

25. Lowe VJ, Mullan BP, Hay ID, McIver B, Kasperbauer JL. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET of patients with Hurthle cell carcinoma. *J Nucl Med.* 2003;44:1402–1406.
26. Plotkin M, Hautzel H, Krause BJ, et al. Implication of 2-18fluor-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography in the follow-up of Hurthle cell thyroid cancer. *Thyroid.* 2002;12:155–161.
27. Downey RJ, Akhurst T, Gonen M, et al. Preoperative F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography maximal standardized uptake value predicts survival after lung cancer resection. *J Clin Oncol.* 2004;22:3255–3260.
28. Vansteenkiste JF, Stroobants SG, Dupont PJ, et al. Prognostic importance of the standardized uptake value on <sup>18</sup>F-fluoro-2-deoxy-glucose-positron emission tomography scan in non-small-cell lung cancer: an analysis of 125 cases—Leuven Lung Cancer Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17:3201–3206.
29. Wong RJ, Lin DT, Schoder H, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of [<sup>18</sup>F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography for recurrent head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:4199–4208.
30. Wang W, Larson SM, Fazzari M, et al. Prognostic value of [<sup>18</sup>F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographic scanning in patients with thyroid cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2000;85:1107–1113.
31. Robbins RJ, Wan Q, Grewal RK, et al. Real-time prognosis for metastatic thyroid carcinoma based on 2-[<sup>18</sup>F]fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose-positron emission tomography scanning. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006;91:498–505.
32. Altman DG. *Practical Statistics for Medical Research.* 1st ed. New York, NY: Chapman and Hall; 1992.
33. Beasley NJ, Walfish PG, Witterick I, Freeman JL. Cause of death in patients with well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma. *Laryngoscope.* 2001;111:989–991.

### Erratum

The “Results” section of the article “Dual-Time-Point <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET for the Evaluation of Gallbladder Carcinoma” by Nishiyama et al. (*J Nucl Med.* 2006;47:633–638) contained 3 errors. The fifth sentence of the second paragraph should read “Overall, for visual analysis of gallbladder carcinoma, delayed PET improved the diagnosis in 2 patients (6%).” The second sentence of the third paragraph should read “The sensitivity of diagnosis of gallbladder carcinoma using semiquantitative analysis of PET images was improved for delayed imaging and combined early and delayed imaging—in 3 patients (13%) and 4 patients (17%), respectively.” The second sentence of the fourth paragraph should read “CRP levels were measured in all patients except two.”