Task- Versus Amphetamine-Induced Displacement of High-Affinity $D_{2/3}$ Receptor Ligands

TO THE EDITOR: We have read with great interest a recent article by Ceccarini et al. (1). In their study, the authors evaluated the sensitivity of a single PET scan with the high-affinity dopamine D_{2/3} receptor ligand ¹⁸F-fallypride to reward-induced dopamine release. Ceccarini et al. concluded that striatal and extrastriatal dopamine release can be measured using a single ¹⁸F-fallypride PET scan, if the timing and peak magnitude of the dopamine release are appropriate. Furthermore, their human PET and simulation experiments suggested that performance of a reward learning task during the scan, that is, during the interval in which radioligand binding occurs, induced displacement of the ligand in extrastriatal regions of the reward circuit, notably in the orbitofrontal cortex. These findings are generally in line with existing, albeit limited, literature on this theme; the report by Ceccarini et al. is of particular interest, being one of the first examining the dependence of competition on timing and magnitude of the endogenous dopamine release in the cerebral cortex.

The question of whether dopamine release can be captured in vivo is the theme of the well-established competition paradigm, notably on pharmacologic challenge with amphetamine. Indeed, amphetamine is a powerful releaser of dopamine, with a dosedependent action that seems ideally suited to examine the sensitivity of radioligand binding to changes in endogenous dopamine concentration. Whereas amphetamine challenge evoked a reduction in ¹⁸F-fallypride binding in striatum of anesthetized mice (2) and likewise in awake humans (3), the evidence is substantially less compelling for extrastriatal binding sites of ¹⁸Ffallypride (4). Similarly, amphetamine challenge evoked only 5%-10% declines in the local cortical binding of the alternate high-affinity dopamine D_{2/3} ligand ¹¹C-FLB 457 (5), whereas the same research group had earlier reported that a working memory task evoked widespread 10%-15% reductions in cortical binding of that ligand (6). In view of the well-known behavioral and physiologic effects of amphetamine, one might expect that amphetamine challenge should provoke a greater dopamine release than occurs during a cognitive task. Thus, it remains to be established how performing a cognitive task might evoke a greater or more prolonged decline in the availability of cortical dopamine $D_{2/3}$ receptors than can be evoked by amphetamine. This same reservation seems relevant to the observations of reward/learningdependent ¹⁸F-fallypride binding changes now reported by Ceccarini et al. Given that benzamide binding in living brain is influenced by changes in cerebral blood flow (7) and dependent on global perfusion (8), and in consideration that the blood oxygen level-dependent signal in orbitofrontal cortex is altered during reward processing (9), have the authors considered that their observations with ¹⁸F-fallypride PET might be vulnerable to confounds arising from altered cerebral perfusion? We suggest that this consideration may call for systematic preclinical investigation of the effects of focally altered cerebral perfusion,

as may occur during performance of cognitive tasks, on cortical $^{18}\mathrm{F}\textsc{-}$ fallypride binding.

