

# A New Type of Prostate Cancer Imaging: Will $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ PET/CT Flourish or Vanish?

Francesco Ceci<sup>1,2</sup>, Wolfgang Fendler<sup>1,3</sup>, and Matthias Eiber<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ahmanson Translational Imaging Division, Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Munich, Germany; and <sup>4</sup>Department of Nuclear Medicine, Klinikum Rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

See the associated article on page 444.

In this issue of *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, Piccardo et al. (1) propose the use of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  as an alternative PET radiopharmaceutical for investigating recurrent prostate cancer. The authors evaluated 50 prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence after definitive therapy (47/50 patients) or after palliative hormonal therapy (3/50 patients). Their specific aims were analysis of biodistribution and dosimetry and comparison of the diagnostic performance of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  with that of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline PET and multiparametric MRI (mpMRI). Copper concentration is generally increased in several tumors. Human copper transporter 1 is well represented in human cancers, including prostate cancer cells (2). However, the use of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  as a PET tracer has not yet been extensively validated. Previously, the European Medicines Agency approved the use of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  as a precursor for the radiolabeling of carrier molecules specifically developed and authorized for  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  labeling (3).  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  has been labeled with diacetyl-bis(*N*<sup>4</sup>-methylthiosemicarbazone) and proposed as a PET radiopharmaceutical for hypoxia imaging (4), for instance. In addition,  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  was labeled with prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-617 and recently used for investigating prostate cancer patients before surgery (5).

Piccardo et al. (1) report a  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  effective dose similar to those of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline and  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-11.  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  has a favorable biodistribution for imaging of prostate cancer, with no excretion via the urinary tract. This characteristic represents a potential advantage over  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline for evaluating the pelvis and prostatic bed. As expected, no drug-related pharmacologic effects or physiologic responses were observed immediately after the injection or up to 10 d afterward. From a diagnostic point of view in patients with biochemical recurrence,  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT showed a higher detection rate than did  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline PET/CT and mpMRI. The detection rate for the assessment of lymph node metastases ( $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ ,

32%;  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline, 30%; mpMRI, 28%) and bone metastases ( $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ , 8%;  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline, 8%; mpMRI, 10%) were approximately equal for both radiotracers. The main advantage for  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  was in the evaluation of local recurrence ( $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ , 64%;  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline, 30%; mpMRI, 50%).

Nevertheless, on a closer look, among patients with suspected local relapse, mpMRI and  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT were concordant in 19 patients; 4 patients were  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT-positive and mpMRI-negative; and 2 patients were mpMRI-positive and  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT-negative. Although histopathologic confirmation is given for one patient in whom both  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT and mpMRI concordantly detected local relapse (Fig. 3), it remains unclear whether discordant cases were true- or false-positive by  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT. As the authors noted, lack of histopathologic confirmation poses a significant limitation of this study, questioning the true superiority of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT over mpMRI. mpMRI is a well-established and widely validated technique for the detection of local recurrence of prostate cancer (6). Of note,  $^{11}\text{C}$ -choline is cleared primarily via the hepatobiliary route and might have revealed higher local detection rates (7,8).

Several properties of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  may limit its clinical use in prostate cancer patients: the decay of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  is suboptimal for clinical PET/CT workflows.  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  provides 18%  $\beta^+$  decay at a half-life of 12.7 h. Accordingly, acquisition times need to be increased significantly to achieve the counting rates and image quality observed with  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -,  $^{18}\text{F}$ -, or  $^{11}\text{C}$ -labeled agents. Piccardo et al. performed PET/CT at 6 min per bed position, resulting in a 2–3 times longer total scan time than for  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -,  $^{18}\text{F}$ -, or  $^{11}\text{C}$ -labeled agents (2–3 min per bed position).

The authors also discuss the possible therapeutic effectiveness of  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  delivered by emission of  $\beta^-$ -radiation and Auger electrons. Previously, Auger therapy has been applied in patients with neuroendocrine tumors by targeting the somatostatin receptor (9). However, because of low response rates, more effective  $\beta^-$ -emitting probes were developed and approved (10). Dosimetry reveals the highest  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  uptake in the liver, with an approximately 10 times higher radiation dose than that with  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA. The liver is generally considered a radiosensitive organ, with increased rates of severe hepatitis and liver failure beyond an 18-Gy total organ dose. Thus, the low efficacy of Auger therapy, and the high liver radiation dose, might limit the therapeutic potential of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$ .

