

- positron camera system for emission tomography of the brain. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-29: No 1, 539-543, 1982
5. BUDINGER TF, DERENZO SE, HUESMAN RH, et al: Positron emission tomography: Instrumentation perspectives. In *Proceedings of International Workshop on Physics and Engineering in medical imaging*, Nalcioglu O, Pueruitt J, eds. New York, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1982, pp 3-13
  6. CHO ZH, FARUKHI MR: Bismuth Germanate as a potential scintillation detector in positron camera. *J Nucl Med* 18: 840-844, 1977
  7. MOSZYNSKI M, GRESSET C, VACHER J, et al: Timing properties of BGO scintillator. *Nucl Instrum Meth* 188: 403-409, 1981
  8. NAHMIAS C, KENYON DB, GARNETT ES: Optimization of crystal size in emission computed tomography. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-27:529-532, 1980
  9. DERENZO SE: Monte Carlo calculations of the detection efficiency of arrays of NaI(Tl), BGO, CsF, Ge, and plastic detectors for 511 keV photons. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-28:131-136, 1980
  10. NAHMIAS C, KENYON DB, GARNETT ES: Experience with a high efficiency positron emission tomograph. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-29:548-550, 1982
  11. BROOKS RA, SANK VJ, FRIAUF WS, et al: Design considerations for positron emission tomography. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* BME-28: No. 2, 158-177, 1981

### Erratum

In the article entitled, "The Walsh-Hadamard Transform: An Alternative Means of Obtaining Phase and Amplitude Maps," Vol. 25, May 1984, pp. 608-612, two errors occurred which are corrected below.

On page 610, in the first paragraph, the second sentence should read as follows:

The algorithm used in the computer\* is the trigonometric form of DFT, where Eqs. (1) and (2) have been applied with  $K = 1$  and  $N = 16, 24$ .

On page 611, reference is made to Table 1, which was inadvertently omitted. Shown below is the complete table.

**TABLE 1. RESULTS OF COMPARISON BETWEEN DFT AND WHT FOR DIFFERENT PARAMETERS IN 30 STUDIES**

Parameters	DFT	WHT	r*	Differences
†Computing time (seconds)	109.5 ± 8.3	64.6 ± 4.7	0.994	43.4 ± 3.6
†Left ventricle mean value of phase (degrees)	115 ± 20	105 ± 20	0.995	10
Standard deviation of phases in left ventricle (degrees)	22	22	0.99	—
†Maximum value of amplitude in left ventricle (counts per pixel)	382 ± 198	490 ± 252	0.998	- 108 (28%)

\*Correlation coefficient.  
†Mean ± standard deviation.

### Erratum

The following subchairman and reviewers of the peripheral vascular papers for the 31st Annual Meeting in Los Angeles were inadvertently omitted from the May issue of the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* and from the final program:

Michael E. Siegel, M.D., Subchairman  
Charles A. Stewart, M.D.  
Ung Yun Ryo, M.D., Ph.D.  
Robert E. Henry, M.D.

### Erratum

In the May 1984 issue of the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, reference to an abstract appearing in that issue was inadvertently omitted in the Author Index under Thakur, ML. Dr. Thakur was co-author of "Platelet Labeling in Plasma Made Simple and Efficient: Preparation and Evaluation," appearing on P65.