¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for the Diagnosis of Residual or Recurrent Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma After Radiotherapy: A Metaanalysis

Huijun Zhou*, Guohua Shen*, Wenjie Zhang, Huawei Cai, Yue Zhou, and Lin Li

Department of Nuclear Medicine, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

The aim of this work was to assess the overall value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/ CT in the diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma using a metaanalysis. Methods: The literature published between January 1990 and September 2014 was searched in the PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, EBSCO, VIP, CNKI, and Wanfang databases to identify eligible studies on PET/CT of residual or recurrent lesions. The methodologic quality of the included studies was evaluated using the "quality assessment for studies of diagnostic accuracy" tool. Summary sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, diagnostic odds ratio, and receiver-operating characteristic curve were obtained using Meta-DiSc freeware. Subgroups were also analyzed. Results: A total of 23 studies, involving 1,253 subjects, were included in the metaanalysis. Pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio, with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses, for ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT were 0.93 (0.91-0.95), 0.87 (0.84-0.89), 5.52 (3.96-7.71), 0.12 (0.09-0.15), and 55.31 (34.94-87.57), respectively. The area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve and Q* index estimate of PET/CT were 0.9473 and 0.8869, respectively. There was no significant difference between the area under the curve of PET and PET/CT (P > 0.05). Conclusion: Our study has confirmed that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/ CT has high sensitivity and specificity but significant heterogeneity in the diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma.

Key Words: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; PET/CT; recurrence; residue; meta-analysis

J Nucl Med 2016; 57:342–347 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.115.165407

N asopharyngeal carcinoma is a rare malignancy in most parts of the world but is common in southern China. The incidence and mortality in China and constituent areas are 3.16 and 1.53 per 100,000 people, respectively, according to the national population in 2010. The world age-standardized incidence and mortality were 2.44 and 1.18 per 100,000 people, respectively (*1*). Nasopharyngeal carcinoma can invade tissue adjacent to the nasopharynx and even metastasize to bone, liver, lung, and other organs via blood or lymph. Currently,

E-mail: lilinhuaxi@sina.com

Published online Nov. 5, 2015.

radiation therapy is preferred for newly diagnosed nonmetastatic nasopharyngeal cancer, particularly intensity-modulated radiation therapy, allowing a 5-y survival rate of approximately 50% (2). Advanced age, local extension, and advanced disease stage adversely affect the prognosis in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after treatment (3). Despite significant improvements in local control due to advances in radiotherapy, local recurrence and residual disease remain the main reasons for failure in patients with advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma (4). After radiation therapy, various changes occur in nasopharyngeal tissues, such as edema, inflammation, fibrosis, and scarring. Because traditional imaging is not always reliable in distinguishing between recurrent disease and posttherapy changes, the use of 18 F-FDG PET/CT may be complementary for many lesions (5).

In general, MRI is preferred over CT as the conventional imaging modality for posttherapy surveillance of nasopharyngeal carcinoma because of its high contrast resolution (6,7). However, MRI still has some difficulty in differentiating posttreatment fibrosis from recurrent or residual tumor. Postradiation therapy fibrosis, which may appear as a mass seen on MRI, always poses a diagnostic question of whether it is a tumor (8). In contrast, the specificity of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in assessing efficacy after radio-therapy and in diagnosing lesions is about 93.4%.

In our opinion, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, which has high sensitivity and specificity in detecting lesions, is the ideal combination of metabolic imaging and morphologic imaging. Thus far, although there have been many studies reporting the diagnostic efficacy of PET/CT for recurrent or residual nasopharyngeal carcinoma, the results are incongruous (9–11). Therefore, systematic evaluation of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in the diagnosis of recurrent or residual nasopharyngeal carcinoma is necessary.

