

- tumor proliferation index and possible multifaceted implications for personalized clinical management decisions, with focus on PRRT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2014;41:1492–1496.
3. Sowa-Staszczak A, Pach D, Chrzan R, et al. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy as a potential tool for neoadjuvant therapy in patients with inoperable neuroendocrine tumours (NETs). *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2011;38:1669–1674.
  4. Kaemmerer D, Prasad V, Daffner W, et al. Neoadjuvant peptide receptor radionuclide therapy for an inoperable neuroendocrine pancreatic tumor. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2009;15:5867–5870.

**Sandip Basu\***  
**Vikas Ostwal**  
**Rohit Ranade**  
**Dipanjan Panda**

\*Radiation Medicine Centre (BARC)  
Jerbai Wadia Rd.  
Parel, Mumbai 400012, India  
E-mail: drsanb@yahoo.com

Published online Sep. 11, 2014.  
DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.114.146282

## Fatty Acids as a Concept for Probes in Cardiologic PET/MR Imaging

**TO THE EDITOR:** The June supplemental issue of *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine* reflects and enhances skillful developments in the merging of PET and MR imaging according to the existing status of qualified use. That, in particular, holds for cardiology, as Osman Ratib and René Nkoulou present applications, potential, and the need for PET/MR imaging with the challenging aim of having not just a fusion of two imaging systems but a true synergic benefit (1). One masterpiece illustration of the strong and unique power of the PET method in cardiology was a 1999 study by a coeditor of the supplement, Markus Schwaiger, and his group proving the phenomenon of reinnervation in transplanted hearts by use of  $^{11}\text{C}$ -hydroxyephedrine and PET (2). That is one type of PET application by which PET/MR imaging can open new doors in diagnostic cardiology.

Among various important issues, Osman Ratib and René Nkoulou point out the need for methods to assay myocardial viability, for which PET, indeed, offers substantial possibilities. This need can clearly be understood in the case of coronary artery disease, for which localization and qualification of a stenosis are the first diagnostic steps in a line toward deciding therapeutic strategies to normalize blood flow. Yet, the final question is the effect of coronary artery disease and subsequent therapy on the metabolic situation, as the authors characteristically address with the term *viability*, and possible mismatch between perfusion and metabolism, in general, has to be taken into clinical consideration (3).

In that context, we would like to draw attention to the key role of myocardial metabolism in meeting the energy demand of the working heart. This role offers an approach for assaying the viability of the heart muscle. Fatty acids are the main source of energy for metabolism, meeting the instantaneous demand for energy by the myocardium by producing adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as the general fuel for all metabolic reactions and

physiologic functions within the organ. In myocardium, ATP is stored in only small amounts, if at all, and therefore has to be formed instantaneously when needed. Within the metabolic turnover, one molecule of palmitic acid results in the formation of 131 molecules of ATP. In the case of glucose, as the second substrate for energy supply, 36 molecules of ATP are formed. Therefore, fatty acids offer the basis for valuable PET probes to assay myocardial viability, and in particular, PET/MR imaging now appears to pave new ways in cardiology by integrating the tracer method using fatty acids labeled with PET radionuclides.

This letter also is being written to recall work with aliphatic and phenyl fatty acids that were radioiodinated and applied in experimental and clinical studies. Both groups of compounds can be prepared with PET radionuclides.  $^{11}\text{C}$ -palmitic acid is considered to exhibit some logistic disadvantages; in contrast, 16- $^{18}\text{F}$ -palmitic acid is readily obtained by standard radiofluorination protocols and, most interestingly, is known to have a physiologic behavior similar to that of  $^{11}\text{C}$ -palmitic acid despite the fluoride substituent (4). 15-phenylpentadecanoic acid (PPA) radioiodinated at the benzene ring is efficiently labeled by  $^{11}\text{C}$  (5). The beneficial aspect of PPA is that  $\beta$ -oxidation results in the release of labeled benzoic acid, which can be used for quantification of the oxidative degradation (6). In the past, those structures were modified by various substitutions or insertions into the carbon chain to block or delay metabolic turnover, as blocking was thought to be advantageous just for SPECT imaging (7). Radioiodinated PPA was experimentally shown to have a metabolic pathway resembling that of palmitic acid. Most important, among various clinical applications iodophenylpentadecanoic acid was proven to normalize energy metabolism after revascularization (8). Furthermore, a modeling approach allows quantification, which may be useful in other therapeutic strategies (9). PPA allows direct tracing of both essential metabolic paths: oxidative turnover within  $\beta$ -oxidation and storage in lipids and glycerides.

