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Radionuclide bone scan findings are described and correlated with pathology in 23 patients with giant cell tumor (GCT) of the bone. The degree of radionuclide activity was markedly increased in 20 (87%), minimally increased in three (13%), and decreased in none of the patients. Of the 23 patients with increased radioactivity, the pattern was diffuse in 11 (48%) and doughnut in 12 (52%). Extended patterns of radioactivity were present in 19 of 22 patients; however, none were associated with true tumor extension. Bone scanning did not aid in the detection of GCT, was nonspecific, and did not differentiate benign from malignant GCT. Although radioactivity extended beyond the radiographic abnormality in the majority of patients, this was most likely secondary to other bony abnormalities or local and/or regional hyperemia, and caution should be taken in ascribing this extension to either tumor or metastasis.


Radionuclide bone scanning has been used in the evaluation of bone neoplasms as a possible aid in (a) detection, (b) differential diagnosis, (c) differentiating benign from malignant lesions, (d) defining local extent of tumor, and/or (e) demonstrating distant skeletal involvement (1,2). Accordingly, it is important to characterize the radionuclide bone scan appearance, to correlate these findings with pathology, and to determine the clinical role of bone scanning for each bone neoplasm. Bone scan findings in giant cell tumor (GCT) have been previously reported (3,4). The purpose of this report is to confirm and further describe the appearance of GCT on radionuclide bone scanning, to visually correlate these findings with the histopathology, to review the literature, and to help determine the role of radionuclide bone scanning in the evaluation of GCT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective review of 23 patients (five at Walter Reed Army Medical Center and 18 patients at the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology) with pathologically proven GCT was undertaken. No patient with aneurysmal bone cyst was included. The following were reviewed: (a) clinical summary, (b) preoperative radiographs of the lesion, and (c) preoperative radionuclide bone imaging of the lesion.

Clinical summaries were reviewed for age, sex, presenting signs and symptoms, and history of trauma. Radiographs performed with standard techniques were interpreted by two of the authors (J.M. and L.M.). The location of the lesion was noted and categorized according to a previously described classification in the literature (5). The radiologic pattern was described as either 1a, 1b, 1c, II, or III and defined as follows: 1a: Geographic destruction, well-defined with sclerosis in margin; 1b: geographic destruction, well-defined but no sclerosis in margin; 1c: geographic destruction with ill-defined margin; II: moth-eaten; and III: permeated. Additional radiographic features such as expansion, periosteal reaction, and soft-tissue swelling were noted if present.

Bone scans were performed preoperatively with technetium-99m (99mTc) phosphate radiopharmaceuticals in all patients. Images were obtained at standard times with a gamma camera in 22 patients and a rectilinear scanner in one patient. Abnormalities on bone scans were evaluated for intensity, pattern, and extended patterns (6) defined as follows:

Intensity of abnormality on bone scan. Hot: marked increased radioactivity relative to adjacent or contralateral bone (Figs. 3B,4B,5B); warm: slight but definite
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increased activity relative to adjacent or contralateral bone (Figs. 1B,2B); cold: decreased activity relative to adjacent or contralateral bone; and normal.

Pattern of bone scan abnormality. Diffuse (solid) (Fig. 2B); and doughnut (Figs. 1B,4B,5B).

Extended pattern (Figs. 1B,4B,5B). Abnormal radioactivity that is present beyond the radiographic abnormality and is either contiguous and/or noncontiguous (distant to) to the radiographic abnormality. If a radiographic abnormality was present that could explain the extended pattern (i.e. degenerative joint disease), then this area was excluded from analysis. Whole-body scans were not available for review in all cases. In such instances, the bone scan report or narrative summary was used to determine the presence or absence of skeletal metastasis.