In our previously published article (12), 26 studies involving 1,203 patients were included. We calculated the pooled sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic odds ratios and concluded that ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT performed well for diagnosis of residual and recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma, with relatively high sensitivity and specificity. But in some included studies the sample size was below 25, which is not a large enough sample to provide conclusive results that heterogeneity exists. In the current article, the sample size of all included studies was above 25, and after assessing the positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and publication bias, we were able to confirm the existence of heterogeneity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Search

We searched the PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, and EBSCO databases, as well as 3 Chinese databases (VIP, CNKI, and Wanfang), for studies published from January 1990 to September 2014, with no

Received Aug. 11, 2015; revision accepted Oct. 21, 2015.

For correspondence or reprints contact: Lin Li, Department of Nuclear Medicine, West China Hospital of Sichuan University, No. 37, Guoxue Rd., Wuhou District, Chengdu, Sichuan Province 610041, China.

^{*}Contributed equally to this work.

COPYRIGHT @ 2016 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

 TABLE 1

 Principal Characteristics of Eligible Studies Included in the Metaanalysis

	Author	Year	Nation	Sample size	Age*	Study design	Patient enrollment	Image interpretation	Median time to posttreatment scan	System	Masked?	PET technique	Reference standard
	Luo	2005	China	75	43.0	R	С	Visual	2–144 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, 30–45 min	HP + CFU
	Tian	2013	China	89	45.6	R	С	$\mathrm{SUV}_{\mathrm{max}} > 2.5$	5–85 mo	PET/CT	Y	185–370 MBq, 50–60 min	CFU
	Huang	2012	China	70	46.2	R	ND	$\text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \geq 2.5$	6–108 mo	PET/CT	Ν	3.7–7.4 MBq/kg	HP + CFU
	Lin	2005	China	28	45.0	R	С	Visual + SUV_{max}	2–24 mo	PET	Ν	185–370 MBq, 50 min	HP + CFU
	Ма	2013	China	89	50	R	С	$\text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \geq 2.5$	6–60 mo	PET/CT	Ν	60 min	HP + CFU
	Ma	2013	China	48	ND	R	ND	$\text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \geq 2.5$	5–56 mo	PET/CT	Ν	270–370 MBq, 40–60 min	HP + CFU
	Pang	2007	China	27	47	R	ND	$\text{SUV}_{\text{max}} \geq 2.5$	93 d	PET/CT	Ν	4.5 MBq/kg, 60 min	HP
	Wu	2003	China	32	48.5	R	С	Visual	≥10 mo	PET	Ν	370 MBq, 40– 60 min	HP
	Al-Amro	2009	Saudi Arabia	55	41	R	С	Visual	2–217 mo	PET	Ν	370 MBq, 30– 45 min	HP + CFU
	Comoretto	2008	Italy	63	52	R	С	Visual	2–14 mo	PET/CT	Y	350–400 MBq, 60 min	HP + CFU
	Chan	2006	Taiwan	34	48	Ρ	С	Visual	6–108 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, >40 min	HP + CFU + IFU
	Chen	2002	China	25	49	R	С	$\text{Visual} + \text{SUV}_{\text{max}}$	2–6 mo	PET	Ν	370 MBq, 50 min	HP + CFU
	He	2004	China	36	ND	R	ND	Visual	12–132 mo	PET	Ν	1.85 MBq/kg	HP + CFU
	Li	2007	China	41	46	R	С	$Visual + SUV_{max}$	3 mo	PET	Ν	ND	HP + CFU
	Yen	2003	Taiwan	67	46.6	R	С	Visual	4–70 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, 50 min	HP + CFU
	Yen	2005	Taiwan	64	45.8	R	С	Visual	4–70 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, 45 min	HP + CFU
	Yen	2009	Taiwan	27	49.1	R	С	Visual	4–181 mo	PET/CT	Y	370 MBq, 45 min	HP + CFU
	Tsai	2002	Taiwan	28	19–75	R	С	Visual	≥4 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, 30–45 min	HP
	Peng	2000	Taiwan	46	16–72	R	С	Visual	1–36 mo	PET	Ν	370 MBq, 45 min	HP + CFU
	Ng	2010	Taiwan	179	47.9	Ρ	С	Visual	3.1–56.1 mo	PET/CT	Y	370 MBq, 50–70 min	HP + CFU + IFU
	Ng	2004	Taiwan	37	44	Ρ	С	Visual	3.7–90.2 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, >40 min	HP + CFU + IFU
	Kao	2002	Taiwan	36	18–67	Ρ	ND	Visual	1.8 mo	PET	Y	370 MBq, 30 min	HP
	Lu	2014	China	57	54.84	R	ND	Visual + SUV_{max}	4–24 mo	PET/CT	Ν	ND	HP + CFU

*Data are mean or range of patient age, in years.

