The Clinical Impact of Additional Late PET/CT Imaging with ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 (HBED-CC) in the Diagnosis of Prostate Cancer

Ali Afshar-Oromieh^{1,2}, Lars Peter Sattler¹, Walter Mier¹, Boris A. Hadaschik³, Jürgen Debus⁴, Tim Holland-Letz⁵, Klaus Kopka⁶, and Uwe Haberkorn^{1,2}

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ²Clinical Cooperation Unit Nuclear Medicine, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; ³Department of Urology, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology and Therapy, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁵Department of Biostatistics, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany; and ⁶Division of Radiopharmaceutical Chemistry, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany

Although PET/CT with ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 in the diagnosis of prostate cancer (PCa) is routinely performed at 1 h after injection, later scans may be beneficial because most lesions present with higher uptake and contrast. This evaluation aimed to investigate the clinical impact of additional late ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT. Methods: Between 2011 and 2016, 112 patients with PCa who underwent early (at 1 h after injection) and late (at 3 h after injection) ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT scans were retrospectively evaluated. The late scans were conducted to clarify unclear findings in early scans or to increase the probability of tumor detection in the case of negative early scans. All patients were asked to drink 1 L of water between early and late scans. In addition, 20 patients received 20 mg of furosemide before late scans. Tumor detection and radioactivity concentration within the urinary bladder were analyzed in both scans. The ${\rm SUV}_{\rm max}$ and contrast of 149 tumor lesions were measured in 69 patients with pathologic findings. Results: Overall, 134 lesions characteristic for PCa in 57 patients clearly presented at 1 h after injection and 147 lesions in 68 patients at 3 h after injection. Forty-three patients showed no pathologic findings. Eight patients (7.1%) showed 1 unclear finding in early scans, which could be clarified as characteristic for PCa at 3 h after injection. Four patients (3.6%) presented with 1 lesion characteristic for PCa at 3 h after injection only. Twelve patients (10.7%) presented with 12 possible PCa lesions at 1 h after injection, which, however, could not be confirmed as PCa in late scans. Two patients presented with 1 lesion characteristic for PCa at 1 h after injection, which became invisible at 3 h after injection because of low contrast. At 3 h after injection, 62.4% of the lesions demonstrated a higher SUV_{max} and 65.1% a higher contrast than at 1 h after injection. Patients with furosemide presented with lower SUV and radioactivity concentration within the urinary bladder. Conclusion: 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 3 h after injection showed most lesions characteristic for PCa with a higher uptake and contrast. In addition, the radioactivity signal within the urinary bladder was lower at 3 h after injection, especially when furosemide was applied. Consequently, scans at 3 h after injection detected more tumor lesions than at 1 h after injection.

Received Sep. 1, 2016; revision accepted Dec. 8, 2016.

Key Words: prostate cancer; PET/CT; positron emission tomography; PSMA; prostate-specific membrane antigen; tumor detection

J Nucl Med 2017; 58:750–755 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.116.183483

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most frequent malignant tumor in men (1,2). After initial curative therapy, mainly by surgery or radiation, biochemical recurrence is frequent in men with highrisk disease. At this stage, searching for tumor lesions is challenging for conventional imaging modalities such as CT and MRI because of frequently unsatisfying sensitivity and specificity. Since the invention and clinical introduction of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 (HBED-CC), imaging with PET using ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 has rapidly spread. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 is a small-molecule inhibitor of the prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA), a transmembranous enzyme that is significantly overexpressed in most adenocarcinomas of the prostate gland. The first publications indicated that this novel method is significantly superior to other methods used for the detection of recurrent PCa (*3–6*). Later publications and a meta-analysis confirmed these findings (*7–9*).

PET/CT with 68 Ga-PSMA-11 is routinely conducted 1 h after the injection of the tracer according to its first described clinical setup (3). However, the same article already demonstrated that late images conducted at 3 h after injection show most PCa lesions with higher contrast due to an ongoing decrease of the background signal and increase of tracer uptake in most PCa lesions, which was confirmed by other publications (10,11). All 3 listed publications are based on bi- or multiphasic scans that were planned in advance. However, the impact of additional late scans that were not planned in advance but initiated after the regular scans (1 h after injection) to clarify unclear findings has not yet been investigated.

