

Official Publication of The Society of Nuclear Medicine

THE JOURNAL OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE (ISSN 0161-5505) is published monthly by The Society of Nuclear Medicine, Inc., 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760. Second Class Postage paid at New York, NY and additional mailing offices. Postmaster, send address changes to The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760.

EDITORIAL COMMUNICATIONS should be sent to the Editor: H. William Strauss, MD, The Journal of Nuclear Medicine, Room 5406 MGH-East, Bldg. 149, 13th St., Charlestown, MA 02129 (617) 726-5786. Books and monographs covering the use of nuclear medicine and its allied disciplines will be reviewed as space is available. Send review copies to the Editor.

BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS concerning advertising, subscriptions, change of address, and permission requests should be sent to the publisher, The Society of Nuclear Medicine, 136 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016-6760 (212)889-0717. Advertisements are subject to editorial approval and are restricted to products or services pertinent to nuclear medicine. Advertising rates are available from the publisher. Closing date is the first of the month preceding the date of issue.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES for 1990 calendar year are \$120 within the United States; \$130 for Canada and Pan American countries; \$160 elsewhere. Student subscriptions are \$70 (with proof of student status). Single copies \$10.00; foreign \$11.00; convention issue (May) \$12.00; foreign \$13.00. Make checks payable, in U.S. dollars drawn on U.S. banks, to The Society of Nuclear Medicine. Notify the Society of change of address and telephone number at least 30 days before date of issue by sending both the old and new addresses.

COPYRIGHT © 1990 by The Society of Nuclear Medicine, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or translated without permission from the copyright owner. Because the copyright on articles published in *The Journal of Nuclear Medicine* is held by the Society, each author of accepted manuscripts must sign a statement transferring copyright. See Information for Authors for further explanation, which appears on the second page preceding the Calendar.

Randoms

The Glowing Ghost

He's our patron saint, by default.

In the early days of nuclear medicine, the glowing ghost was the imaginative response of the uninformed to the latest scientific breakthroughs. In the 1930s, when radioiodine was first used to measure the rate of iodine uptake in the thyroid, the concept of an "atomic cocktail" was promulgated and was greeted with fascination and enthusiam. Since this popular misconception was a positive one, proponents of these methods made no effort to correct this impression.

While the glowing ghost and the atomic cocktail seemed harmless enough two decades ago, they now represent sinister characters that define the lay public's opinion of our field.

The glowing ghost has become an assertive poltergeist. How else can we explain the strange contradiction of the patient eager to undergo the procedure that will help to characterize his illness, while across the hallway, a hospital employee refuses to care for the patient who is "radioactive."

In the absence of facts, the 'glowing ghost' rules supreme.

As part of their professional education, health care workers are taught the appropriate procedures for managing patients harboring contagious organisms, aggressive malignancies, and a variety of other maladies. These same individuals have minimal exposure to radiobiology and radiation protection. As a result, in every hospital, there are nurses who refuse to care for patients undergoing diagnostic radionuclide procedures; ultrasound technologists who will not do a procedure on a patient injected for a bone scan; x-ray technologists who claim that patients injected with radionuclides fog their films; and even nuclear medicine technologists who refuse to participate in PET imaging because of radiation exposure from positrons.

In the vacuum created by limited formal training on radiation and radiobiology in our schools, the ghost slips in; in the face of the largely uncontradicted and extremely negative press concerning radiation-related issues, the ghost burns brightly; and in the absence of any organized program to deal with the real hazards of hazardous waste it is no wonder that his star is once again, on the rise.

It's time to exorcise the creature.

To overcome the accumulation of misconceptions, outright fear and prejudice, aggressive, ongoing programs of education on radiobiology and relative risk are required. Since most hospitals are a "passing parade," with continuous staff turnover, we should expect that yesterday's lecture was never heard by today's personnel.

Our efforts must range from one-on-one, chance discussions between colleagues to making sure that every cycle of in-service education offered by our institutions includes a lecture/discussion on the facts and fiction of nuclear medicine.

H. William Strauss, MD Editor, The Journal of Nuclear Medicine

Randoms 3A