ND = not documented; P = prospective; R = retrospective; C = consecutive; HP = histopathology; CFU = clinical follow-up; IFU = imaging follow-up.

restrictions on the language of the publication. The search strategy was based on the combination of the following keywords: ("nasopharyngeal carcinoma") AND ("PET" OR "positron emission tomography" OR "FDG"). Additionally, the reference lists of relevant studies were manually screened for additional eligible studies.

Selection of Studies

The inclusion criteria for relevant studies were as follows: whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT had been used to identify and

characterize the suspected residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma; histopathologic results (HP) or clinical or radiologic follow-up had been used as the reference standard; and absolute numbers of true-positive, true-negative, false-positive, and falsenegative data had been presented. When the data were published in more than one article, the latest one or that with the greatest detail was included.

Studies were excluded if ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT had been used in staging and prognosis or if fewer than 10 patients had been included.



FIGURE 1. Process for selecting eligible studies (identification, inclusion, and exclusion).

In addition, duplicate publications were excluded, as were publications such as review articles, case reports, conference papers, and letters, which do not contain the original data.

Data Extraction and Quality Assessment

Two reviewers independently extracted data from each article and recorded them on a standardized form. Any disagreement in data extraction was resolved by consensus or by consulting a third investigator. True-positive, false-positive, true-negative, and false-negative results were recorded for further analysis. The following data were also extracted from each study: author names, article title, year of publication, country of origin, age of patients, sample size, sex ratio, study design (prospective or retrospective), the technical characteristics of the ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT examinations, and whether follow-up was histopathologic, clinical, or radiologic. Additionally, technical information such as collection device, dose, and intervals were extracted.

We assessed the methodologic quality of the studies using "quality assessment for studies of diagnostic accuracy" (QUADAS), a comprehensive, systematic reviewing tool. QUADAS contains 14 items, including questions on the validity of the reference standard, the spectrum of patients, verification methods, and incorporation bias. For each item, the tool uses a "yes" or "no" response (13).

Statistical Analysis

The pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated on the basis of the bivariate analysis. Heterogeneity was assessed by the likelihood ratio I² index. P < 0.05or I² > 50% suggested heterogeneity. If heterogeneity existed, a randomeffects model was used for the primary metaanalysis to obtain a summary estimate of the test's performance with 95% CIs. Otherwise, a fixed-effects model was used.

Furthermore, we constructed the summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curves and determined the area under the curve and the Q* estimate. (The Q* index is the best statistical method to reflect diagnostic value. It is defined by the point at which sensitivity and specificity are equal, which is the point closest to the ideal top-left corner of the summary receiver-operating characteristic space.) Considering that the results might be influenced by the scanning technique, the subgroup analyses were based on the "PET/CT" or "PETalone" subgroups. Publication biases were assessed by Deek funnel plots. All analyses were performed using Meta-DiSc, version 1.4 (Ramón y Cajal Hospital, Madrid, Spain).

RESULTS

Literature Search

The computerized search initially yielded 871 potential articles using the key words. After all the articles, titles, and abstracts had been reviewed, 810 were excluded: 655 did not concern PET or PET/CT or did not concern recurrent or residual nasopharyngeal carcinoma; 140 were case reports, reviews, conference papers, or letters; and 15 were duplicate articles. After excluding those in which the absolute data could not be extracted, 23 articles remained (a total of 1,253 patients) and were included in the metaanalysis (5,14–35). A flowchart of the literature search is shown in Fig. 1.