At our institute, additional late scans have been subsequently used to increase the detection rate of PCa lesions with ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET in men with unclear findings 1 h after injection. This article addresses the issue of what can be expected from late ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT imaging in the case of unclear findings or negative scans conducted at 1 h after injection.

For correspondence contact: Ali Afshar-Oromieh, Department of Nuclear Medicine, INF 400, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.

E-mail: a.afshar@gmx.de

Published online Jan. 6, 2017.

COPYRIGHT © 2017 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Of 887 patients with PCa referred for ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT between 2011 and February 2016, 149 patients (16.8%) underwent scans at both 1 and 3 h after injection. In most of the patients (n = 107), recurrent disease was suspected. In 5 cases, PET/CT was conducted before initiation of local therapy to exclude metastases after PCa was confirmed by biopsy.

The first 37 of these 149 patients were part of a previous publication (3) and were excluded from the current analysis because these patients were planned in advance to be scanned at 1 and 3 h after injection. The difference between that publication and the current evaluation is that the late scans of the current evaluation were not planned before the first scan at 1 h after injection but were arranged thereafter to clarify unclear findings or to increase the probability of tumor detection in the case of negative early scans.

As with other imaging modalities, many cases remain that show no pathologic findings in ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 1 h after injection. However, the workload in clinical routine does not allow for additional late scans to be obtained in every one of these cases. As a retrospective analysis, it remains impossible to reconstruct the particular situations in which the decision was made to conduct an additional late scan in ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT.

The patients' characteristics are presented in Table 1. Thirty-nine patients of the present evaluation have also been part of a previous publication, which, however, exclusively analyzed the regular scans conducted at 1 h after injection (5). We therefore believe that these patients had to be included in the present evaluation instead of being excluded. Their inclusion improved the data quality and significantly strengthened the conclusions.

All patients signed a written informed consent form for the purpose of anonymized evaluation and publication of their data. All reported investigations were conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and with our national regulations. This evaluation was approved by the ethics committee of the Heidelberg University (permit S-321/2012).

All patients underwent regular whole-body PET scans (from the head to the upper parts of the legs) at 1 h after injection. Thirty of the scans at 3 h after injection covered the whole body, 17 of them the abdomen and pelvis, and 65 of them the pelvis only.

Both regular scans at 1 h after injection and late scans at 3 h after injection were analyzed with regard to tumor detection. Among all lesions visually considered characteristic for PCa, we selected 149 representative lesions for radiotracer uptake analysis measured as SUV_{max} . Tumor contrast was measured by subtracting the SUV_{mean} of the background from the SUV_{max} of tumor lesions. As background, we selected gluteal musculature. Any visible PCa lesion of a patient was counted and analyzed unless they had more than 10 lesions (5 patients). In such a case, a maximum of 10 lesions were analyzed after a random selection. This kind of selection avoids an overestimation of SUVs in

the patients' cohort because otherwise dominant lesions would be preferentially selected.

Radiotracer

⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 was produced as previously described (5,12). Briefly, ⁶⁸Ga³⁺ was obtained from a ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga radionuclide generator and used for radiolabeling of PSMA-11. The ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 solution was applied to the patients via an intravenous bolus injection (mean \pm SD, 207 \pm 78 MBq; range, 40–345 MBq). The targeted dose was 2 MBq/kg. Variation of injected radiotracer activity was caused by the short physical half-life of ⁶⁸Ga (68 min), variable elution efficiencies resulting during the lifetime of the ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga generator, and unexpected delays in clinical routine. A previous study demonstrated that PCa lesions can present with high contrast at 3 h after injection despite such low amounts of tracer (3). Low amounts of injected tracer cause a high background noise in late images. However, the favorable pharmacokinetics of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 (increase of uptake over time in most lesions) compensates for this disadvantage.

