Erratum

Due to a production error, Tables 1 and 3 in the article "Technetium-99m-ECD Brain SPECT in Misery Perfusion" by Shimosegawa et al. (*JNM* 1997;38:791–796) were printed incorrectly. The tables are reprinted correctly here.

TABLE 1
Summary of Patient Data

Patient no.	Age (yr)	Sex	Angiographical finding	Main symptom	Interval from initial attack to SPECT-PET study (days)
1	69	M	rt. MCA occlusion	Hemiparesis	48
2	67	M	rt. MCA occlusion	Dysarthria	59
3	72	M	rt. ICA stenosis	Hemiparesis	65
4	59	M	rt. ICA occlusion	Hemiparesis	140
5	47	F	rt. MCA occlusion	Dysarthria	19
6	43	M	rt. MCA stenosis	Hemiparesis	11
7	76	F	lt. MCA stenosis	Dysarthria	101
8	77	F	rt. MCA occlusion*	Hemiparesis	22
9	68	F	lt. MCA occlusion	Numbness	49
10	26	M	rt. ICA occlusion	Hemiparesis	5
11	36	M	rt. ICA occlusion	Dysarthria	12
12	80	M	rt. ICA occlusion*	Gait disturbance	12
Average	60.0 ± 17.0 yr				45.3 ± 39.7 days

*Angiographical findings were obtained by magnetic resonance angiography.

TABLE 3
Relationship between Relative Technetium-99m-ECD Activity and Relative PET Values

SPECT scan time (min)	Patient no.	rCBF		rCMRO$_2$	
		r	Significance	r	Significance
1	24	0.674	$p < 0.001$	0.569	$p < 0.01$
7	24	0.561	$p < 0.01$	0.569	$p < 0.01$
15	22	0.493	$p < 0.05$	0.758	$p < 0.001$
25	20	0.574	$p < 0.01$	0.815	$p < 0.001$
35	22	0.567	$p < 0.01$	0.814	$p < 0.001$
45	24	0.521	$p < 0.01$	0.761	$p < 0.001$
55	18	0.531	$p < 0.05$	0.789	$p < 0.001$

r = correlation coefficient.