REFERENCES

- Ceccarini J, Vrieze E, Koole M, et al. Optimized in vivo detection of dopamine release using ¹⁸F-fallypride PET. J Nucl Med. 2012;53:1565–1572.
- Rominger A, Wagner E, Mille E, et al. Endogenous competition against binding of [¹⁸F]DMFP and [¹⁸F]fallypride to dopamine D_{2/3} receptors in brain of living mouse. *Synapse*. 2010;64:313–322.
- Slifstein M, Kegeles LS, Xu X, et al. Striatal and extrastriatal dopamine release measured with PET and [¹⁸F] fallypride. *Synapse*. 2010;64:350–362.
- 4. Narendran R, Frankle WG, Mason NS, et al. Positron emission tomography imaging of amphetamine-induced dopamine release in the human cortex: a comparative evaluation of the high affinity dopamine D2/3 radiotracers [¹¹C]FLB 457 and [¹¹C]fallypride. *Synapse*. 2009;63:447–461.
- Aalto S, Hirvonen J, Kaasinen V, et al. The effects of d-amphetamine on extrastriatal dopamine D2/D3 receptors: a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled PET study with [¹¹C]FLB 457 in healthy subjects. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2009;36:475–483.
- Aalto S, Brück A, Laine M, Någren K, Rinne JO. Frontal and temporal dopamine release during working memory and attention tasks in healthy humans: a positron emission tomography study using the high-affinity dopamine D2 receptor ligand [¹¹C]FLB 457. J Neurosci. 2005;25:2471–2477.
- Dagher A, Gunn R, Lockwood G, Cunningham VJ, Grasby PM, Brooks DJ. Measuring neurotransmitter release with PET: methodological issues. In: Carlson R, Herscovitch P, Daube-Withespoon ME, eds. *Quantitative Functional Brain Imaging with Positron Emission Tomography*. San Diego, CA: Academic; 1998:449–454.
- Cumming P, Xiong G, la Fougère C, et al. Surrogate markers for cerebral blood flow correlate with [¹⁸F]-fallypride binding potential at dopamine D_{2/3} receptors in human striatum. *Synapse*. 2013;67:199–203.
- Bellebaum C, Jokisch D, Gizewski ER, Forsting M, Daum I. The neural coding of expected and unexpected monetary performance outcomes: dissociations between active and observational learning. *Behav Brain Res.* 2012;227:241–251.

Igor Yakushev* Stefan Förster Paul Cumming *Department of Nuclear Medicine Klinikum rechts der Isar Technische Universität München Ismaninger Strasse 22 81675 Munich, Germany E-mail: igor.yakushev@lrz.tum.de

Published online Jun. 18, 2013. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.112.117564

REPLY: We read with interest the comments by Yakushev et al. regarding our article (1) examining the dependence of competition on the timing and magnitude of endogenous striatal and extrastriatal dopamine release using a single ¹⁸F-fallypride PET recording and the linearized simplified reference region model (LSSRM) (2).

As they point out correctly, marked changes in regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) can be a potential confounding factor in detecting neurotransmitter release (3). It could thus be hypothesized that the results reported in the in vivo reward task study as part of the article (1) may simply be due to a change in ¹⁸F-fallypride

COPYRIGHT \circledcirc 2013 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Inc.

delivery or tissue efflux and not to competing dopamine release induced by a reward task.

We argue that in this single-injection protocol with the combination of the in vivo kinetics of ¹⁸F-fallypride and the LSSRM approach, it is unlikely that rCBF-related changes would add major perturbations in ligand displacement, also under task paradigm conditions, as was also already stipulated by other simulation studies (2,4).

Although the LSSRM has several advantages by virtue of its requiring only single-day scanning and a single synthesis and administration of the radiochemical, and consequently avoiding session effects, practical implementation of the model implies that possible time-dependent alterations in rCBF are not fully accounted for. Briefly, as described in Equation 2 in the supplemental material of our article (*I*), the LSSRM can account for changes in perfusion via the β -parameter. Implementation of the full model is best suited for estimation of 4 parameters at a time. Attempts to estimate more than 4 parameters (α , β , and γ), translating into unreliable estimates. Therefore, parameters were customarily estimated by fixing $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and estimating the other 4 parameters (R, k_2 , k_{2a} , and γ). This has indeed the potential of biasing the γ -estimate (i.e., ¹⁸F-fallypride displacement).

Previous simulation studies have investigated the effects of transient increased ligand displacement and increased rCBFconsidering possibilities such as proportional increase in transport (K_1) and clearance rate (k_2) so that the distribution volume remained constant—and separate increases in K_1 and k_2 (4). In the case of simultaneous increases, the changes in the PET binding curves are negligible. Increasing K_1 results in an increased tracer uptake with negative γ -estimates. On the other hand, an increase of 10% in k_2 results in significant positive γ -parameters. Therefore, the only possible confounding circumstance causing a positive measurement of γ due to blood flow alterations is an exclusive increase in k_2 . If the permeability/surface product is assumed constant, a 10% increase in K_1 corresponds to a blood flow increase of approximately 30% for a typical flow rate of 0.5 mL/($g \cdot min$) (5). Assuming that the nondisplaceable binding is unaffected by the activation condition, a 10% increase in k_2 would also correspond to a 30% blood flow increase. This magnitude of blood flow increase, however, is not within the expected range during performance of a reward task. Activation perfusion studies with ¹⁵O-H₂O and functional MRI have shown that the increase in orbitofrontal rCBF during performance of a monetary reward task is on the order of few percentage points (6-8). Nevertheless, simulations of the activation state have demonstrated that the LSSRM fits the data well even when rCBF-related effects were on the order of 20% (2). This rCBF-related issue was also addressed by Slifstein et al. (5), suggesting that artifactual rCBF-induced changes in measured radioligand binding are minor for ¹⁸F-fallypride.

