

Drs. Martin LeWinter and Roy Ditchey for their helpful review of this manuscript. We are also grateful to Mr. Val R. Wagner of Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for supplying the pentoxifylline and to Dr. Alan Ranhosky of Boehringer-Ingelheim for supplying the injectable dipyridamole.

## REFERENCES

1. Iskandrian AS, Heo J, Askenase A, Segal BL, Auerbach N. Dipyridamole cardiac imaging. *Am Heart J* 1988; 115:432-443.
2. Boucher CA, Brewster DC, Darling RC, Okada RD, Strauss HW, Pohost GM. Determination of cardiac risk by dipyridamole-thallium imaging before peripheral vascular surgery. *N Engl J Med* 1985; 312:389-394.
3. Leppo J, Plaja J, Gionet M, Tumolo J, Paraskos JA, Cutler BS. Noninvasive evaluation of cardiac risk before elective vascular surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1987; 9:269-276.
4. Afonso S. Inhibition of coronary vasodilatory action of dipyridamole and adenosine by aminophylline in the dog. *Circulation Res* 1970; 26:743-752.
5. Kalsner S. Adenosine and dipyridamole actions and interactions on isolated coronary artery strips of cattle. *Br J Pharmacol* 1975; 55:439-445.
6. Kubler W, Spieckermann PA, Bretschneider HJ. Influence of dipyridamole (Persantin) on myocardial adenosine metabolism. *J Moll Cell Cardiol* 1970; 1:23-28.
7. Fredholm BB, Persson CGA. Xanthine derivatives as adenosine receptor antagonists. *Eur J Pharmacol* 1982; 81:673-676.
8. Wu PH, Barraco RA, Phillis JW. Further studies on the inhibition of adenosine uptake into rat brain synaptosomes by adenosine derivatives and methylxanthines. *Ger Pharmacol* 1984; 15:251-4.
9. Dettlebach HR, Aviado DM. Clinical pharmacology of pentoxifylline with special reference to its hemorrheologic effect for the treatment of intermittent claudication. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1985; 25:8-26.
10. Marble AE, McIntyre CM, Hastings-James R, Hor CW. A comparison of digital algorithms used in computing the derivative of left ventricular pressure. *IEEE Trans Biomed Engineering* 1981; 28:524-529.
11. Milliken GA, Johnson DE. *Analysis of messy data, Volume 1: designed experiments*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold; 1984.
12. Glantz SA, Slinker BK. *Primer of applied regression and analysis of variance*. New York: McGraw Hill; 1990.
13. Glantz SA. *Primer of Biostatistics*, 2nd edition. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1987.
14. Hintze TH, Vatner SF. Dipyridamole dilates large coronary arteries in conscious dogs. *Circulation* 1983; 68:1321-1327.
15. Brunag RD, Douglas CR, Imai S, Berne RM. Influence of a pyrimidopyrimidine derivative on deamination of adenosine by blood. *Circulation Res* 1964; 15:83-88.
16. Afonso S, O'Brien GS. Enhancement of coronary vasodilation action of adenosine triphosphate by dipyridamole. *Circulation Res* 1967; 20:403-408.
17. Ings RMJ, Nudenberg F, Burrows JL, Bryce TA. The pharmacokinetics of oxypentiphylline (pentoxifylline) in man when administered by constant intravenous infusion. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 1982; 23:539-43.
18. Bryce TA, Burrows JL. Determination of oxypentiphylline and a metabolite, 1-(5'-hydroxyhexyl)-3,7-dimethylxanthine, by gas-liquid chromatography using a nitrogen-selective detector. *J Chromatog* 1980; 181:355-61.
19. Rieck W, Platt D. Determination of 3,7 dimethyl-1-(5-oxohexyl)-xanthine (pentoxifylline) and its 3,7-dimethyl-1-(5-hydroxyhexyl)-xanthine metabolite in the plasma of patients with multiple diseases using high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatog* 1984; 306:419-427.
20. Maxwell GM. The effects of a new xanthine derivative, 3,7-dimethyl-1-(5-oxohexyl)-xanthine (pentoxifylline) on the general and cardiac haemonamics of the intact animal. *Aust J Biol Med Sci* 1975; 53:265-271.
21. Gould KL. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenoses by myocardial perfusion imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilation. I. Physiologic basis and experimental validation. *Am J Cardiol* 1978; 41:267-278.
22. Gould KL, Wescott RJ, Albro PC, Hamilton GW. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenosis by myocardial imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilatation. II. Clinical methodology and feasibility. *Am J Cardiol* 1978; 41:279-287.
23. Albro PC, Gould KL, Wescott RJ, Hamilton JGW, Williams DL. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenoses by myocardial imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilation. III. Clinical trial. *Am J Cardiol* 1978; 42:751-60.