Because of their general role in energy metabolism, fatty acids do not compete with  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG as probes for myocardial viability. Although  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG has a role as a PET probe in cardiology, Osman Ratib and René Nkoulou correctly remind us to the limitations of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -FDG as a PET probe.

In summary, fatty acids labeled with PET radionuclides can be considered valuable probes for assay of myocardial viability and may represent a strong tool when PET is merged with cardiologic applications of MR imaging.

## REFERENCES

1. Ratib O, Nkoulou R. Potential applications of PET/MR imaging in cardiology. *J Nucl Med*. 2014;55(suppl):40S–46S.
2. Bengel FM, Ueberfuhr P, Ziegler S, Nekolla S, Reichart B, Schwaiger M. Serial assessment of sympathetic reinnervation after orthotopic heart transplantation. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1866–1871.
3. Machulla HJ. Carrier-mediated transport of fatty acids causes mismatch between measurements of perfusion and fatty acid metabolism in the myocardium [letter]. *J Nucl Med*. 1996;15:547.
4. Cai L, Lu S, Pike VW. Chemistry with  $^{18}\text{F}$ fluoride ion. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2008; (17):2853–2873.
5. Al-Momani E, Zlatopolskiy BD, Solbach C, Reske SN, Machulla HJ. Synthesis of 15-(4- $^{11}\text{C}$ )-methylphenylpentadecanoic acid (MePPA) via Stille cross-coupling reaction. *J Radioanal Nucl Chem*. 2011;288:881–886.
6. Machulla HJ, Knust EJ, Vyska K. Radioiodinated fatty acids for cardiologic diagnosis. *Int J Rad Appl Instrum A*. 1986;37:777–788.
7. Duivenvoorden R, Fayed ZA. Molecular imaging: measuring myocardial fatty acid metabolism with BMIPP SPECT. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2010;7:672–673.
8. Vyska K, Machulla HJ, Stremmel W, et al. Regional myocardial fatty acid extraction in normal and ischemic myocardium. *Circulation*. 1988;78:1218–1233.

**Hans-Jürgen Machulla\***

**Noeen Malik**

\*University of Tuebingen

Ziegelhuettestrasse 46

Tuebingen D-72072, Germany

E-mail: machulla@uni-tuebingen.de

Published online Aug. 25, 2014.  
DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.114.146142

## PET Imaging of Adenosine A<sub>1</sub> Receptor Occupancy

**TO THE EDITOR:** We have recently published 2 articles about the use of <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX (8-dicyclopropylmethyl-1-<sup>11</sup>C-methyl-3-propylxanthine) and PET for measurement of adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor occupancy by nonradioactive agonists and antagonists (1,2). Dose-dependent occupancy of A<sub>1</sub> receptors in the rodent brain by antagonists (caffeine, DPCPX [1,3-dipropyl-8-cyclopentyl xanthine]) could be assessed, but administration of an exogenous agonist (CPA [<sup>N</sup>6-cyclopentyladenosine]) or raising the levels of endogenous adenosine by treating animals with ethanol and the adenosine kinase inhibitor ABT-702 (4-amino-5-(3-bromophenyl)-7-(6-morpholino-pyridin-3-yl)pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine) did not result in measurable competition of agonist and tracer. A paradoxical increase of tracer uptake was observed under these conditions. Kinetic modeling of the PET data suggested that there was an increase in tracer binding potential rather than in tracer delivery to the brain or passage of the tracer across the blood–brain barrier. This phenomenon was unexpected and could not be explained.

At the recent Purines 2014 meeting in Bonn, a possible explanation was offered by Drs. Andreas Bauer (Düsseldorf, Germany) and Renata Ciccarelli (Chieti, Italy). These experts pointed out that many ligands for adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors that initially were considered antagonists are in fact inverse agonists. Inverse agonism has been proven for WRC-0571 (8-(*N*-methylisopropyl)amino-*N*6-(5'-endohydroxy-endonorbonyl)-9-methyladenine) (3), CGS-15943 (9-chloro-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-*c*]quinazolin-5-amine) (3), DPCPX (3), and CFPFX (8-cyclopentyl-(3-(3-fluoropropyl)-1-propylxanthine) (4). The last 2 ligands are structurally similar to our tracer, MPDX.