In conclusion,  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  imaging is feasible, with a favorable biodistribution and dosimetry. We congratulate Piccardo et al. for reporting high  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT detection rates in patients with biochemically recurrent prostate cancer. The results of their study

Received Sep. 6, 2017; revision accepted Nov. 9, 2017.

For correspondence or reprints contact: Francesco Ceci, Ahmanson Translational Imaging Division, Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of California at Los Angeles, 200 Medical Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095.

E-mail: francesco.ceci3@unibo.it

Published online Dec. 14, 2017.

COPYRIGHT © 2018 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.117.199885

will support a discussion of the use of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  or  $^{64}\text{Cu}$ -labeled probes for imaging prostate cancer and other malignancies. Initial data for prostate cancer detection are promising, but further work is needed. The included comparator, that is, choline PET/CT, has been considered suboptimal by most academic PET facilities, which have transitioned to PSMA-directed PET/CT or  $^{18}\text{F}$ -fluciclovine PET/CT over the past 2 y (11). We encourage a head-to-head comparison using central image interpretation to investigate the current additional value of  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  as compared with currently available receptor-based radiotracers such as  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA-11 or metabolic radiotracers such as  $^{18}\text{F}$ -fluciclovine.

Whether  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  will become a real option for prostate cancer diagnosis will clearly depend on its performance compared with PSMA ligands and its regulatory approval and reimbursement.

## DISCLOSURE

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## REFERENCES

1. Piccardo A, Paparo F, Puntoni M, et al.  $^{64}\text{CuCl}_2$  PET/CT in prostate cancer relapse. *J Nucl Med*. 2018;59:444–451.

2. Safi R, Nelson ER, Chitneni SK, et al. Copper signaling axis as a target for prostate cancer therapeutics. *Cancer Res*. 2014;74:5819–5831.
3. Hillier SM, Maresca KP, Femia FJ, et al. Preclinical evaluation of novel glutamate-urea-lysine analogues that target prostate-specific membrane antigen as molecular imaging pharmaceuticals for prostate cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2009;69:6932–6940.
4. Lopci E, Grassi I, Chiti A, et al. PET radiopharmaceuticals for imaging of tumor hypoxia: a review of the evidence. *Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;4:365–384.
5. Cantiello F, Gangemi V, Cascini GL, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of  $^{64}\text{Cu}$  prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography for primary lymph node staging of intermediate- to high-risk prostate cancer: our preliminary experience. *Urology*. 2017;106:139–145.
6. Maurer T, Eiber M, Fanti S, Budäus L, Panebianco V. Imaging for prostate cancer recurrence. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2016;2:139–150.
7. Tolvanen T, Yli-Kerttula T, Ujula T, et al. Biodistribution and radiation dosimetry of [ $^{11}\text{C}$ ]choline: a comparison between rat and human data. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2010;37:874–883.
8. Mapelli P, Incerti E, Ceci F, Castellucci P, Fanti S, Picchio M.  $^{11}\text{C}$ - or  $^{18}\text{F}$ -choline PET/CT for imaging evaluation of biochemical recurrence of prostate cancer. *J Nucl Med*. 2016;57(suppl 3):43S–48S.
9. Krenning EP, Kooij PPM, Bakker WH, et al. Radiotherapy with a radiolabeled somatostatin analogue, [ $^{111}\text{In}$ -DTPA-d-Phe1]-octreotide. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1994;733:496–506.
10. Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E, et al. Phase 3 trial of  $^{177}\text{Lu}$ -dotatate for midgut neuroendocrine tumors. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376:125–135.
11. Fendler WP, Eiber M, Beheshti M, et al.  $^{68}\text{Ga}$ -PSMA PET/CT: joint EANM and SNMMI procedure guideline for prostate cancer imaging: version 1.0. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2017;44:1014–1024.