracer	Activity yield (%)	Molar activity (GBq/µmol)	
¹⁸ F- 2 from 100 nmol ($n = 3$)	4 ± 2	89 ± 26	
¹⁸ F- 2 from 1000 nmol (<i>n</i> = 2)	34 ± 9	13.3 ± 0.74	
Change	× 8.5	÷ 6.7	



FIGURE 2. (A) Competitive inhibition curves of DCFPyL and 1–8. (B) Values of LogD_{7.4} for DCFPyL and 1–8 (error bars reflect SD).

 18 F-fluoride and 1 µmol of precursor; 18 F-2 was obtained in 34% activity yield at 13.3 GBq/µmol (Table 2).

Binding Assays

We determined K_i via in vitro competition binding assays using LNCaP cells and ¹⁸F-DCFPyL as the radioligand (Fig. 2A). The K_i value for DCFPyL was 2.0 \pm 0.8 nM, consistent with the value previously reported by Chen et al. (1.1 \pm 0.1 nM) (*31*). Probes **1–4** and **7** had K_i values in the 10–30 nM range, whereas **5** and **6** had up to 10-fold better affinities, comparable to that measured for



FIGURE 3. PET/CT images (maximum-intensity projections) of LNCaP tumor-bearing mice at 1 h after injection, with and without blocking by coinjection of unlabeled DCFPyL. Arrows locate tumors.

DCFPyL. Probe 8 showed excellent binding affinity to PSMA, with a K_i value of 0.22 \pm 0.01 nM (Table 1).

LogD_{7.4}

All compounds but **6** had $LogD_{7,4}$ values similar to ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (Figure 2B and Table 1). Using pyrBF₃ instead of AMBF₃ as the prosthetic group decreased hydrophilicity in **4** and **6** compared with **3** and **5**, respectively. Compound **6** was the most lipophilic compound of the series.

PET/CT Imaging and Biodistribution

Imaging ¹⁸F-DCFPyL confirmed good tumor uptake and fast clearance (*31*). Similarly, ¹⁸F-**1–8** showed significant tumor uptake in LNCaP xenografts, which was blocked by coinjection of unlabeled DCFPyL (Fig. 3), thus confirming the specificity of tumor uptake for PSMA. All images also showed high, specific kidney uptake along with urinary excretion. Bone accumulation was negligible for all radiotracers. The blocking agent caused significantly lower tumor and kidney uptake values for all compounds.

The tracers based on a naphthylalanine-tranexamic acid linker (5 and 6) displayed tumor uptake values of 13.7 \pm 5.2 %ID/g and 11.9 \pm 2.3 %ID/g, respectively. ¹⁸F-1, 2, 3, 4, and 7 had uptake values of $6.0 \pm 1.2, 8.3 \pm 1.3, 4.4 \pm 0.95, 6.3 \pm 0.8, \text{ and } 5.1 \pm 1.1 \% \text{ID/g},$ respectively. Compounds ¹⁸F-1, 3, 4, and 7 had lower tumor uptake than ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (Fig. 4A). Compound 8 had high tumor uptake $(16.7 \pm 2.7 \%$ ID/g). No statistically significant differences were observed between compounds 2, 5, and 6 and ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, whereas compound 8 had higher uptake. Compounds 3 and 7 had lower kidney accumulation (Fig. 4B), whereas compounds 5 and 6 had significantly higher intestinal activity than ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (Fig. 4C). The blocking controls showed that intestinal uptake was not receptor-mediated. The tumor-to-blood and tumor-to-muscle ratios were not statistically different from ¹⁸F-DCFPyL for any compound except compound 7, which had higher ratios (Fig. 5). Compound 8, with 2 AMBF₃glutamate motifs, had no significant accumulation in the liver, no hepatobiliary excretion, and low background activity (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

We designed PSMA-targeting radiotracers that combine the advantages of 1-step aqueous ¹⁸F-labeling afforded by 2 RBF_3^- radioprosthetic groups with certain chemical features found in DCFPyL (or its *C*-analog DCFPhL) (4) and PSMA-617 (32).

Since the 3 carboxylates of Glu-ureido-Lys are needed for binding to PSMA, we introduced modifications at the lysine side chain (31,33), off of which we introduced several well-established linkers along with a suitable RBF₃⁻.