RESULTS

Twenty-three patients were reviewed. The age, presenting signs and symptoms, radiographic classification, bone scan findings, and pathology for the 18 benign GCTs are noted in Table 1 and for the five
TABLE 1
Benign Giant Cell Tumor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age/Sex</th>
<th>Presenting symptom</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Radiograph</th>
<th>Bone scan</th>
<th>CEF</th>
<th>NCEF</th>
<th>Histology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24/F</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Proximal middle phalanx</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+$</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>39/M</td>
<td>Low back pain, lifting wood</td>
<td>Pedicles of T-12</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>ID**</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>GCT with partial cystic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38/M</td>
<td>Persistent knee pain after minor trauma</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with secondary cyst formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10/F</td>
<td>Thigh pain</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with secondary cyst formation and reactive bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50/F</td>
<td>Pain and swelling</td>
<td>Proximal phalanx, 4th Acromion/ clavula</td>
<td>II</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19/M</td>
<td>Shoulder pain after moving furniture</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1a-b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with loose stroma fibrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>17/F</td>
<td>Pain in back</td>
<td>Pedicle and body of T-10</td>
<td>No grade expansile, lysis of pedicle and body of T-10</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GCT with secondary reactive osteoblastic activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27/M</td>
<td>Pain for 13 months</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with loose stroma fibrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>33/M</td>
<td>Pain moving furniture</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>GCT with secondary cyst formation and reactive bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20/F</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Medial clavicle</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Soft tissue mass, expansile</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>24/F</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with recurrence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12*</td>
<td>20/M</td>
<td>Pain for 5 months with swelling</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>30/M</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Metatarsal</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>22/F</td>
<td>Pain and mass</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with spindle stroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>28/M</td>
<td>Pain for one month</td>
<td>Proximal humerus</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with increased vascularity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>Pain 1 year after fall</td>
<td>Proximal fibula</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Expansile</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23/F</td>
<td>Pain and swelling for ten months</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Expansile soft tissue mass</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18*</td>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Proximal radius</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Lytic Expansile</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See figures. † CEF = Contiguous extended field (see text). ‡ NCEF = Noncontiguous extended field (see text).§ Present. ¶ Absent. ** Indeterminate.
malignant GCTs in Table 2. Twelve patients were females and 11 were males with a median age of 31.7 yr.

Of the 23 patients, 20 (87%) had marked increased activity (hot), three (13%) had slight but definite increased activity (warm), and none had decreased activity (cold). The bone scan pattern in those cases with increased activity was diffuse (solid) in 11 (48%), and doughnut in 12 (52%). The latter appeared with varying widths of radioactive uptake from a thin ring to a thick doughnut. An extended pattern of radioactivity was present in 19/22 patients. In 16 patients, the extended pattern was contiguous to the radiographic abnormality, and in 18 patients the extended pattern was noncontiguous to the radiographic abnormality. Fifteen patients had both contiguous and noncontiguous extension. One patient was indeterminate. No skeletal metastases or additional GCTs were detected on preoperative bone scan.

DISCUSSION

Clinical

Giant cell tumor usually has an insidious onset and may become large before signs or symptoms develop. Often the patient presents with persistent pain following trauma with occasional mass or swelling. Because the tumor is often large, range of motion in the adjacent joint may be limited.

Pathologic

The origin of GCT is controversial, but the tumor most likely arises from osteoclasts and is typically formed of multinucleated giant cells within a background of intervening stromal cells. There is often a striking sinusoidal vascular bed with focal telangiectasia. Involutional changes such as fibrosis, necrosis, and cyst formation are seen with some frequency. Osteoblastic activity is usually confined to the peripheral reactive margin (9,10).

Radiologic

The characteristic radiographic appearance is an "expansile" radiolucent lesion in the metaepiphysial end of long bones usually extending to the subarticular bony plate. In patients with open growth plates, GCT may arise in the metaphysis adjacent to the epiphysial growth plate. GCT rarely involves the joint space directly, but not infrequently a joint effusion may be present. Generally, no significant new bone formation other than the expanded periosteal shell or endosteal reaction is noted. GCTs are usually geographic lesions with margins ranging from well-defined (1b) to ill-defined (1c). These tumors often disrupt the cortex, and pathologic fracture may be noted. The radiographs do not reliably distinguish benign from malignant GCT (7,8).

Radionuclide Findings

Numerous authors have reported radionuclide bone scan findings in GCT (3,4,11–15); a review of three series are shown in Tables 3 and 4. The degree of radioactivity in GCT was hot in 94% (76/81), warm in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age/ Sex</th>
<th>Presenting symptom</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Radiograph</th>
<th>Bone scan</th>
<th>CEF†</th>
<th>NCEF†</th>
<th>Histology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19*</td>
<td>74/F</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Illur</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Hot, Doughnut</td>
<td>+$</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Malignant GCT with partial necrosis and hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20*</td>
<td>62/F</td>
<td>Weakness in thumb</td>
<td>Distal radius</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Hot, Doughnut</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Malignant GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>29/F</td>
<td>Pain and limited range of motion</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Hot, Diffuse</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Malignant GCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>19/M</td>
<td>Intermittent pain and swelling</td>
<td>Proximal tibia</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Hot, Doughnut</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with early malignant stromal change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Distal femur</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Hot, Doughnut</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>GCT with early malignant stromal change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See figures.
† CEF = Contiguous extended field (see text).
‡ NCEF = Noncontiguous extended field (see text).
‡ Present.
FIGURE 2
Case 7. A: AP radiograph of spine shows lytic lesion of T-10 with destruction of left pedicle and posterior arch along with left paraspinous soft-tissue thickening. (AFIP Neg. No. 78-6188-3) B: Posterior bone scan demonstrates "warm" and diffuse radioactivity corresponding to radiographic bony and soft-tissue abnormality. No extension of radioactivity is noted. (AFIP Neg. No. 78-6188-7). C: 157 X H&E photomicrograph demonstrates GCT characterized by numerous osteoclasts in highly vascular stromal background. (AFIP Neg. No. 84-12801)

