

- positron camera system for emission tomography of the brain. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-29: No 1, 539-543, 1982
5. BUDINGER TF, DERENZO SE, HUESMAN RH, et al: Positron emission tomography: Instrumentation perspectives. In *Proceedings of International Workshop on Physics and Engineering in medical imaging*, Nalcioglu O, Pueruitt J, eds. New York, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1982, pp 3-13
 6. CHO ZH, FARUKHI MR: Bismuth Germanate as a potential scintillation detector in positron camera. *J Nucl Med* 18: 840-844, 1977
 7. MOSZYNSKI M, GRESSET C, VACHER J, et al: Timing properties of BGO scintillator. *Nucl Instrum Meth* 188: 403-409, 1981
 8. NAHMIAS C, KENYON DB, GARNETT ES: Optimization of crystal size in emission computed tomography. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-27:529-532, 1980
 9. DERENZO SE: Monte Carlo calculations of the detection efficiency of arrays of NaI(Tl), BGO, CsF, Ge, and plastic detectors for 511 keV photons. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-28:131-136, 1980
 10. NAHMIAS C, KENYON DB, GARNETT ES: Experience with a high efficiency positron emission tomograph. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci* NS-29:548-550, 1982
 11. BROOKS RA, SANK VJ, FRIAUF WS, et al: Design considerations for positron emission tomography. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* BME-28: No. 2, 158-177, 1981

Erratum

In the article entitled, "The Walsh-Hadamard Transform: An Alternative Means of Obtaining Phase and Amplitude Maps," Vol. 25, May 1984, pp. 608-612, two errors occurred which are corrected below.

On page 610, in the first paragraph, the second sentence should read as follows:

The algorithm used in the computer* is the trigonometric form of DFT, where Eqs. (1) and (2) have been applied with $K = 1$ and $N = 16, 24$.

On page 611, reference is made to Table 1, which was inadvertently omitted. Shown below is the complete table.

TABLE 1. RESULTS OF COMPARISON BETWEEN DFT AND WHT FOR DIFFERENT PARAMETERS IN 30 STUDIES

Parameters	DFT	WHT	r*	Differences
†Computing time (seconds)	109.5 ± 8.3	64.6 ± 4.7	0.994	43.4 ± 3.6
†Left ventricle mean value of phase (degrees)	115 ± 20	105 ± 20	0.995	10
Standard deviation of phases in left ventricle (degrees)	22	22	0.99	—
†Maximum value of amplitude in left ventricle (counts per pixel)	382 ± 198	490 ± 252	0.998	- 108 (28%)

*Correlation coefficient.
†Mean ± standard deviation.

Erratum

The following subchairman and reviewers of the peripheral vascular papers for the 31st Annual Meeting in Los Angeles were inadvertently omitted from the May issue of the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* and from the final program:

Michael E. Siegel, M.D., Subchairman
Charles A. Stewart, M.D.
Ung Yun Ryo, M.D., Ph.D.
Robert E. Henry, M.D.

Erratum

In the May 1984 issue of the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, reference to an abstract appearing in that issue was inadvertently omitted in the Author Index under Thakur, ML. Dr. Thakur was co-author of "Platelet Labeling in Plasma Made Simple and Efficient: Preparation and Evaluation," appearing on P65.