Imaging

The patients of this evaluation were investigated with 2 different scanners. A Biograph-6 PET/CT scanner was used until August 2015 and was replaced by a Biograph mCT Flow scanner (both scanners made by Siemens). The 2 different PET/CT scanners were cross-calibrated.

With regard to the Biograph-6 PET/CT, the scan protocol (1 h after injection, whole body; 3 h after injection, whole body or part body) was as described previously (5). For each bed position, a 4-min acquisition time was used for both scans (1 and 3 h after injection). With regard to the Biograph mCT Flow scanner, an unenhanced CT scan was obtained (1 h after injection, whole body; 3 h after injection, whole body or part body) using the following parameters: slice thickness of 5 mm, increment of 3-4 mm, soft-tissue reconstruction kernel, Care Dose (Siemens). Immediately after CT scanning, a PET scan was acquired in 3 dimensions (matrix, 200×200) in Flow Motion with 0.7 cm/min (for both scans at 1 and 3 h after injection). The emission data were corrected for randoms, scatter, and decay. Reconstruction was conducted with an ordered-subset expectation maximization algorithm with 2 iterations/21 subsets and was Gauss-filtered to a transaxial resolution of 5 mm in full width at half maximum. Attenuation correction was performed using the low-dose unenhanced CT data. PET and CT were performed using the same protocol for every patient on a Biograph mCT Flow scanner (Siemens).

Between early and late scans, all patients were asked to drink 1 L of water. Twenty patients received additionally 20 mg of furosemide before late scans. None of the patients received furosemide before early scans. Diuretics were given per physician's choice. As a retrospective analysis, we cannot reconstruct the particular situations in which the decision was made to apply furosemide.

Characteristic	Age (y)	Tracer (MBq)	Gleason score	PSA at PET	PET 1 (min after injection)	PET 2 (min after injection)
Mean	69.8	207	7.5	6.39	65	185
SD	7.8	78	1.1	17.94	8	17
Range	42–87	40–345	5–10	0.01–176.0	52–83	154–213
Median	71	207	7	2.28	61	182

TABLE 1 Characteristics of All Patients Investigated in This Study (n = 112)

Numbers of prostatectomy, radiation therapy, androgen-deprivation therapy, and primary tumor without therapy were 89, 50, 39, and 5, respectively.

Image Evaluation

Two of the authors, with 11 and 6 y of clinical nuclear medicine experience, read all datasets independently and resolved any disagreements by consensus.

Lesions that were visually considered as suggestive for PCa were counted and analyzed with respect to their localization (local relapses, lymph node [LN], bone and soft-tissue metastases) and to their SUV_{max} . For calculation of the SUV, circular regions of interest were drawn around areas with focally increased uptake in transaxial slices and automatically adapted to a 3-dimensional volume of interest at a 70% isocontour as previously described (5).

 SUV_{max} in scans at 3 h after injection were compared with those at 1 h after injection and defined as increasing, decreasing, or stable with intensity changes of >10%, < -10%, or between -10% and +10%, respectively.

Furthermore, the SUV_{mean} and SUV_{max} of the urinary bladders 1 and 3 h after injection were measured as well as the average radioactivity concentration in Bq/mL within the urinary bladder. In addition, the area around the urinary bladder was visually analyzed for the presence (=1) or absence (=0) of the Halo artifact, an artifact caused by extinction of the PET signal as described previously for a hybrid PET/MRI scanner (*13*).

Statistical Analysis

SUVs of the tumor lesions at 1 and 3 h after injection were compared using a 2-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The same test was also used to evaluate differences concerning the radioactivity signal within the urinary bladder between the groups with and without furosemide. In addition, mathematic differences between 1 h after injection and 3 h after injection were calculated within each group. Thereafter, the results from these calculations were compared between the 2 groups. In this case, a 2-sided Mann–Whitney test was used. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Overall, 134 lesions characteristic for PCa in 57 patients clearly presented at 1 h after injection and 147 lesions in 68 patients at 3 h after injection. Forty-three patients showed no pathologic findings in either scan. As presented by Figures 1 and 2, 8 of the 112 patients (7.1%) showed 1 unclear finding in early scans, which could be clarified as characteristic for PCa at 3 h after injection.