## Editorial: Pharmacologic Stress with Dipyridamole: How Lazy Can One Be?

Even though dipyridamole is still an investigational drug, its application for pharmacologic stress testing in conjunction with thallium-201 ( $^{201}\text{Tl}$ ) imaging has gained wide acceptance over the last few years (1-6). The diagnostic efficacy of  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging

for detecting significant coronary artery disease (CAD) has been shown to be comparable to treadmill exercise  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  imaging.

Although  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging is often referred to as "pharmacologic stress," this description is not entirely correct. In most instances, no real myocardial stress, resulting in increased metabolic demand, is provoked. The basic principle of  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging is to visualize pharmacologically induced *heterogeneity* of myocardial blood flow (7).

Intravenous administration of dipyridamole blocks

Received Apr. 6, 1990; revision accepted Apr. 6, 1990.

For reprints contact: Frans J. Th. Wackers, MD, Cardiovascular Nuclear Imaging and Exercise Laboratories, Yale University School of Medicine, Dept. of Diagnostic Radiology and Internal Medicine. (Section of Cardiology). New Haven, CT 06510.

the transmembrane transport of adenosine and, in this manner, indirectly increases endogenous plasma adenosine levels. At adenosine receptor sites, adenosine is activated into an extremely potent dilator of the coronary resistance vasculature. Under normal conditions, coronary blood flow is autoregulated to meet myocardial metabolic demand by adjusting peripheral coronary resistance. Usually, only a fraction of maximally available coronary flow is recruited. The remaining amount of recruitable blood flow is commonly referred to as "coronary reserve" (8). In patients without significant CAD, infusion of dipyridamole may increase coronary blood flow three to five times above baseline levels. (It is of interest that during physical exercise coronary blood flow probably only increases two to three times resting levels).

In patients with significant coronary artery stenosis, the resistance vessels are already dilated to a certain degree in order to maintain normal resting flow. When dipyridamole is infused in these patients, no significant further vasodilatation may occur in the diseased vascular bed. However, in adjacent myocardium supplied by normal coronary arteries, near maximal vasodilatation and substantial increase in myocardial blood flow occurs. In this manner, *regional heterogeneity* of myocardial blood flow is created. The territory supplied by diseased arteries is relatively hypoperfused, compared to normal regions. This can be imaged with a gamma camera employing radioactive myocardial flow imaging agents such as  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  or technetium-99m-SESTAMIBI, that accumulate proportional to regional myocardial blood flow.

Not only is  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging comparable to physical exercise for the detection of angiographically significant CAD, abnormal  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole images have similar prognostic clinical value (1, 6, 9–11).

Indications to employ this alternative imaging modality for detection of CAD is in patients who are unable to perform physical exercise because of orthopedic, neurologic, or peripheral vascular problems. Furthermore, patients with CAD on beta-blocking medication who are unable to adequately increase their heart rate by physical exercise, have been studied successfully with dipyridamole.

As outlined above, the basic principle of  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging is to create heterogeneity of coronary blood flow. True ischemia may develop in only a small proportion of patients. Myocardial ischemia can occur when blood flow is shunted away from areas supplied by diseased vessels. The underlying pathophysiologic mechanism may be either reversal of flow through collaterals toward normal regions with high flow, or by shunting of blood away from subendocardial to subepicardial regions (12). These patients have "coronary steal" and may experience chest pain and/or have electrocardiographic ischemic ST-T-segment changes. The

reported incidence of these ischemic side effects varies (1, 4, 6, 13). Chest pain has been reported to occur in ~25% of patients, whereas electrocardiographic changes occur in ~20% of patients. These ischemic episodes usually can be reversed by i.v. aminophylline, which blocks adenosine receptor sites and inhibits adenosine activation. In occasional patients with coronary steal, myocardial ischemia can be severe and prolonged, resulting in pulmonary edema or even myocardial infarction. Overall, the incidence of *serious* side effects is relatively low (<1%).

Extensive clinical experience in thousands of patients has shown that  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging is safe and of considerable diagnostic usefulness (1–6, 9–11, 14–16). Contraindications to perform  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging are few. Patients with severe bronchospastic disease should not be studied because of high risk of provoking acute asthma. As one would assume, unstable angina is a relative contraindication. Nevertheless, some investigators have safely studied patients with unstable angina and recent (4–5 days) myocardial infarction (11, 17). A reasonable rule of thumb is that patients, who are too sick to perform physical exercise, probably should not be studied by dipyridamole infusion.

