Diagnosis of Alzheimer’s Disease and Multiple Infarct Dementia by Tomographic Imaging of Iodine-123 IMP


Departments of Nuclear Medicine, Neurology, and Psychiatry, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Sepulveda; and UCLA School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California

Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with $[^{18}F]$-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) or oxygen-15 are the first imaging procedures to provide an accurate diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and multiple infarct dementia (MID) (1–5). The limited availability of PET facilities restrict their clinical application. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging of the distribution of iodine-123 ($^{123}$I) N-isopropyl p-iodoamphetamine (IMP) may also provide an accurate test for the differential diagnosis of dementia and is more clinically available (6–7). We are currently pursuing this line of investigation with a rotating gamma camera, but initial studies suggest that limited angle tomography with $[^{123}]$IMP has a definite clinical role in the differential diagnosis of dementia. We report the results obtained with a scintillation camera and a rotating slant hole collimator using IMP labeled with high purity (p,5n) $^{123}$I in a group of normal subjects and patients with either AD or MID.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects were normal volunteers (n = 6) or ambulatory patients with AD (n = 5) or MID (n = 3). All patients had EEGs, CAT scan, and appropriate laboratory evaluations to rule out other causes of dementia. The clinical diagnosis was made after neurologic and psychiatric evaluation. The diagnosis of MID was based on a clinical history of strokes with physical and/or CAT scan evidence of stroke and a high score on the Hachinski scale. The diagnosis of probable AD was based on the criteria of McKhann et al. (8). No attempt was made to use age-matched controls in this limited study.

“Pure” $^{123}$I (i.e., produced by a p,5n reaction and devoid of $^{124}$I or other high energy contaminants) was obtained commercially and was utilized in our laboratory for exchange labeling of nonradioactive N-isopropyl p-iodoamphetamine by a melting point procedure (9). Appropriate quality control procedures were utilized to synthesize sterile, nonpyrogenic $[^{123}]$IMP with a specific activity of ~3–5 mCi/mg. The radiochemical purity was >98% as determined by thin layer chromatography on silica gel using the following two...
solvent systems: (a) methanol-chloroform-glacial acetic acid (15:85:1) and (b) ethyl acetate-ethanol (1:1). Distribution and excretion studies were previously performed in rats, dogs, and monkeys for radiation dosimetry calculations. Results of distribution and excretion studies are similar to those reported by Kuhl (10), but the radiation dose to the patient was roughly half of the value reported because of the absence of $^{124}$I in our preparation. We calculate that a 5 mCi dose of IMP labeled with pure $^{123}$I ($p,5n$) delivered 2.5 rad to the lung, 2.2 rad to the liver, 0.36 rad to the brain, and lesser amounts to other organs. The whole body dose was 0.25 rad.

Imaging studies of phantoms were performed with a seven pinhole and a slant hole collimator to evaluate whether the slant hole collimator was superior to the seven pinhole collimator for limited angle transverse tomography of the brain with “pure” $^{123}$I using a vertex acquisition. The phantom consisted of a lucite box (15 cm $\times$ 15 cm $\times$ 15 cm) containing ~1 mCi of high purity ($p,5n$) $^{123}$I. Four “cold” cubes with side length 3, 4, 5, and 6 cm were centered at a depth of 5 cm inside the box. The top of the phantom was positioned 1 cm from the collimator face to simulate a vertex view and imaged with a mobile camera using software provided by the vendor. Twelve contiguous planes were reconstructed with a separation of 1 cm between levels. In a separate study, images were also acquired under identical conditions except that $^{123}$I produced by the $p,2n$ reaction (so-called “commercial” $^{123}$I) was used.
A seven pinhole collimator mounted on a large field camera was used to collect an image of the same phantom filled with high purity $^{123}$I. For this experiment, the phantom was positioned 14 cm from the 7.5-mm aperture and 750,000 counts were collected. These data were reconstructed using software supplied by the collimator vendor using a minicomputer (DEC:PDP 11/34A).