Study Characteristics

Five articles (16,21,31-33) used either histopathologic results or clinical follow-up as the reference standard and the rest used both (5,14,15,17-19,21-30,34,35). Twelve of the 23 studies were performed in China (24-35), 9 in Taiwan (15-23), 1 in Saudi Arabia (14), and 1 in Italy (5). Four were prospective (15-18) and 19 retrospective (5,14,19-35). Additionally, 16 studies (5,14,15,17-23,26,28,29,32-35) enrolled patients in a consecutive manner; the other 7 studies (16,24,25,27,30,31) did not provide that information. The principal characteristics of the 23 studies are listed in Table 1.

Assessment of Study Quality and Publication Bias

All studies fulfilled 8 or more of the 14 items in the QUADAS tool. The acceptable interval between PET/CT or PET and the reference standard (item 4) was not presented in 91% of the articles (5,14,15,17-19,21-35). The common weaknesses were concentrated in the masking between the reference standard and index test (items 10 and 11). The Deek funnel plot (Fig. 2) found no significant publication bias (P > 0.05).

Diagnostic Accuracy of PET/CT

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the 23 studies, and Table 2 the diagnostic accuracy of whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT in them. The pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratios, followed by 95% CIs in parentheses, for ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT were 0.93 (0.91–0.95), 0.87 (0.84–0.89), 5.52 (3.96–7.71), 0.12



FIGURE 2. Results of Deek funnel plot of asymmetry test for publication bias. Nonsignificant slope indicates that no significant bias was found (P = 0.127).

 TABLE 2

 Results of PET/CT in Diagnosis of Residual or Recurrent

 Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

Author	Year	System	TP	FP	ΤN	FN
Luo	2005	PET	38	5	30	2
Tian	2013	PET/CT	59	5	46	0
Huang	2012	PET/CT	11	1	57	1
Lin	2005	PET	16	2	8	2
Ма	2013	PET/CT	67	4	13	5
Ма	2013	PET/CT	32	8	6	2
Pang	2007	PET/CT	18	2	6	1
Wu	2003	PET	23	1	7	1
Al-Amro	2009	PET	9	2	41	0
Comoretto	2008	PET/CT	23	4	31	5
Chan	2006	PET	21	2	10	1
Chen	2002	PET	14	4	5	2
He	2004	PET	21	3	10	2
Li	2007	PET	16	4	6	2
Yen	2003	PET	21	3	43	0
Yen	2005	PET	33	3	25	3
Yen	2009	PET/CT	13	3	9	2
Tsai	2002	PET	14	1	13	0
Peng	2000	PET	12	4	27	3
Ng	2010	PET/CT	48	12	112	7
Ng	2004	PET	11	6	19	1
Kao	2002	PET	11	1	24	0
Lu	2014	PET/CT	42	4	14	1

TP= true-positive; FP = false-positive; TN = true-negative; FN = false-negative.

(0.09–0.15), and 55.31 (34.94–87.57), respectively. Fig. 3 shows a forest plot of sensitivity and specificity, and Fig. 4 the positive and negative likelihood ratios for ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT in the diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. The χ^2 values for sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, and negative likelihood ratio were 30.49 (P = 0.1071 [>0.05]), 53.66



FIGURE 3. Forest plot of sensitivity and specificity of PET/CT for diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. \bullet = sensitivity and specificity of individual studies; \blacklozenge = pooled sensitivity and specificity of all 23 enrolled studies.

(P < 0.05), 63.47 (P < 0.05), and 18.83 (P = 0.6559 [>0.05]), respectively, indicating heterogeneity between studies for specificity and positive likelihood ratio but homogeneity for sensitivity and negative likelihood ratio. Therefore, a random effects model was used for the primary metaanalysis to obtain a summary estimate for test performance with 95% CIs. The area under the curve was 0.9473, and the Q* index estimate was 0.8869 for ¹⁸F-FDG PET or PET/CT, suggesting outstanding performance (Fig. 5).

