alveolar type II cells, A549 cell and Hep G2 cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1989;1006:299-310.

- Feldman DA, Rounsifer ME, Charles L, Weinhold PA. CTP:phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase in rat lung: relationship between cytosolic and membrane forms. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1990;1045:49–57.
- Rao RH, Mansbach CM II. Purification and partial characterization of intestinal acid lipase. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1990;1046:19–26.
- Cui Z, Houweling M, Vance DE. Expression of phosphatidylethanolamine Nmethyltransferase-2 in McArdle-RH7777 hepatoma cells inhibits the CDP-choline

pathway for phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis via decreased gene expression of CTP:phosphocholine cytidylyltransferase. *Biochem J.* 1995;312:939–945.

- DeGrado TR, Coleman RE, Wang S, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of ¹⁸Flabeled choline as an oncologic tracer for positron emission tomography: initial findings in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001;61:110–117.
- Abel S, Smuts CM, de Villiers C, Gelderblom WC. Changes in essential fatty acid patterns associated with normal liver regeneration and the progression of hepatocyte nodules in rat hepatocarcinogenesis. *Carcinogenesis*. 2001;22: 795–804.

Errata

In the article "¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for the Prediction and Detection of Local Recurrence After Radiofrequency Ablation of Malignant Lung Lesions," by Singnurkar et al. (*J Nucl Med.* 2010;51:1833–1840), Table 2 contained an error. The corrected table appears below. The authors regret the error.

| TABLE 2 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Local Recurrence According to Pattern of Uptake on | | |
| Post-RFA Scan | | |

| Pattern | Recurrence | Nonrecurrence |
|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Favorable | | |
| Diffuse | 5 | 10 |
| Heterogeneous | 0 | 1 |
| Rim | 3 | 6 |
| Rim plus focal, not corresponding | 1 | 2 |
| Unfavorable | | |
| Focal | 2 | 1 |
| Rim plus focal, corresponding | 8 | 1 |

In the article "Serotonin Transporters in Dopamine Transporter Imaging: A Head-to-Head Comparison of Dopamine Transporter SPECT Radioligands ¹²³I-FP-CIT and ¹²³I-PE2I," by Ziebell et al. (*J Nucl Med.* 2010;51:1885–1891), the third and fourth sentences of the abstract contain errors and should read as follows: "In this study, we compared the SPECT radioligands ¹²³I-2- β -carbomethoxy-3 β -(4-iodophenyl)-*N*-(3-fluoropropyl)nortropane (¹²³I-FP-CIT) and ¹²³I-*N*-(3-iodoprop-2E-enyl)-2- β -carbomethoxy-3 β -(4-methylphenyl)nortropane (¹²³I-PE2I), which has a 10-fold higher selectivity than ¹²³I-FP-CIT for DAT versus SERT." We regret the errors.