Binding assays confirmed low-nanomolar affinities for compounds **5** and **6**, whereas compound **8** had subnanomolar binding affinity. Compounds **1–4** exhibited 10-fold higher affinities than DCFPyL, suggesting that the trifluoroborate prosthetic group may not interact well with the S1 binding pocket in PSMA, which exhibits pronounced affinity for hydrophobic groups (*3*). Compounds incorporating a naphthylalanine-tranexamic acid motif (**5** and **6**) exhibited improved binding affinities ($K_i = 1.14$ nM and 1.90 nM,



FIGURE 4. Uptake values for tumor (A), kidney (B), and intestine (C) for compounds **1–8** and DCFPyL; in black: DCFPyL; in red: AMBF₃ derivatives; in blue: pyrBF₃ derivatives (error bars reflect SD values, significance of differences with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL indicated at top of bars: ***P* < 0.01; *****P* < 0.0001; ns = not significant). Full data available in Supplemental Data section.

respectively) similar to those of DCFPyL ($K_i = 2.0 \text{ nM}$) and Ga-PSMA-617 ($K_i = 2.3 \text{ nM}$) (25). Interestingly, the tranexamic acid linker appears to contribute significantly to affinity, as its replacement by a polyethylene glycol 2 spacer (compound 7) resulted in a higher K_i . The dual glutamate-BF₃ motif, introduced to improve the hydrophilicity of the BF₃ derivatives with a naphthylalaninetranexamic acid linker, unexpectedly improved the binding affinity of compound **8**, with a K_i approximately an order of magnitude better than DCFPyL.

All the RBF_3^- -bioconjugates were radiolabeled at activity yields greater than 1.85 GBq at molar activity values of at least 56 GBq/µmol. The pyrBF₃-modified conjugates showed higher activity yields than those modified with the AMBF₃, along with higher molar activities, consistent with a report that compared



FIGURE 5. Contrast ratios (tumor-to-muscle in A and tumor-to-blood in B) for compounds **1–8** and DCFPyL at 1 h after injection; in black: DCFPyL; in red: AMBF₃ derivatives; in blue: pyrBF₃ derivatives (error bars reflect SD values, significance of differences with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL indicated at top of bars: *****P* < 0.0001).



FIGURE 6. PET/CT images (maximum-intensity projections in black on white to display background activity), comparing ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (A) with ¹⁸F-**8** (B), showing similar image contrast with lower liver accumulation for compound **8**. Maximum of scale corresponds to 10 %ID/g for both radiotracers.

both prosthetic groups in the context of LLP2A-RBF₃⁻ bioconjugates (19), as well as with the established stabilities of various trifluoroborates, as previously reviewed (34). High molar activities were also achieved with compound **8**, with a dual glutamate-BF₃ motif. Although imaging and biodistribution studies were performed with HPLC-purified tracers to ensure the highest level of purity, a simple Sep-Pak purification of ¹⁸F-**6** (<5 min) afforded good radiochemical purity (95%) (supplemental data). This demonstrates potential for HPLC-free labeling where speed is preferred (overall synthesis time < 30 min).

Although radiochemical yields were lower for certain compounds, these syntheses have not been optimized. Notably, yields were dramatically improved by increasing the amount of precursor: the lowest yield (for tracer ¹⁸F-**2**) was increased more than 8-fold to 34% when using 10 times more precursor. Consequently, the average molar activity of ¹⁸F-**2** decreased by a similar factor from 89 to 13.3 GBq/µmol. This demonstrates that yields dramatically increase when high molar activity is not critically needed.

To evaluate ¹⁸F-**1–8** for PSMA imaging, PET/CT imaging and biodistribution studies were conducted in mice bearing LNCaP tumor xenografts. Previously, Chen et al. and Harada et al. imaged ¹⁸F-DCFPyL in different strains of mice bearing different tumor models (*31,33*), thus complicating a comparison between this work and prior work. Given these discrepancies, we directly compared ¹⁸F-**1–8** with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL using a single mouse strain and the LNCaP xenograft tumor model, because it expresses PSMA endogenously and is commonly used to evaluate PSMA-targeting radiotracers (*25,33*).

Imaging and biodistribution studies showed that ¹⁸F-**1–8** and ¹⁸F-DCFPyL were all retained in tumors and cleared from nontarget tissues and organs, mainly through the renal pathway for compounds ¹⁸F-**1–4** and **8**, and a combination of renal and hepatobiliary clearance for compounds ¹⁸F-**5–7** (Fig. 4). Tumor uptake was higher with ¹⁸F-**8** than with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, a result that might be explained by improved affinity. All compounds showed significant renal uptake, which was blocked by DCFPyL, consistent with the well-documented, high PSMA expression in mouse kidneys (*25*,*31*,*33*,*35–38*). As with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, images acquired with ¹⁸F-**1–4** and **8** showed

low uptake in nontarget organs, whereas those acquired with ¹⁸F-**5**–**7** showed high accumulation in the gallbladder and intestines. Blocking controls showed that this intestinal uptake was not receptor-mediated. Although it is likely that intestinal uptake is due to the hydrophobic naphthylalanine moiety, this was not noted with ⁶⁸Ga- or ¹⁷⁷Lu-labeled PSMA-617 tracers (*32*). We presume that the DOTA chelator promotes renal clearance.