6% (5/81), and normal or cold in none. GCT had a diffuse pattern of radioactive uptake in 40% (32/81) and a doughnut pattern in 60% (49/81). One patient (1%) had a "skip" lesion, and one patient (1%) had a second foci of GCT. Except for this report, patients were not subdivided into malignant or benign GCT; no metastasis were noted on bone scan. The extent of tumor was similar or identical to the extent of radioactivity on bone scan in 31% (25/81) as determined by radiographs, tomography, and/or histopathology. Bone scan underestimated the extent of tumor in one of 81 patients (1%). Contiguous and noncontiguous extension were noted in 54% (44/81) and 67% (54/81), respectively. Hudson (3) reported one patient who had a second GCT that was masked by the extended radioactivity from another GCT, and Levine (4) noted the bone scan did not demonstrate soft-tissue extension of tumor in nine patients.
TABLE 3
Collected Series
(Radionuclide Bone Scan Findings in GCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Hot</th>
<th>Warm</th>
<th>Cold</th>
<th>Diffuse</th>
<th>Doughnut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Nostrand</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>76(94%)</td>
<td>5(6%)</td>
<td>32(40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4
Collected Series
(Radionuclide Bone Scan Findings in GCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>“Skip” Lesions</th>
<th>Multifocal GCT</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
<th>Extension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smaller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Nostrand</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1(1%)</td>
<td>1(1%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1(1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Five patients had joint invasion.
† Indeterminate.

Mechanism of Radioactive Uptake

The mechanism for increased radioactive uptake has been ascribed to increased blood flow and reactive bone formation around the periphery of the lesion (16). Although increased blood flow in GCT has been well documented by angiography (17–19), Levine (4) was unable to demonstrate any relationship between degree of radioactivity uptake and vascularity in eight patients. Increased reactive bone formation results from both endosteal (Case 20, Fig. 6) and periosteal reaction (Case 18, Fig. 4D) attempting to contain the expansile mass, and the reactive bone formation appears to be a major mechanism for increased radioactivity.

Mechanism of Radioactive Pattern

The variation in observed bone scan patterns (diffuse and doughnut) may be due to one or a combination of factors such as (a) degree/width of the reactive bone margin, (b) imaging technique, and/or (c) size of lesion. As noted previously, the tumor is formed of multinucleated giant cells and interspersed stromal cells. Little or no osteoblastic activity with bone formation is typically encountered centrally in most GCTs (Case 18, Fig. 4C and Case 19, Fig. 5C). Furthermore, secondary telangiectasia (Case 4, Fig. 1C), cyst formation (Case 4, Fig. 1C), and occasionally necrosis (Case 19, Fig. 5D) is seen within the midst of many GCTs. Accordingly, significant radiolabeled phosphate activity would not be anticipated centrally relative to the expected and demonstrated radionuclide activity in a spherical encompassing bony shell (Case 18, Fig. 4D) around the tumor. When a three-dimensional spherical shell is viewed in two dimensions, the central aspect will have less radioactivity relative to the circumference which gives the appearance of a doughnut. The ability of the imaging system to demonstrate a doughnut rather than a diffuse pattern may be compromised by the width of reactive bone margin around the periphery, improper technique (i.e., intensity of camera too high), or size. With improved techniques, more lesions may be demonstrated as a doughnut rather than a diffuse pattern (Case 18, Fig. 4B).