#### **Interference of Various Drugs**

Various drugs may have an antagonistic effect on the pharmacologic action of dipyridamole and may give false-negative results. Aminophylline, theophylline, and also caffeine block adenosine receptor sites and thus inhibit the vasodilatory effect of dipyridamole. Preliminary clinical studies have shown that patients who took either theophylline or caffeine prior to dipyridamole infusion had significantly less reversible  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  defects, than when they were studied without these substances (18, 19).

In this issue, Brown et al. report the findings in a experimental model on the effect of yet another widely used prescription drug in patients with peripheral arterial disease, pentoxifylline (20). Pentoxifylline (Trental) is a methylxanthine derivative and potential adenosine antagonist. Many patients, referred for  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging, have peripheral arterial disease and may be taking pentoxifylline at the time of study. The work by Brown and coworkers indicates that in experimental animals the vasodilatory effect of dipyridamole is not significantly altered by Trental. Assuming that their experimental findings are fully applicable to patients, these results suggest that this treatment does not need to be discontinued before  $^{201}\text{Tl}$ -dipyridamole imaging.

#### **Can Dipyridamole Infusion Replace Physical Treadmill or Bicycle Exercise?**

Thallium-201-dipyridamole imaging has certain attractive aspects in comparison to  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  imaging in conjunction with physical exercise. No expensive exercise

equipment is needed. The test can be performed on a stretcher in the nuclear medicine department. Infusion of dipyridamole is performed according to a standardized protocol and can be administered to all patients in a similar manner, whereas physical exercise for obvious reasons is variable and may have different end points and workload in different patients. No active patient participation is required for dipyridamole infusion. In fact, the patient is comfortably lying supine on the imaging table during the infusion. Patient motivation does not play an important role in successful dipyridamole imaging, whereas this is important for physical exercise.

Although by and large similar diagnostic *scintigraphic* information is acquired after dipyridamole infusion as after physical exercise, other important physiologic parameters are not available. For instance, the following additional information is useful to the cardiologist for complete evaluation of patients with heart disease:

1. Many patients are referred for evaluation of exertional symptoms. To address the question whether these symptoms represent anginal equivalents, it is important to observe and interrogate a patient during physical exercise. It is helpful to witness provocation of chest discomfort and judge its characteristics. In doing so, a more objective evaluation of the patient's symptoms can be made.
2. The duration and level of exercise is a powerful prognostic indicator (21). Patients who can not complete Stage III of the standard Bruce Protocol have a significantly poorer prognosis than patients who can exercise longer.
3. Furthermore, peak exercise heart rate, the change in heart rate from baseline-to-peak exercise, are of prognostic value (22).
4. The blood pressure response to exercise is of importance (23). A decrease in blood pressure during exercise is a poor prognostic sign, usually indicating severe CAD.
5. Although the exercise electrocardiogram in comparison to  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  imaging is less sensitive and less specific for diagnosing CAD, it nevertheless provides important additional information (24). The more positive the electrocardiogram, with typical horizontal or downsloping ST-T-segment depression, the more likely the patient has significant CAD (25). Exercise-induced ventricular ectopy is an important risk factor for death after acute myocardial infarction (26).

Several studies have demonstrated the incremental diagnostic and prognostic value of various exercise parameters (21, 27). This useful additional information is not available from dipyridamole testing. Therefore, if a patient is capable of performing, even limited, physical

exercise, this is preferable, in comparison to "passive" dipyridamole infusion. One cannot allow a patient to be too lazy when the diagnosis of ischemic heart disease is considered.

Frans J. Th. Wackers  
Yale University School  
of Medicine  
New Haven, Connecticut