Possible PCa lesions at 1 h p.i.

20 lesions in 20 patients

Graded as "no PCa lesion" at 3 h p.i

12 lesions in 12 patients

Four patients (3.6%) with a nonpathologic scan at 1 h after injection presented with 1 lesion characteristic for PCa at 3 h after injection. Twelve patients (10.7%) presented with 12 possible PCa lesions at 1 h after injection, which, however, could not be confirmed as PCa in late scans (Fig. 3); among them were 7 patients who presented exclusively with 1 of the mentioned lesions. Two patients presented with 1 lesion characteristic for PCa at 1 h after injection, which became invisible at 3 h after injection due to a decrease in uptake and contrast (Fig. 4). No unclear lesions were found when all scans conducted at 3 h after injection were analyzed.

Among all 149 lesions visually considered typical for PCa, 63 were defined as LN metastases, 43 as bone metastases, 16 as primary PCa, 14 as local relapses, and 13 as soft-tissue/organ metastases. Overall, at 3 h after injection 62.4% of the PCa lesions (n = 93) demonstrated higher (> +10%) (Fig. 2), 13.4% (n = 20) lower (< -10%), and 24.2% (n = 36) stable (between -10% and +10%, respectively) SUV_{max} values compared with at 1 h after injection. The higher SUV_{max} at 3 h after was statistically significant (P < 0.001).

In addition, at 3 h after injection 65.1% of the PCa lesions (n = 97) demonstrated higher (> +10%), 14.1% (n = 21) lower (< -10%), and 20.8% (n = 31) stable (between -10% and +10%, respectively) contrast compared with at 1 h after injection. The higher contrast at 3 h after injection was also statistically significant (P < 0.001).

In 8 of all 69 patients with pathologic PET/CT (11.6%), lesions with decreasing uptake between 1 and 3 h after injection were simultaneously present with lesions demonstrating an increasing uptake. In 6 patients (8.7%), lesions with decreasing uptake were present exclusively.

Supplemental Figure 1 (supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org) is representative for the advantages of a lower radioactivity signal within the urinary bladder. The average radioactivity within the urinary bladder at 1 and 3 h is presented in Table 2. In addition, mathematic differences between 1 h after injection and 3 h after injection were calculated within each group (with and without furosemide). Thereafter, the results from these calculations were compared between the group with hydration only and that with hydration + furosemide.

The results were as follows: P = 0.014 for SUV_{mean}, P = 0.031 for SUV_{max}, and P = 0.092 for Bq/mL.

In none of the 112 patients was a Halo artifact observed around the urinary bladder, neither at 1 nor at 3 h after injection.

DISCUSSION

In the first ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT study, the uptake of PCa lesions was analyzed at 1 and 3 h after injection in 37 patients, revealing that in most PCa metastases the uptake and contrast were more intense at 3 h after injection (*3*). However, because all tumor lesions presented with good contrast already at 1 h after injection, ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT is routinely performed at 1 h after injection. In small patient cohorts, PSMA-11 and different other PSMA ligands demonstrated to be taken up



Clearly visible at 1 h p.i.

134 lesions in 57 patients

Clearly visible at 3 h p.i.

147 lesions in 68 patients

No PCa lesions found at 1 h p.i.

47 patients

No PCa lesions found at 3 h p.i.

43 patients



FIGURE 2. Most PCa lesions present with higher uptake and contrast at 3 h after injection compared with 1 h after injection. Red arrows point to an LN metastasis adjacent to intestines that was clearly visible at 3 h after injection (C [fusion of PET and CT at 3 h after injection] and D [low-dose CT at 3 h after injection]) (SUV_{max}, 5.7). At 1 h after injection (A [fusion of PET and CT at 1 h after injection] and B [low-dose CT at 1 h after injection]), slight uptake (SUV_{max}, 2.2) was thought to be related to background signal of intestines as also visible in upper parts of subimage A.

in PCa lesions increasingly over time (14-16). The current analysis in a larger patient cohort confirmed this observation.