Regarding the threshold effect, it arises when differences in sensitivities, specificities, or likelihood ratios occur between studies because of differences in the thresholds used to define positive or negative test results. When a threshold effect exists, there is a negative correlation between sensitivities and specificities (or a positive correlation between sensitivities and 1 – specificities). In test accuracy studies, the threshold effect is one of the primary causes of heterogeneity. In this study, the Spearman rank correlation was -0.136 (P = 0.537), indicating absence of a threshold effect. The subgroup analyses were based on a PET system and showed no significant differences among subgroups (P > 0.05). In addition, heterogeneity between studies was highly significant; thus, we should interpret the results with caution.

DISCUSSION

For patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after treatment, local residual or recurrent lesions are important for tumor staging, treatment options, and prognosis. Patients with residual nasopharyngeal carcinoma have a poor prognosis, and the chance of recurrence is high (*36*). ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has a growing role in the diagnosis and management of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Fischbein et al. evaluated the ability of ¹⁸F-FDG PET to detect residual or recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck at both primary and nodal sites (*37*); the respective sensitivity and specificity were 100% and 64% for residual or recurrent disease at the primary site and 93% and 77% for nodal disease. However, PET is limited in differentiating between inflammation and tumors and has low specificity in the early diagnosis of disease (*15*).

We searched all qualified databases, including foreign databases and comprehensive Chinese databases, to minimize bias. Additionally, 2 reviewers screened qualified literature according to strict selection criteria and QUADAS standards. Of the included studies, only a few (19,27-29,33) used biopsy as the reference standard; most used biopsy and clinical follow-

> up because in clinical practice a biopsy cannot be performed for each lesion. Different reference standards may be an important source of heterogeneity.

> Another explanation for heterogeneity is the difference among studies in the interval between the end of radiotherapy and PET examination. In general, increased ¹⁸F-FDG uptake early after radiotherapy should be considered an inflammatory reaction to the therapy. ¹⁸F-FDG uptake decreased significantly after a few months because of mitigation of the inflammation (*38,39*). An inflammatory response will create a false-positive PET/CT finding and affect diagnostic performance. Rate of disease progression and inconsistency in follow-up



FIGURE 4. Likelihood ratio (LR) of PET/CT for diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. \bullet = likelihood ratios of individual studies; \blacklozenge = pooled likelihood ratios of all 23 enrolled studies.

interval can also cause bias. For example, slowly progressing disease combined with a short follow-up interval may bring about a false-negative diagnosis. Complementary information from PET, particularly if combined with CT, adds value to the interpretation of both modalities. For example, improved accuracy from the use of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in patients with head and neck tumors has been reported (*40*). However, because our analysis suggests no significant difference among subgroups, the heterogeneity might arise from too few samples and low-quality literature.

In the current metaanalysis, the pooled sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic odds ratio of PET/CT were 0.93 (95% CI, 0.91–0.95), 0.87 (95% CI, 0.84–0.89), and 55.31 (95% CI, 34.94–87.57), respectively, which are similar to the data in our previous article (12), 0.92 (95% CI, 0.89–0.94), 0.87 (95% CI, 0.84–0.90), and 51.10 (95% CI, 34.29–76.15), respectively. The pooled diagnostic odds ratio indicated somewhat low accuracy in the detection of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Both positive likelihood ratio and negative likelihood ratio were calculated additionally, with ratios of more than 10 or less than 0.1 indicating high accuracy. The pooled positive likelihood ratio of PET/CT was 5.52, which is not high enough to diagnose local residual or



FIGURE 5. Summary receiver operating characteristic (SROC) curves and Q⁺ index of PET/CT for diagnosis of residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Central line is summary receiver operating characteristic curve, and the 2 lines beside it are 95% CIs. AUC = area under summary receiver operating characteristic curve.

recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. On the other hand, the pooled negative likelihood ratio was 0.11. These data indicate that a negative PET/CT result alone does not justify ruling out local residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. The Deek funnel plot (Fig. 2) found no significant publication bias (P > 0.05). All the new data make the metaanalysis more comprehensive and provide convincing clinical reference value.