Mechanism and Utility of Contiguous Uptake

The mechanism for increased radioactivity extending beyond, but contiguous to, the radiographic abnormality has been previously discussed and attributed to increased blood flow (4,6). Although some extension of radioactivity could have been secondary to actual tumor extension beyond the radiographically definable limits or poor imaging technique with high camera intensities (“blossoming”), this mechanism could not
account for all the cases with extended radioactivity. Because of the above-described contiguous extended radioactivity, one may not reliably use the bone scan to accurately define the extent of tumor; however, with one exception in the three series, the bone scan reliably defined maximal limit of extent. Nevertheless, other imaging modalities such as plain radiographs, conventional tomography, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance better define tumor extent (3,4).

**Mechanism and Utility of Noncontiguous Uptake**

The mechanism for noncontiguous extension of radioactivity (with no bone abnormality) remains incompletely understood; however, it may reflect some degree of increased bone turnover secondary to active hyperemia mediated through a neurocirculatory reflex mechanism (20,21). This may also account for a noncontiguous extended pattern when a contiguous pattern was not present (Case 7, Fig. 2B, and Cases 9, and 10). Although noncontiguous extension is often less intense than the area of primary tumor, one cannot distinguish between benign and malignant extension.

**Sensitivity and Specificity**

In the literature and this study, no GCT was detected on bone scan that was not easily seen on plain radiographs. Although specificity was not addressed in these series, the diffuse pattern on bone scan is not specific for GCT as many other benign (2,22) and malignant neoplasms (23,24) may demonstrate a similar appearance. The doughnut pattern has also been reported in other bone lesions such as simple bone cyst and eosinophilic granuloma (1). The thin-rimmed doughnut pattern is also typical for most benign and malignant neoplasms and has been reported in “aneurysmal bone cyst” (25) and bone infarction (26,27). In this report, no bone pattern or degree of intensity of radioactivity was identified which aided in the differentiation of benign from malignant GCT.

**Detection of Multicentric GCT**

Multicentric foci of GCT have been reported in 0.4% of patients (28) and as high as 18% of patients with GCT of the hand (28). As noted earlier, only one of 81 patients had a multicentric foci of GCT. Although Averill (28) has suggested the use of bone scan to demonstrate these multicentric foci in patients with GCT of the hand, this awaits further confirmation.

**Detection of Other Bony Diseases**

Bone scan may potentially alter the differential diagnosis of a radiographic abnormality by demonstrating multiple focal bony abnormalities elsewhere such as in histocytosis X, fibrous dysplasia, enchondroma, or diffuse bony metastasis (29). Since all the series in this review were retrospective, the value of preoperative
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FIGURE 4
Case 18. A: Radiograph of wrist demonstrates expansile predominantly lytic lesion with some moth-eaten character to margin. Adjacent soft-tissue swelling with patchy osteoporosis of carpal bones is seen. B: Palmar view on bone scan demonstrates increased (hot) radioactivity in doughnut pattern confined to radiographic abnormality; however, doughnut has slightly less radioactivity on radial side. Noncontiguous extension of radioactivity is noted in first phalanx and carpal bones. C: 160 × H&E photomicrograph demonstrates GCT with tightly packed osteoclasts in slightly spindled background. D: 60 × H&E photomicrograph demonstrates GCT escaping confines of its reactive bony periosteal shell (arrows).

bone scan in this area cannot be assessed; in addition, its value will depend on the prevalence of the various diseases in the referred patient population.

SUMMARY
In summary, this paper describes the bone scan findings in giant cell tumor in 23 patients and reviews the literature. Typically, GCT has marked increased radioactivity; sometimes, however, radioactivity is only minimally increased. The pattern is typically diffuse or doughnut in configuration, and the major mechanism for increased radioactivity appears to be reactive bone formation while blood flow may play a more minor role. The doughnut pattern appears to be secondary to (a) increased peripheral radioactivity secondary to reactive bone formation, (b) relative absences of radioactivity in the center secondary to histopathology (giant cells, Van Nostrand, Madewell, McNiesh et al. The Journal of Nuclear Medicine
necrosis, cysts, telangiectasias), and (c) camera resolution and technique. With improved imaging technique, the doughnut pattern may be seen more frequently.

Preoperative bone scan detected no GCT that was not demonstrated radiographically, and no pattern of radioactivity in the area of the radiographic abnormality aided in the differential diagnosis or differentiation of benign from malignant GCT. Contiguous and noncontiguous extended patterns of radioactivity routinely occurred and may be secondary to hyperemia and/or a neurocirculatory reflex mechanism. Because of these extended patterns, bone scan cannot accurately predict the true extent of the tumor in bone or soft tissue. Other diagnostic modalities are clearly superior. Bone scanning can aid in the demonstration of "skip" lesions, multifocal GCT, or metastasis; however, these appear to be infrequent.
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