Because many radiotracers were compared with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL, this study did not have statistical power to evaluate small differences between radiotracers. The results confirmed the versatility of RBF_3^- prosthetic groups for ¹⁸F radiolabeling, and potential strategies to direct radiotracers to favor hepatobiliary or renal clearance.

Renal clearance can be a drawback for prostate cancer imaging, as focal retention in the ureters may be confused with small nodal metastases, and because high bladder activity may obscure the detection of primary prostate tumors or recurrences. Conversely, excessive bowel activity may also be detrimental for detection of small lesions in the pelvis and abdomen. High liver activity, as observed in clinical studies with ¹⁸F-DCFPyL (*12*) and ¹⁸F-PSMA-1007 (*13*), might impair detection of liver tumors, notably for detection of hepatocellular carcinomas, for which PSMA imaging may be of value (*39*).

Other ¹⁸F-labeled PSMA binding radiotracers have recently been reported, notably ¹⁸F-PSMA-1007 (*13,40*), among others (*41–44*). The RBF_3^- radiotracers presented in this article were not directly compared with these compounds. With an excellent binding affinity, high tumor accumulation, and no liver or gastrointestinal excretion, ¹⁸F-**8** represents an attractive radiopharmaceutical for clinical translation.

CONCLUSION

We report promising alternatives to current ¹⁸F- and ⁶⁸Ga-labeled PSMA-targeting agents, as the RBF₃⁻ prosthetic groups enable a facile, 1-step ¹⁸F-labeling in aqueous medium. Labeling times could be further reduced to 30 min with a simple Sep-Pak purification. The 1-step labeling by isotope exchange provided for the simple production of a precursor that is chemically identical to the radiolabeled product, simplifying aspects of both production and labeling. These radiotracers were designed to explore the influence of both the spacer and the trifluoroborate prosthetic group. Compound **8**, with a naphthylalanine-tranexamic acid linker and a dual glutamate-BF₃ moiety designed to enhance hydrophilicity, showed excellent binding affinity and high tumor uptake without liver accumulation or hepatobiliary clearance.

DISCLOSURE

This work depicts compounds pertaining to patent WO 2017/ 117687 A1, which entitles certain authors (Hsiou-Ting Kuo, Mathieu Lepage, Jinhe Pan, Zhibo Liu, Aron Roxin, François Bénard, Kuo-Shyan Lin, and David Perrin) to royalties upon licensing. This work was supported by the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research, the Canadian Cancer Society (grant #704366), and the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (grant #FDN-148465). No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Nadine Colpo and Navjit Hundal-Jabal for their help with the animal studies.