Our metaanalysis had some shortcomings. Exclusion of reviews, conference papers, and letters might have produced a publication bias. Lack of conformity in the reference standard, follow-up time, and other important variables might have af-

fected diagnosis. Because most of the studies were retrospective, there is the potential that the interpreters knew the results of the other modalities before assessing the PET/CT results. Finally, we had only 2 subgroup analyses for PET, and we did not analyze the median time to posttreatment ¹⁸F-FDG PET and follow-up time because of the limited number of studies.

CONCLUSION

PET/CT has high sensitivity and specificity, but significant heterogeneity, in the diagnosis of local residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy and is useful for clinical treatment decisions.

DISCLOSURE

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. Therefore, and solely to indicate this fact, this article is hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734. No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

- Wei KR, Zheng RS, Zhang SW, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma incidence and mortality in China in 2010. *Chinese J Cancer.* 2014;33:381–387.
- Lee AW, Poon YF, Foo W, et al. Retrospective analysis of 5037 patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma treated during 1976-1985: overall survival and patterns of failure. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 1992;23:261–270.
- Farias TP, Dias FL, Lima RA, et al. Prognostic factors and outcome for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003;129:794–799.
- Shen T, Tang LQ, Luo DH, et al. Different prognostic values of plasma Epstein-Barr virus DNA and maximal standardized uptake value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with recurrence. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0122756.
- Comoretto M, Balestreri L, Borsatti E, et al. Detection and restaging of residual and/or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma after chemotherapy and radiation therapy: comparison of MR imaging and FDG PET/CT. *Radiology*. 2008;249:203–211.
- Ng SH, Wan YL, Ko SF, et al. MRI of nasopharyngeal carcinoma with emphasis on relationship to radiotherapy. J Magn Reson Imaging. 1998;8:327–336.
- Ng SH, Chang JT, Ko SF, et al. MRI in recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Neuroradiology*. 1999;41:855–862.
- Chong VF, Fan YF. Detection of recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma: MR imaging versus CT. *Radiology*. 1997;202:463–470.
- Kao CH, Tsai SC, Wang JJ, et al. Comparing 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography with a combination of technetium 99m tetrofosmin single photon emission computed tomography and computed tomography to detect recurrent or persistent nasopharyngeal carcinomas after radiotherapy. *Cancer.* 2001;92:434–439.
- Schmidt M, Schmalenbach M, Jungehulsing M, et al. F-18-FDG PET for detecting recurrent head and neck cancer, local lymph node involvement and distant metastases: comparison of qualitative visual and semiquantitative analysis. *Nuklearmedizin.* 2004;43:91–101.

- Yu DF, Zuo CT, Dai JZ, et al. Application of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT scan in followingup of nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy [in Chinese]. *Chinese J Cancer.* 2004;23(11 suppl):1538–1541.
- Shen G, Zhou L, Jia Z, Zhang W, Wang Q, Deng H. Meta-analysis of PET/CT for diagnosis of residual/recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma [in Chinese]. J Clin Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2015;29:61–67.
- Whiting PF, Weswood ME, Rutjes AWS, et al. Evaluation of QUADAS, a tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2006;6:9
- Al-Amro A, Saleem M, Bakheet S, et al. The role of 18-FDG positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) in detecting post-radiotherapy loco regional relapse/ residual disease in nasopharyngeal cancer. J Egypt Natl Canc Inst. 2009;21:279–285.
- Chan SC, Ng SH, Chang JT, et al. Advantages and pitfalls of ¹⁸F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography in detecting locally residual or recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma: comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2006;33:1032–1040.
- Kao CH, Shiau YC, Shen YY, et al. Detection of recurrent or persistent nasopharyngeal carcinomas after radiotherapy with technetium-99m methoxyisobutylisonitrile single photon emission computed tomography and computed tomography: comparison with 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography. *Cancer.* 2002;94:1981–1986.
- Ng SH, Chan SC, Yen TC, et al. Comprehensive imaging of residual/recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma using whole-body MRI at 3 T compared with FDG-PET-CT. *Eur Radiol.* 2010;20:2229–2240.
- Ng SH, Joseph CT, Chan SC, et al. Clinical usefulness of ¹⁸F-FDG PET in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients with questionable MRI findings for recurrence. *J Nucl Med.* 2004;45:1669–1676.
- Peng N, Yen S, Liu W, et al. Evaluation of the effect of radiation therapy to nasopharyngeal carcinoma by positron emission tomography with 2-[F-18]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose. *Clin Positron Imaging*. 2000;3:51–56.
- Tsai MH, Shiau YC, Kao CH, et al. Detection of recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinomas with positron emission tomography using 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose in patients with indeterminate magnetic resonance imaging findings after radiotherapy. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2002;128:279–282.
- Yen RF, Hong RL, Tzen KY, et al. Whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET in recurrent or metastatic nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Nucl Med. 2005;46:770–774.
- Yen RF, Hung RL, Pan MH, et al. 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in detecting residual/recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinomas and comparison with magnetic resonance imaging. *Cancer*. 2003;98:283–287.
- Yen RF, Ting LL, Cheng MF, et al. Usefulness of ²⁰¹Tl SPECT/CT relative to ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in detecting recurrent skull base nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck.* 2009;31:717–724.
- He FY, Wang YJ, Yu FW. 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in the diagnosis of head and neck tumor [in Chinese]. J Clin Otorhinolaryngol. 2004;18:355–357.