An area of interest generator was utilized to measure contrast defined as:

$$\text{Contrast} = \frac{C_T - C_B}{C_B},$$

where

- $C_T$ = Counts per unit area in the target;
- $C_B$ = Counts per unit area in the background.

Depth resolution was evaluated qualitatively by visually comparing the sharpness of the images at progressively greater depths.

Limited angle tomographic imaging of patients and normal volunteers was performed with the mobile scintillation camera equipped with a rotating slant hole collimator. Reconstructed images were obtained using the commercially available software and the microprocessor based computer. Each of the subjects in each group was injected intravenously with 3-5 mCi (111-185 MBq) of $^{123}$IIMP while lying quietly in a well-lighted room with ambient noise. The true administered dose was probably somewhat less than stated, because the dose calibrator reading was not corrected for the effects of characteristic x-rays as recently described by Harris et al. Images obtained with the "cold" cube phantom were not as distorted on the slant hole system as those obtained with the seven pinhole (Fig. 1). In addition, there were fewer artifacts and better depth resolution with the slant hole system. Computer analysis of the images obtained with the high purity $^{123}$I had ~30% greater contrast for the large cubes than those obtained with the "commercial" grade of $^{123}$I. No significant difference in contrast of the smallest cubes was seen between the seven pinhole and rotating slant hole collimators.

The images were initially evaluated by two authors (M.B.C. and L.S.G.) to develop criteria for diagnosis. The most superficial slices denoting the scalp and skull, and images in the deepest planes were not considered to be suitable for analysis. The most useful diagnostic information was found in the 4-6 images encompassing the superficial cortex. Normal volunteers were found to have a relatively uniform distribution of IMP in the cerebral cortex (Fig. 2). Patients with MID had multiple, asymmetric defects involving the gray matter or both the white and gray matter (Fig. 3). Patients with AD had a diffuse, symmetric decrease of uptake in the cortex. This appeared to primarily involve the parieto-occipital cortex (Fig. 4). Resolution was poorer in the deeper planes so that the inferior temporal lobe and basal ganglia frequently could not be evaluated. Only defects seen in two or more adjacent slices were considered significant.

These diagnostic criteria were then given to four physicians who were individually blinded and asked to evaluate the images without benefit of clinical history or patient identification. Three of the physicians (R.L.,...
FIGURE 3
Multiple infarct dementia (MID). Asymmetric defects were seen in patients with MID. Defects (arrows) were seen in left occipital and both frontal lobes (A). Right frontal lobe defect appeared larger in other slices. Large confluent defects were seen in right hemisphere of another patient with MID (B).

M.K.K., and R.S.) are Board certified specialists in nuclear medicine. The fourth physician (E.J.M.) is a neurologist with an extensive background in PET imaging studies of the brain. Each physician was asked to make a diagnosis of normal, AD, MID, or technically unsatisfactory based on the above stated diagnostic criteria.

Imaging results are presented in Table 1. No images were classified as technically unsatisfactory by the observers. Five of the six normal subjects were correctly identified by each of the four physicians. The sixth subject was identified by three of the four. All three patients with MID were correctly identified by each of the four readers. There was unanimous agreement on the diagnosis of AD in two of five patients with this disorder. Three of four also made the correct diagnosis in a third patient with AD. The other observer made the incorrect diagnosis of MID. One patient, who was clinically considered to have early AD, was called normal by two observers and AD by two other observers. The fifth patient with advanced AD was diagnosed as MID by all four observers.

DISCUSSION

The $^{123}$I used to label the IMP in most published studies in the USA was contaminated with 2.1 to 4.6% of high energy $^{124}$I, which for tomographic imaging required the use of special imaging equipment and/or special correction techniques (10,12,13). These authors suggest that imaging with a commercially available single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) camera cannot be readily performed with impure $^{123}$I, because of the low count rate combined with the large downscatter and septal penetration from the high energy $^{124}$I contamination. IMP labeled with high purity $^{123}$I, devoid of $^{124}$I or other high-energy contaminants, has been utilized with a special camera in Europe by Lassen (14).