In addition, the recent findings of Cumming et al. (9) gave preliminary evidence that individual differences in global perfusion (using an image-derived surrogate of mean global cerebral blood flow) may bias the estimation of ¹⁸F-fallypride nondisplaceable binding potential in the striatum but not in low-binding regions (i.e., thalamus and the inferior temporal lobe). Therefore, although rCBF may be a factor influencing the estimation of ¹⁸F-fallypride nondisplaceable binding potential in regions with high receptor concentrations (i.e., striatal regions), this influence should be less important in low-binding regions (i.e., extrastriatal regions), where changes were observed in the monetary reward task study.

We therefore are confident that our observations with ¹⁸F-fallypride and LSSRM are not artifacts of non–receptor-related effects such as altered cerebral perfusion and that the increased radioligand washout k_{2a} in response to reward-induced stimulation actually reflects reduced nondisplaceable binding potential detecting the presence of endogenous dopamine neurotransmission.

REFERENCES

- Ceccarini J, Vrieze E, Koole M, et al. Optimized in vivo detection of dopamine release using ¹⁸F-fallypride PET. J Nucl Med. 2012;53:1565–1572.
- Alpert NM, Badgaiyan RD, Livni E, Fischman AJ. A novel method for noninvasive detection of neuromodulatory changes in specific neurotransmitter systems. *Neuroimage*. 2003;19:1049–1060.
- Dagher A, Gunn R, Lockwood G, Cunningham VJ, Grasby PM, Brooks DJ. Measuring neurotransmitter release with PET: methodological issues. In: Carlson R, Herscovitch P, Daube-Withespoon ME, eds. *Quantitative Functional Brain Imaging* with Positron Emission Tomography. San Diego, CA: Academic; 1998:449–454.
- Christian BT, Lehrer DS, Shi B, et al. Measuring dopamine neuromodulation in the thalamus: using [F-18]fallypride PET to study dopamine release during a spatial attention task. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31:139–152.
- Slifstein M, Narendran R, Hwang DR, et al. Effect of amphetamine on [¹⁸F] fallypride in vivo binding to D₂ receptors in striatal and extrastriatal regions of the primate brain: single bolus and bolus plus constant infusion studies. *Synapse*. 2004;54:46–63.
- Thut G, Schultz W, Roelcke U, et al. Activation of the human brain by monetary reward. *Neuroreport*. 1997;8:1225–1228.
- Knutson B, Fong GW, Adams CM, Varner JL, Hommer D. Dissociation of reward anticipation and outcome with event-related fMRI. *Neuroreport.* 2001;12: 3683–3687.
- Bellebaum C, Jokisch D, Gizewski ER, Forsting M, Daum I. The neural coding of expected and unexpected monetary performance outcomes: dissociations between active and observational learning. *Behav Brain Res.* 2012;227:241–251.
- Cumming P, Xiong G, la Fougère C, et al. Surrogate markers for cerebral blood flow correlate with [¹⁸F]-fallypride binding potential at dopamine D_{2/3} receptors in human striatum. *Synapse.* 2013;67:199–203.

Jenny Ceccarini* Michel Koole Koen Van Laere *University Hospital Leuven Gasthuisberg, Herestraat 49 3000 Leuven, Belgium E-mail: jenny.ceccarini@uz.kuleuven.be

Published online Aug. 1, 2013. DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.113.126193