REFERENCES

- Carter RE, Feldman AR, Coyle JT. Prostate-specific membrane antigen is a hydrolase with substrate and pharmacologic characteristics of a neuropeptidase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1996;93:749–753.
- Silver DA, Pellicer I, Fair WR, Heston WD, Cordon-Cardo C. Prostate-specific membrane antigen expression in normal and malignant human tissues. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1997;3:81–85.
- Byun Y, Mease RC, Lupold SE, Pomper MG. Recent development of diagnostic and therapeutic agents targeting glutamate carboxypeptidase II (GCPII). In: Supporan CT, Winum J-Y, eds. Drug Design of Zinc-Enzyme Inhibitors. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2009:881–910.
- Chen Y, Foss CA, Byun Y, et al. Radiohalogenated prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-based ureas as imaging agents for prostate cancer. *J Med Chem.* 2008;51:7933–7943.
- Maurer T, Eiber M, Schwaiger M, Gschwend JE. Current use of PSMA-PET in prostate cancer management. *Nat Rev Urol.* 2016;13:226–235.
- Maresca KP, Hillier SM, Femia FJ, et al. A series of halogenated heterodimeric inhibitors of prostate specific membrane antigen (PSMA) as radiolabeled probes for targeting prostate cancer. J Med Chem. 2009;52:347–357.
- Kiess AP, Banerjee SR, Mease RC, et al. Prostate-specific membrane antigen as a target for cancer imaging and therapy. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2015;59:241–268.
- Lütje S, Heskamp S, Cornelissen AS, et al. PSMA ligands for radionuclide imaging and therapy of prostate cancer: clinical status. *Theranostics*. 2015;5: 1388–1401.
- Calais J, Fendler WP, Herrmann K, Eiber M, Ceci F. Comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 and ¹⁸F-fluciclovine PET/CT in a case series of 10 patients with prostate cancer recurrence. *J Nucl Med.* 2018;59:789–794.
- Lin M, Waligorski GJ, Lepera CG. Production of curie quantities of Ga-68 with a medical cyclotron via the Zn-68 (p,n)Ga-68 reaction. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2018;133:1–3.
- Eberl S, Eriksson T, Svedberg O, et al. High beam current operation of a PETtrace (TM) cyclotron for F-18(-) production. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2012;70:922–930.
- Szabo Z, Mena E, Rowe SP, et al. Initial evaluation of [¹⁸F]DCFPyL for prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA)-targeted PET imaging of prostate cancer. *Mol Imaging Biol.* 2015;17:565–574.
- Giesel FL, Hadaschik B, Cardinale J, et al. F-18 labelled PSMA-1007: biodistribution, radiation dosimetry and histopathological validation of tumor lesions in prostate cancer patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2017;44: 678–688.
- Liu Z, Li Y, Lozada J, Lin K-S, Schaffer P, Perrin DM. Rapid, one-step, high yielding ¹⁸F-labeling of an aryltrifluoroborate bioconjugate by isotope exchange at very high specific activity. *J Labelled Comp Radiopharm*. 2012;14:491–497.
- Liu Z, Pourghiasian M, Radtke MA, et al. An organotrifluoroborate for broadly applicable one-step F-18-labeling. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 2014;53:11876– 11880.
- Zhang Z, Jenni S, Zhang CC, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of F-18-trifluoroborate derivatives of triphenylphosphonium for myocardial perfusion imaging. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2016;26:1675–1679.
- Lau J, Liu ZB, Lin KS, et al. Trimeric radiofluorinated sulfonamide derivatives to achieve in vivo selectivity for carbonic anhydrase IX-targeted PET imaging. *J Nucl Med.* 2015;56:1434–1440.
- Liu ZB, Pourghiasian M, Benard F, Pan JH, Lin KS, Perrin DM. Preclinical evaluation of a high-affinity F-18-trifluoroborate octreotate derivative for somatostatin receptor imaging. J Nucl Med. 2014;55:1499–1505.
- Roxin Á, Zhang C, Huh S, et al. Preliminary evaluation of ¹⁸F-labeled LLP2Atrifluoroborate conjugates as VLA-4 (α₄β₁ integrin) specific radiotracers for PET imaging of melanoma. *Nucl Med Biol.* 2018;61:11–20.
- Zhang C, Zhang Z, Lin K-S, et al. Melanoma imaging using ¹⁸F-labeled alphamelanocyte-stimulating hormone derivatives with positron emission tomography. *Mol Pharm.* 2018;15:2116–2122.
- Pourghiasian M, Liu ZB, Pan JH, et al. F-18-AmBF3-MJ9: a novel radiofluorinated bombesin derivative for prostate cancer imaging. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2015;23:1500–1506.
- Liu Z, Amouroux G, Zhang ZX, et al. F-18-trifluoroborate derivatives of Des-Arg(10) kallidin for imaging bradykinin B1 receptor expression with positron emission tomography. *Mol Pharm.* 2015;12:974–982.
- 23. Liu Z, Radtke MA, Wong MQ, Lin K-S, Yapp DT, Perrin DM. Dual mode fluorescent ¹⁸F-PET tracers: efficient modular synthesis of rhodamine-[cRGD] 2-[¹⁸F]-organotrifluoroborate, rapid, and high yielding one-step ¹⁸F-labeling at

high specific activity, and correlated in vivo PET imaging and ex vivo fluorescence. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2014;25:1951–1962.