In contrast to other published studies, the current article specifically focuses on additional late scans, which were not planned before the first (regular) scans. This article therefore addresses the issue of what can be expected from late imaging in the case of unclear findings or negative scans conducted at 1 h after injection. As far as the authors know, such data have never been published before.

The results presented here demonstrate that 68 Ga-PSMA-11 at 3 h after injection is a powerful method to confirm findings of regular scans at 1 h after injection, to find new PCa lesions or to clarify unclear findings. With regard to detection rates and lesion visibility, 68 Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 3 h after injection was shown to be superior to 68 Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 1 h after injection. These results confirm the findings of a previous study in which 3 h after injection appeared to be the best imaging timing for 68 Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT (*10*). In addition, no unclear findings were detected at 3 h after injection. This result, however, needs to be interpreted with caution because the scanned area at 3 h after injection was smaller in most patients than the scan area at 1 h after injection.

Diuretics were given per physician's choice before the late scans. Because of these possibly biased decisions regarding the administration of diuretics, a statistical lesion-based analysis under consideration of diuretics is not appropriate. However, our results demonstrate that the reduction of SUVs and radioactivity within the urinary bladder between 1 and 3 h after injection was more intense in patients who received diuretics. This fact is known from other imaging modalities such as PET imaging with ¹⁸F-FDG or DOTA conjugates. One can assume that the lower the PET signal of the urinary tract, the higher the visibility of adjacent PCa lesions. In this context, hydration and diuretics can help to optimize the visibility of PCa lesions in those regions. The routine administration of diuretics is controversial because of their side effects, though. Recently, an article was published showing the positive effects of diuretics on the assessment of the prostate region when using the PSMA ligand ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA I&T for PET/CT (*17*).

Our results demonstrate that in more than 12% of the patients referred to ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT, an additional late scan was conducted by the responsible physicians to clarify unclear findings of regular scans at 1 h after injection. These additional scans were not planned before the arrival of the patients at our PET center. As a consequence, such scans disturb the clinical routine and consume time and further resources. This is an important aspect for a modern health-care system, which continuously pushes the boundaries of efficacy. In addition, reimbursement issues for additional scans raise questions. At least, the patients need to be informed about the additional costs in an appropriate time before the additional scans. Therefore, the question remains whether a procedure exists that provides the best possible information available by ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at a single time. According to our experiences and the presented results, a ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT scan at 3 h after injection after a sufficient hydration with at least 1 L of water and the administration of diuretics appears to be the best option to increase the probability of a pathologic PET scan. In this context, hydration and diuretics should not be administered too early because both would excrete portions of the tracer before it had enough time to interact with the PSMA receptor. Hydration



FIGURE 3. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT of patient at 1 h after injection (A [fusion of PET and CT at 1 h after injection] and B [low-dose CT at 1 h after injection]) and at 3 h after injection (C [fusion of PET and CT at 3 h after injection]). Red arrows point to an unclear finding in scans at 1 h after injection demonstrating slight focal uptake without clear morphologic correlation in CT scan. Late scans demonstrated no pathologic uptake and still no morphologic correlation in CT scan. The slight uptake was therefore thought to be related to background signal of intestines.



FIGURE 4. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT of patient at 1 h after injection (A [fusion of PET and CT at 1 h after injection] and B [low-dose CT at 1 h after injection]) and at 3 h after injection (C [fusion of PET and CT at 3 h after injection] and D [low-dose CT at 3 h after injection]). Red arrows point to the lesion (LN) characteristic for PCa in early images that, however, becomes invisible in late scans. Another LN metastasis (yellow arrows) presented also with decreasing uptake and contrast in late scans (SUV_{max}, 5.0 at 1 h after injection and 3.5 at 3 h after injection; contrast, 4.7 at 1 h after injection and 3.3 at 3 h after injection).

may be started at 2 h after injection followed by diuretics 20–30 min later. Still, later images, for example, at 4 h after injection, can show an increase of tumor uptake and therefore help to clarify unclear lesions (10). However, with increasing time intervals between tracer administration and imaging, the injected activity and, therefore, the counting rate and image quality become more and more important. Quantification analysis can become unstable for images acquired at 5 h after injection because of low counting rates remaining after 5 half-lives, especially when tracer amounts of 150–200 MBq are administered (10).

