

lution for surgically pertinent information on the anatomic relationship between the tumor and adjacent vital organ structures—information that is crucial for the planning of complicated surgical procedures. If performed at individual centers with expertise, high-quality MR imaging may have the potential to replace or equate with traditional enhanced CT scanning.

Because of the low sensitivity of ^{18}F -FDG PET for the detection of small and well-differentiated HCC, we have reservations on the use of integrated PET/MR imaging in the future for the selected population of our transplant study. MR imaging is more prone to respiratory averaging effects than CT for small lesions. Its uptake-clearance curve generated from dynamic hepatobiliary contrast agents is quite dependent on the region of interest drawn around the small HCC lesions and is easily obscured or affected by the surrounding cirrhotic background. Although PET/MR imaging is still at the investigational stage, we, as surgeons, are open-minded with regard to any objective data that are ultimately proven useful for patient selection and management.

In conclusion, different imaging modalities have their own limitations and advantages. At our tertiary referral center that manages more than 300 new cases of HCC per year, we believe that dual-tracer PET/CT technique plays a vital supplementary role in the clinical management of our patients with HCC and cirrhosis.

REFERENCES

1. Cheung TT, Ho CL, Lo CM, et al. ^{11}C -acetate and ^{18}F -FDG PET/CT for clinical staging and selection of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma for liver transplantation on the basis of Milan criteria: surgeon's perspective. *J Nucl Med.* 2013;54:192–200.
2. Ho CL, Yu SC, Yeung DW. ^{11}C -acetate PET imaging in hepatocellular carcinoma and other liver masses. *J Nucl Med.* 2003;44:213–221.
3. Ho CL, Chen S, Yeung DW, Cheng TK. Dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in evaluation of metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2007;48:902–909.
4. Cheung TT, Chan SC, Ho CL, et al. Can positron emission tomography with the dual tracers ^{11}C acetate and ^{18}F fludeoxyglucose predict microvascular invasion in hepatocellular carcinoma? *Liver Transpl.* 2011;17:1218–1225.
5. Ho CL, Chen SR, Cheng TK, Leung YL. PET/CT characteristics of isolated bone metastases in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Radiology.* 2011;258:515–523.
6. Bruix J, Sherman M; American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Management of hepatocellular carcinoma: an update. *Hepatology.* 2011;53:1020–1022.
7. Forner A, Vilana R, Ayuso C, et al. Diagnosis of hepatic nodules 20 mm or smaller in cirrhosis: prospective validation of the noninvasive diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology.* 2008;47:97–104.
8. Di Martino M, De Filippis G, De Santis A, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhotic patients: prospective comparison of US, CT and MR imaging. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23:887–896.

Tan To Cheung*

Chi Lai Ho

Sirong Chen

See Ching Chan

Ronnie T.P. Poon

Sheung Tat Fan

Chung Mau Lo

*Queen Mary Hospital

University of Hong Kong

102 Pokfulam Rd.,

Hong Kong, Hong Kong

E-mail: tantocheung@hotmail.com

Published online Jul. 5, 2013.

DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.113.126185

Erratum

In the article “Mapping of Lymphatic Drainage from the Prostate Using Filtered $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -Sulfur Nanocolloid and SPECT/CT,” by Seo et al. (*J Nucl Med.* 2011;52:1068–1072), the unit of measure for the absorbed dose estimates in Table 1 should have been $\mu\text{Sv}/\text{MBq}$, not mSv/MBq . The authors regret the error.