- Bouvet V, Wuest M, Jans H-S, et al. Automated synthesis of [¹⁸F]DCFPyL via direct radiofluorination and validation in preclinical prostate cancer models. *EJNMMI Res.* 2016;6:40.
- Benešová M, Schafer M, Bauder-Wust U, et al. Preclinical evaluation of a tailor-made DOTA-conjugated PSMA inhibitor with optimized linker moiety for imaging and endoradiotherapy of prostate cancer. J Nucl Med. 2015;56: 914–920.
- Horiuchi T, Chiba J, Uoto K, Soga T. Discovery of novel thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl hydrazone-based inhibitors of Cyclin D1-CDK4: synthesis, biological evaluation, and structure-activity relationships. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2009;19: 305–308.
- Mukherjee S, van der Donk WA. Mechanistic studies on the substrate-tolerant lanthipeptide synthetase ProcM. J Am Chem Soc. 2014;136:10450–10459.
- Zhou Z, Fahrni CJ. A fluorogenic probe for the copper(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne ligation reaction: modulation of the fluorescence emission via (3)(n,pi*)-(1)(pi,pi*) inversion. J Am Chem Soc. 2004;126:8862–8863.
- Liu Z, Lin KS, Benard F, et al. One-step F-18 labeling of biomolecules using organotrifluoroborates. *Nat Protoc.* 2015;10:1423–1432.
- Kuo HT, Pan J, Zhang Z, et al. Effects of linker modification on tumor-to-kidney contrast of ⁶⁸Ga-labeled PSMA-targeted imaging probes. *Mol Pharm.* 2018;15: 3502–3511.
- Chen Y, Pullambhatla M, Foss CA, et al. 2-(3-{1-carboxy-5-[(6-[¹⁸F]fluoro-pyridine-3-carbonyl)-amino]-pentyl}-ureido)-pentanedioic acid, [¹⁸F]DCFPyL, a PSMAbased PET imaging agent for prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17: 7645–7653.
- Kratochwil C, Giesel FL, Eder M, et al. [¹⁷⁷Lu]lutetium-labelled PSMA ligandinduced remission in a patient with metastatic prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2015;42:987–988.
- Harada N, Kimura H, Onoe S, et al. Synthesis and biologic evaluation of novel F-18-labeled probes targeting prostate-specific membrane antigen for PET of prostate cancer. J Nucl Med. 2016;57:1978–1984.
- Perrin DM. F-18-organotrifluoroborates as radioprosthetic groups for PET imaging: from design principles to preclinical applications. Acc Chem Res. 2016;49: 1333–1343.
- Weineisen M, Schottelius M, Simecek J, et al. Ga-68- and Lu-177-labeled PSMA I&T: optimization of a PSMA-targeted theranostic concept and first proof-ofconcept human studies. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:1169–1176.
- Gregor PD, Wolchok JD, Turaga V, et al. Induction of autoantibodies to syngeneic prostate-specific membrane antigen by xenogeneic vaccination. *Int J Cancer.* 2005;116:415–421.
- Schmittgen TD, Zakrajsek BA, Hill RE, et al. Expression pattern of mouse homolog of prostate-specific membrane antigen (FOLHI) in the transgenic adenocarcinoma of the mouse prostate model. *Prostate*. 2003;55:308–316.
- Yang D, Holt GE, Velders MP, Kwon ED, Kast WM. Murine six-transmembrane epithelial antigen of the prostate, prostate stem cell antigen, and prostate-specific membrane antigen: prostate-specific cell-surface antigens highly expressed in prostate cancer of transgenic adenocarcinoma mouse prostate mice. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61:5857–5860.
- Kesler M, Levine C, Hershkovitz D, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA is a novel PET-CT tracer for imaging of hepatocellular carcinoma: a prospective pilot study. *J Nucl Med.* July 12, 2018 [Epub ahead of print].
- Cardinale J, Schafer M, Benesova M, et al. Preclinical evaluation of ¹⁸F-PSMA-1007, a new prostate-specific membrane antigen ligand for prostate cancer imaging. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:425–431.
- Rowe SP, Gage KL, Faraj SF, et al. ¹⁸F-DCFBC PET/CT for PSMA-based detection and characterization of primary prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med.* 2015;56: 1003–1010.
- Malik N, Baur B, Winter G, Reske SN, Beer AJ, Solbach C. Radiofluorination of PSMA-HBED via Al¹⁸F(2+) chelation and biological evaluations in vitro. *Mol Imaging Biol.* 2015;17:777–785.
- 43. Behr SC, Aggarwal R, Van Brocklin HF, et al. First-in-human phase I study of CTT1057, a novel ¹⁸F labeled imaging agent with phosphoramidate core targeting prostate specific membrane antigen in prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med.* November 21, 2018 [Epub ahead of print].
- 44. Zlatopolskiy BD, Endepols H, Krapf P, et al. Discovery of ¹⁸F-JK-PSMA-7, a novel PET-probe for the detection of small PSMA positive lesions. *J Nucl Med.* November 2, 2018 [Epub ahead of print].