Without doubt, the longer waiting time is a disadvantage of the suggested procedure compared with scans conducted at 1 h after injection. The amount of activity after radiolabeling may also be

limiting. However, the higher diagnostic outcome may justify the longer waiting time and further actions to reduce the signal of the urinary bladder and ureters, especially for patients with low prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels and, therefore, expected reduced tumor detection rates. In the case of a negative single scan at 3 h after injection despite a biochemical relapse of PCa, we recommend waiting until a further PSA elevation and plan a biphasic ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 1 and 3 h after injection including hydration and furosemide administration as described before.

In accordance with previous publications (3,11), a minority of PCa lesions showed a decreased uptake in late images. Such lesions often occur simultaneously with lesions demonstrating an increasing uptake with time. In a few number of patients, decreasing lesions occur exclusively. With the exception of 2 lesions, all PCa lesions that presented with a lower uptake at 3 h after injection compared with 1 h after injection were still clearly visible at 3 h after injection.

Although the reasons for a decrease of uptake remain unclear, we speculate that some lesions show a reduced internalization rate of the PSMA ligand. Further studies are mandatory to analyze this observation.

In none of the patients was an artifact caused by extinction of the PET signal around the urinary bladder (called Halo artifact by the authors) observed. This artifact was reported when using ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 in a PET/MRI hybrid system (13). The Halo artifact can cause reduced tumor visibility, thereby leading to falsenegative results (13). The scatter correction of the PET/MRI hybrid system is suggested to cause or influence the mentioned artifact. After the first description of this artifact, some colleagues argued that an extinction artifact around the urinary bladder is also present in PET/CT. However, as described above, we could not observe such an artifact when using the above-mentioned scanners. Further improvements of the manufacturers are mandatory to reduce the Halo artifact in PET/CT or PET/MRI scanners.

Our retrospective analysis shows typical limitations compared with prospective studies: probably biased decisions regarding the conduction and area of additional late scans as well as the administration of diuretics. However, our data reflect the daily clinical routine and every center conducting PSMA ligand PET/CT faces similar conditions. Regarding the area of the late scans, most were conducted from the pelvis or abdomen and pelvis. Therefore, additional PCa lesions outside these areas cannot be excluded. On the other hand, it is unlikely that PCa lesions exist outside these areas without the existence of lesions in the pelvis or abdomen, especially in patient cohorts with low PSA values such as the current

TABLE 2								
SUV and Radioactivity Concentration Within Urinary Bladder at 1 and 3 Hours After Injection and Associated P Values								

Patients	Bq/mL	SUV _{mean}	SUV _{max}
With hydration ($n = 92$)			
1 h after injection	37,345 ± 48,237	31.6 ± 31.8	50.9 ± 52.0
3 h after injection	9,875 ± 11,181	27.5 ± 28.3	43.5 ± 43.8
1 h vs. 3 h after injection	−73.6% (P < 0.001)	-13.1% (<i>P</i> = 0.644)	-14.5% (P = 0.734)
With hydration + furosemide ($n = 20$)			
1 h after injection	50,102 ± 63,771	38.5 ± 40.3	60.2 ± 64.6
3 h after injection	5,006 ± 4,862	13.0 ± 13.2	22.1 ± 20.6
1 h vs. 3 h after injection	−90% (P < 0.001)	-66.1% (P = 0.008)	-63.3% (P = 0.017)

cohort. We therefore believe that—in the case of a negative wholebody PET scan result—additional late scans can be focused on the pelvis and abdomen, especially in patients with low PSA values.

One additional limitation of the analysis presented here is the lack of a standard reference in terms of systematic histopathologic investigations of PET-positive lesions. However, since ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT was introduced, the results prove the excellent specificity of this compound, indicating that any uptake of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 above local background in CT- or MR-morphologic visible lesions of PCa patients should be regarded as highly suspicious for PCa (*5*,*6*,*18*,*19*).

