Differentiation of Infected from Noninfected Rapidly Progressive Neuropathic Osteoarthropathy
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Differentiation of infected from noninfected rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy can be difficult in a combined bone/111In-leukocyte study. We present two cases: one infected and one not infected. By examining the distribution of the 111In leukocyte activity and the change in the lesion-to-background ratios from the 4-hr to the 24-hr image, it may be possible to determine if the rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy is infected.
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Seabold et al. evaluated 14 patients with rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy (Charcot joints) with a combined bone and 111In-leukocyte study and MRI (1). They concluded that rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy may be indistinguishable from osteomyelitis on both the combined bone/111In-leukocyte study and MRI (1). The following cases provide clues on how this differentiation can be made in clinical practice.

CASE REPORTS

Patient 1

During a 7-mo period, the radiograph of this 34-yr-old diabetic man went from near normal to almost complete destruction of the metacarpal bones. This was interpreted as rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy, but infection was possible. A combined bone/111In-leukocyte study was performed by drawing 43 ml blood into a syringe containing heparin and hetastarch. Following sedimentation, the cells were washed and labeled in saline using 111In-oxine. Imaging was performed on a gamma camera that simultaneously captured and separated the 140-keV 99mTc peak and the 247-keV 111In peak. The 111In-leukocyte image was acquired for 50 kcts or 15 min at 4 and 24 hr postinjection of the 111In-leukocytes. A 10% window at the 140-keV 99mTc peak and a 20% window at the 247-keV 111In peak were used for the 4- and 24-hr images. The bone images and 111In-leukocyte images were photographed on separate sheets of film so that they could be overlaid and compared.

The bone images (not shown) visualized increased activity in the metacarpal region corresponding to the activity seen on the 111In-leukocyte study. The 4- and 24-hr 111In-leukocyte images are presented as Figure 1. The study was interpreted as consistent with neuropathic osteoarthropathy without osteomyelitis. At discharge, the patient's foot pain was felt secondary to fracture without evidence of osteomyelitis. He was discharged without antibiotic therapy. During the next 15 mo, he was admitted to the hospital five times for poorly controlled diabetes. There was no further evaluation for possible osteomyelitis.

Patient 2

During a 6-mo period, the radiographs of this 67-yr-old diabetic woman went from moderate degenerative changes to almost complete destruction of the metacarpal bones. She had cellulitis and foot ulcers that did not respond to antibiotic therapy. Thus, the possibility of osteomyelitis was considered. A combined bone/111In-leukocyte study was performed. The bone images (not shown) visualized increased activity in the metacarpal region corresponding to the activity seen on the 111In-leukocyte study. The 4- and 24-hr 111In-leukocyte images are presented in Figure 2. This study was interpreted as consistent with osteomyelitis as well as neuropathic changes. Amputation below the knee was performed. Surgical pathology confirmed the presence of osteomyelitis.

DISCUSSION

Superficially, these cases appear similar, but subtle differences led to the correct diagnoses. In Figure 1, there is diffuse 111In-leukocyte activity. In addition, the activity in the region of the neuropathic osteoarthropathy compared to the activity in the blood pool (background) decreases from the 4-hr to 24-hr study. The 111In-leukocytes seem to migrate to the neuropathic osteoarthropathy early, then wash away with time. This has been a consistent finding in the six or more cases of uninfected rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy evaluated at our institution. [In the vast majority of cases, the osteoarthropathy is not rapidly progressive and there is no increased uptake of 111In-leukocytes (2)]. The diffuse faint accumulation seen in Figure 1 is similar to that seen in the cases of noninfected rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy reported by Seabold et al. (1).
In Figure 2, the accumulation of $^{111}$In-leukocytes is not faint and diffuse, but rather, there are several focal accumulations of $^{111}$In-leukocytes. In Seabold’s case of infected neuropathic joint, there is a focal intense area of $^{111}$In-leukocyte activity distinctly different from the $^{99m}$Tc-MDP activity (1). More importantly, when the 4- and 24-hr images are compared, there is continued increase in $^{111}$In-leukocyte activity in the midfoot region compared to the blood pool of the foot. Indium-111-leukocytes will continue to migrate into the area of infection over time, causing an increase in the lesion-to-blood pool ratio.

CONCLUSION

While the experience related in this report is limited, there are two clues: the distribution of the activity and the change in lesion-to-background ratios from the 4-hr to 24-hr images, which may help determine when infection is superimposed in rapidly progressive neuropathic osteoarthropathy.
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