## REFERENCES

1. Leppo J. Dipyridamole-thallium imaging: the lazy man's stress test. *J Nucl Med* 1989; 30:281-287.
2. Alboro PC, Gould KL, Westcott RJ, et al. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenoses by myocardial imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilation. III. Clinical trial. *Am J Cardiol* 1978; 42:751-760.
3. Sochor H, Pachinger O, Ogrist E, et al. Radionuclide imaging after coronary vasodilation: myocardial scintigraphy with thallium-201 and radionuclide angiography after administration of dipyridamole. *Eur Heart J* 1984; 5:500-509.
4. Lam JYT, Chaitman BR, Glaenger M, et al. Safety and diagnostic accuracy of dipyridamole-thallium imaging in the elderly. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988; 11:586-589.
5. Demangeat JL, Wolff F. Redistribution du  $^{201}\text{Tl}$  apres scintigraphie myocardique sous dipyridamole: interet dans la detection des stenoses coronariennes et des anomalies cinetiques ventriculaires. *Arch Mal Coeur* 1985; 13:1902-1911.
6. Eagle KA, Strauss HW, Boucher CA. Dipyridamole myocardial perfusion imaging for coronary heart disease. *Am J Card Imag* 1988; 2:292-303.
7. Gould L. Noninvasive assessment of coronary stenosis by myocardial perfusion imaging during pharmacologic coronary vasodilation. *Am J Cardiol* 1978; 41:267-278.
8. Gould KL, Lipscomb K. Effects of coronary stenosis on coronary flow reserve and resistance. *Am J Cardiol* 1974; 34:48-55.
9. Boucher CA, Brewster DC, Darling RC, et al. Determination of cardiac risk by dipyridamole-thallium imaging before peripheral vascular surgery. *N Engl J Med* 1985; 312:382-394.
10. Leppo J, Plaja J, Gionet M, et al. Noninvasive evaluation of cardiac risk before elective vascular surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1987; 9:269-276.
11. Leppo J, O'Brien J, Rothlender J, et al. Dipyridamole-thallium-201 scintigraphy in the prediction of future cardiac events after acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1984; 310:1014-1018.
12. Meerdink DJ, Okada RD, Leppo JA. The effects of dipyridamole on transmural blood flow gradients. *Chest* 1989; 96:400-405.
13. Ranhosky A, Kempthorne-Rawson J, et al. The safety of intravenous dipyridamole thallium myocardial perfusion imaging. *Circulation* 1990; S1:1205-1209.
14. Lane SE, Lewis SM, Pippin JJ, et al. Predictive value of Quantitative dipyridamole-thallium scintigraphy in assessing cardiovascular risk after vascular surgery in diabetes mellitus. *Am J Cardiol* 1989; 64:1275-1279.
15. Gimple LW, Hutter AM, Guiney TE, et al. Prognostic utility of predischARGE dipyridamole-thallium imaging compared to predischARGE submaximal exercise electrocardiography and maximal exercise thallium imaging after uncomplicated acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1989; 64:1243-1248.
16. Borges-Neto S, Mahmarian JJ, Jain A, et al. Quantitative thallium-201 single photon emission computed tomography

- after oral dipyridamole for assessing the presence, anatomic location and severity of coronary artery disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1988; 11:962-969.
17. Francisco D, Ehrhardt J, Collins S, et al. The value of dipyridamole-thallium 201 scintigraphy in patients with unstable angina [Abstract]. *Circulation* 1989; 62:(suppl III)142.
  18. Afonso S. Inhibition of coronary vasodilating action of dipyridamole and adenosine by aminophylline in the dog. *Circulation Research* 1970; 16:743-752.
  19. Smits P, Aengevaeren WRM, Corstens, FH, et al. Caffeine reduces dipyridamole-induced myocardial ischemia. *J Nucl Med* 1989; 30:1723-1726.
  20. Brown KA, Slinker, BK. Pentoxifylline (Trental) does not inhibit dipyridamole-induced coronary hyperemia: Implications for dipyridamole-thallium-201 myocardial imaging. *J Nucl Med* 1990; 31:1020-1024.
  21. Abbott RD, Levy D, Kannel WB, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and graded treadmill exercise endurance in healthy adults: The Framingham offspring study. *Am J Cardiol* 1989; 63:342-346.
  22. Kaul S, Lilly DR, Gascho JA, et al. Prognostic utility of the exercise thallium-201 test in ambulatory patients with chest pain: comparison with cardiac catheterization. *Circulation* 1988; 77:745-758.
  23. Mazzotta G, Scopinaro G, Falcidieno M, et al. Significance of abnormal blood pressure response during exercise-induced myocardial dysfunction after recent acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1987; 59:1256-1260.
  24. Goldschlager N, Selzer A, Cohn K. Treadmill stress tests as indicators of presence and severity of coronary artery disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1976; 85:277-286.
  25. Swahn E, Areskog M, Berglund U, et al. Predictive importance of clinical findings and a predischARGE exercise test in patients with suspected unstable coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1987; 59:208-214.
  26. Henry RL, Kennedy GT, Crawford MH. Prognostic value of exercise-induced ventricular ectopic activity for mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol* 1987; 59:1251-1255.
  27. Chaitman BR. The changing role of the exercise electrocardiogram as a diagnostic and prognostic test for chronic ischemic heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1986; 8:1195-1210.