- Huang SC, Qing ZJ, Ma JQ, et al. Value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET in clinical follow-up of nasopharyngeal carcinoma after therapy. *Guangxi Med J.* 2012;34:287–289.
- Lin YR, Chen YR, Li WX, Value of FDG-PET in detecting recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy. J Practical Med. 2005;21:2269–2270.
- Lu YY. Value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in detecting early recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy [in Chinese]. *Jiangsu Med J.* 2014.
- Luo YW, Ma D, Tang AX, et al. Value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET in diagnosing residue or recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Guangdong Med J.* 2005;26:1128– 1130.
- Ma M, Du XD. PET-CT and EBV-DNA in prediction of the recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Chin Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2013;20:21–23.
- Ma XM, Ye M, Chen HY, et al. Diagnostic value of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) post-radiation with skull base recurrence. *J Chinese Oncol.* 2013;19:175– 178.
- Pang QS, Wang J, Dai D, et al. Value of ¹⁸F-FDG PET-CT after radiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Chin J Radiat Oncol.* 2007;16:411–415.
- Tian YL, Lan XL, Wu ZJ, et al. Efficacy of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in diagnosis and prognosis for nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients during follow-up after comprehensive therapy. *Chin J Med Imaging Technol.* 2013;29:349–353.
- 33. Wu DH, Chen LH. Clinical value of 18-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in detecting recurrent nasopharyngeal carcinoma at the parapharyngeal space after radiotherapy [in Chinese]. Di Yi Jun Yi Da Xue Xue Bao. 2003;23:159–161.
- Chen YR, Gu MX, Li WX, et al. Detection of residue of nasopharyngeal carcinoma with FDG PET [in Chinese]. *Chinese J Cancer*. 2002;21:651–653.
- 35. Li WH, Huang XM, Zeng L. Clinical value of ¹⁸FDG-PET in diagnosing and detecting residue or recurrence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma [in Chinese]. Zhonghua Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2007;42:65–66.
- Zheng XK, Chen LH, Wang QS, et al. Influence of [¹⁸F] fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography on salvage treatment decision making for locally persistent nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2006;65:1020–1025.
- Fischbein NJ, Os AA, Caputo GR, et al. Clinical utility of positron emission tomography with ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose in detecting residual/recurrent squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *AJNR*. 1998;19:1189–1196.
- Tahara T, Ichiya Y, Kuwabara Y, et al. High [¹⁸F]-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in abdominal abscesses: a PET study. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1989;13:829– 831.
- Minn H, Aitasalo K, Happonen RP. Detection of cancer recurrence in irradiated mandible using positron emission tomography. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 1993;250: 312–315.
- Schöder H, Yeung HW, Gonen M, et al. Head and neck cancer: clinical usefulness and accuracy of PET/CT image fusion. *Radiology*. 2004;231: 65–72.