CONCLUSION

PET/CT with ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 at 3 h after injection is a valuable method to clarify unclear findings of regular scans conducted at 1 h after injection or to find new PCa lesions because most PCa lesions present with a higher uptake and contrast in late scans. With regard to detection rates and lesion visibility, ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 3 h after injection was superior to ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT at 1 h after injection. Hydration and diuretics shortly before the scans at 3 h after injection are recommended because they can help to better distinguish between urinary bladder and adjacent PCa lesions.

REFERENCES

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2010;60: 277–300.
- 2. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64:9-29.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Malcher A, Eder M, et al. PET imaging with a [⁶⁸Ga]galliumlabelled PSMA ligand for the diagnosis of prostate cancer: biodistribution in humans and first evaluation of tumour lesions. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2013;40:486–495.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Zechmann CM, Malcher A, et al. Comparison of PET imaging with a ⁶⁸Ga-labelled PSMA ligand and ¹⁸F-choline-based PET/CT for the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:11–20.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Avtzi E, Giesel FL, et al. The diagnostic value of PET/CT imaging with the ⁶⁸Ga-labelled PSMA ligand HBED-CC in the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2015;42:197–209.

- Eiber M, Maurer T, Souvatzoglou M, et al. Evaluation of hybrid ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA ligand PET/CT in 248 patients with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:668–674.
- Morigi JJ, Stricker PD, van Leeuwen PJ, et al. Prospective comparison of ¹⁸Ffluoromethylcholine versus ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA PET/CT in prostate cancer patients who have rising PSA after curative treatment and are being considered for targeted therapy. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:1185–1190.
- Schwenck J, Rempp H, Reischl G, et al. Comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-labelled PSMA-11 and ¹¹C-choline in the detection of prostate cancer metastases by PET/CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2017;44:92–101.
- Perera M, Papa N, Christidis D, et al. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictors of positive ⁶⁸Ga-prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography in advanced prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Urol.* 2016;70:926–937.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Hetzheim H, Kübler W, et al. Radiation dosimetry of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-11 (HBED-CC) and preliminary evaluation of optimal imaging timing. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2016;43:1611–1620.
- Sahlmann C-O, Meller B, Bouter C, et al. Biphasic ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC-PET/CT in patients with recurrent and high-risk prostate carcinoma. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2016;43:898–905.
- Eder M, Neels O, Müller M, et al. Novel preclinical and radiopharmaceutical aspects of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC: a new PET tracer for imaging of prostate cancer. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2014;7:779–796.
- Afshar-Oromieh A, Haberkorn U, Schlemmer HP, et al. Comparison of PET/CT and PET/MRI hybrid systems using a ⁶⁸Ga-labelled PSMA ligand for the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer: initial experience. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:887–897.
- Herrmann K, Bluemel C, Weineisen M, et al. Biodistribution and radiation dosimetry for a probe targeting prostate-specific membrane antigen for imaging and therapy. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:855–861.
- Szabo Z, Mena E, Rowe SP, et al. Initial evaluation of [¹⁸F]DCFPyL for prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA)-targeted PET imaging of prostate cancer. *Mol Imaging Biol.* 2015;17:565–574.
- Zechmann CM, Afshar-Oromieh A, Armor T, et al. Radiation dosimetry and first therapy results with a ¹²⁴*I*/¹³¹I-labeled small molecule (MIP-1095) targeting PSMA for prostate cancer therapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:1280–1292.
- Derlin T, Weiberg D, von Klot C, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA I&T PET/CT for assessment of prostate cancer: evaluation of image quality after forced diuresis and delayed imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2016;26:4345–4353.
- Herlemann A, Wenter V, Kretschmer A, et al. ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA positron emission tomography/computed tomography provides accurate staging of lymph node regions prior to lymph node dissection in patients with prostate cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2016;70:553–557.
- Rauscher I, Maurer T, Beer AJ, et al. Value of ⁶⁸Ga-PSMA HBED-CC PET for the assessment of lymph node metastases in prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence: comparison with histopathology after salvage lymphadenectomy. J Nucl Med. 2016;57:1713–1719.