Inverse agonists such as DPCPX display a high affinity for the uncoupled, or inactive, state of the A<sub>1</sub> receptor and a lower affinity for the G-protein–coupled state (5). Paradoxical increases in <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX binding in the rodent brain on administration of CPA or treatment of rats with ethanol and adenosine kinase inhibitor may therefore be explained in the following way: agonists (such as CPA or adenosine) increase the fraction of A<sub>1</sub> receptors in the uncoupled state, because the ternary complex consisting of agonist, activated receptor, and G-protein is not stable in living tissue. Guanosine triphosphate binding results in decoupling of the

G-protein from the complex and relaxation of the receptor to the inactive conformation, possessing low affinity for the agonist but high affinity for an inverse agonist such as <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX. Thus, the binding potential for <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX is increased after administration of a pharmacologic dose of an agonist. An increase (23%–55%) similar to that we observed for <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX binding in the rodent brain after agonist treatment was seen for <sup>3</sup>H-DPCPX binding in human brain slices on the addition of guanosine triphosphate (6).

This hypothesized explanation of our PET findings could be tested by radiolabeling a neutral antagonist and repeating the experiments with that tracer. Binding of an antagonist tracer should not be increased in the presence of an excess of agonist, in contrast to binding of an inverse agonist such as <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX or <sup>18</sup>F-CFPFX. To achieve this goal, a neutral antagonist with nanomolar affinity should be developed that is amenable to labeling (7). It may also be possible to use the nonxanthine PET tracer <sup>11</sup>C-FR194921 (<sup>11</sup>C-2-(1-methyl-4-piperidinyl)-6-(2-phenylpyrazolo[1,5-*a*]pyridin-3-yl)-3(2H)-pyridazinone) (8).

## REFERENCES

1. Paul S, Khanapur S, Rybczynska AA, et al. Small-animal PET study of adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors in rat brain: blocking receptors and raising extracellular adenosine. *J Nucl Med*. 2011;52:1293–1300.
2. Paul S, Khanapur S, Sijbesma JW, et al. Use of <sup>11</sup>C-MPDX and PET to study adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor occupancy by nonradioactive agonists and antagonists. *J Nucl Med*. 2014;55:315–320.
3. Shryock JC, Ozeck MJ, Belardinelli L. Inverse agonists and neutral antagonists of recombinant human A<sub>1</sub> adenosine receptors stably expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *Mol Pharmacol*. 1998;53:886–893.
4. Elmenhorst D, Garibotto V, Prescher A, Bauer A. Adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors in human brain and transfected CHO cells: inhibition of [<sup>3</sup>H]CFPFX binding by adenosine and caffeine. *Neurosci Lett*. 2011;487:415–420.
5. Lane JR, Klaasse E, Lin J, et al. Characterization of [<sup>3</sup>H]LUF5834: a novel non-ribose high-affinity agonist radioligand for the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2010;80:1180–1189.
6. Kull B, Svenningsson P, Hall H, Fredholm BB. GTP differentially affects antagonist radioligand binding to adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in human brain. *Neuropharmacology*. 2000;39:2374–2380.
7. de Ligt RA, van der Klein PA, von Frijtag Drabbe Künzel JK, et al. Synthesis and biological evaluation of disubstituted N<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenine analogues: the search for a neutral antagonist with high affinity for the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2004;12:139–149.
8. Matsuya T, Takamatsu H, Murakami Y, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of [<sup>11</sup>C]FR194921 as a nonxanthine-type PET tracer for adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors in the brain. *Nucl Med Biol*. 2005;32:837–844.

**Soumen Paul**

**Shivashankar Khanapur**

**Philip H. Elsinga**

**Kiichi Ishiwata**

**Peter Meerlo**

**Rudi Dierckx**

**Aren van Waarde\***

\*University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen

Hanzeplein 1, P.O. Box 30001

9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

Published online Oct. 9, 2014.  
DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.114.147736