SPECT imaging with IMP is believed to be more sensitive and accurate than x-ray CAT imaging in stroke patients (12,13). These investigators demonstrated that IMP images are abnormal immediately following a stroke, but CAT images usually do not become abnormal until edema is observed a few days later. The IMP images usually revealed a larger defect than the CAT images, even on late imaging studies. Kuhl and co-workers (10) have noted similar findings. CAT scanning is not specific for AD (15-17). It also may not be diagnostic for MID because old cerebral infarcts may heal with little or no apparent morphologic residual by CAT scan. These healed infarcts may still be demonstrable by tomographic imaging with IMP (10,12,13). Such documentation of previous infarcts is important for understanding the natural history of the disease.
in the diagnosis of MID, because a reliable history of episodic deterioration of cognitive function may not be available when the patient is first seen. Little information has been reported on the use of 5n [123]IMP for the diagnosis of AD (6-7).

Limited angle tomography has a number of inherent deficiencies, including decreased spatial resolution in deep planes and the inability to produce accurate quantitative images. However, the vertex acquisition with the collimator only millimeters away from the skull yields high resolution images of the superficial cortex. Since one of the major problems in all SPECT brain imaging with [123]IMP is impaired lesion detectability secondary to relatively poor counting statistics, a further improvement in lesion detectability should be possible with a longer acquisition time than the 8-12 min used in this study. Nevertheless, in this small series the accuracy of the limited angle tomography with [123]IMP was excellent in normal subjects and patients with MID. Of the five patients with AD, two were identified by all observers and a third was identified by three of four observers. A patient considered on clinical grounds to have early AD was called normal by two observers. Patients with early AD are also difficult to diagnose by PET imaging (18). The fifth patient with AD was called MID by all four observers, apparently due to the criteria used for image evaluation in this study. While this patient demonstrated decreased uptake of IMP in the parieto-occipital cortex bilaterally, the findings (Fig. 4) were distinctly asymmetric. This patient had a marked impairment in both memory and language function. Foster et al. (19) report symmetric impairment in uptake of [18]F]FDG in patients who present with memory failure as the predominant clinical feature. On the other hand, they found patterns of asymmetric focal changes in patients who presented with either a predominant clinical picture of language dysfunction or visuo-con-structive dysfunction. Asymmetry in uptake of [18]F]FDG in patients with AD has also been reported by Friedland et al. (20), but the predominant clinical feature was not reported. Our fifth patient may be a member of such a subgroup. The four blinded observers in our study were instructed that patients with AD had a symmetric decrease in uptake of IMP in the parieto-occipital cortex. This is believed to be the reason that all observers failed to identify this patient as having AD.

Qualitative images obtained with [123]IMP by limited angle tomography using a rotating slant hole collimator were able to identify normal subjects, patients with MID and to a lesser extent patients with AD. It will still be necessary to study patients with other forms of dementia, "pseudo-dementia," and various neurologic disorders to validate that a pattern is specific for a particular etiology. Coni and co-workers (21) found limited angle tomography with [123]IMP to be very useful in a group of 20 symptomatic geriatric patients for supporting the clinical diagnosis of stroke and multi-infarct disease.

The addition of a rotating slant hole collimator and associated reconstruction software to an existing camera system makes limited angle tomography a relatively inexpensive and readily available procedure. If our preliminary findings are confirmed by larger studies, it would suggest that limited angle tomography with [123]IMP may be especially cost effective for the differential diagnosis of dementia in large public hospitals where demented patients are frequently admitted without an accurate past history to support a clinical diagnosis of a specific etiology.
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TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[123]IMP: Limited Angle Tomography—Imaging Results Compared with Clinical Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>True positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3 (3/4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Subjects 9-14.
‡ Subjects 6-8.
§ Subjects 1-5.
¶ Subject number.
* Number of panelists making a particular diagnosis (see text).


