

Service could range from publications, through membership records and accounting, to arrangements for meetings, etc., as each organization might choose to contract. Each sponsoring organization would determine its own goals, needs, policies, and services.

I think such an "institute" might be able to develop a parallel to the *Physics Today* magazine, which our culture sorely needs. The role of radiation in our lives will almost certainly increase rather than decrease. Our chosen fields, as well as the general public, need a magazine widely available in school and public libraries, as well as in waiting rooms, that tells accurately and in simple terms the stories of "RADIATION in LIFE, TODAY." The concept of risk/benefit ratios has to become commonplace and readily understood, in terms of the full spectrum of everyday risks and benefits, including those relating to radiation.

Could these advantages accrue to a group of societies in a less formalized "consortium-for-services?" As the aggregate magnitude of costs, monies, and services grows, the need for safeguards through formalized organization also grows. Personnel recruited for providing services would be more readily secured and retained in a well-structured organization. Some projects—including a common general publication like "RADIATION in LIFE, TODAY"—would be practical only if approached with care by an organization analogous to the "institute" model.

Inflation is causing officers and members of many organizations to re-examine how desired services can best be provided. The merging of selected service functions of several organizations can be as cost-effective for scientific activities as it is for commerce and industry. Such changes need neither alter nor impair the individual organization's effectiveness, goals, or identity. With strong and dynamic leadership, an organization should enhance its capabilities and accomplishments through participation in such a cooperative venture.

RICHARD E. PETERSON  
University of Iowa Medical Center  
Iowa City, Iowa

#### REFERENCE

1. WAGNER HN JR: Time for a FASORS? *J Nucl Med* 20: 581-582, 1979

#### What Do We Want from a Bone-Scanning Agent?

Table 1 presents our initial results from repeated 24-hr whole-body retention studies, using both hydroxyethylidene diphosphate (HEDP) and methylene diphosphate (MDP), on four volunteer subjects and one patient with Paget's disease. These values reflect skeletal uptake of tracer (1) and show that in the normal subject MDP has the higher uptake, which presumably explains the faster plasma clearance of tracer and higher ratios of bone to soft tissue that have been found with this agent (2,3). While we have studied only one patient with Paget's disease, the skeletal uptake in the abnormal situation shows less striking difference between the two agents.

For the imaging of patients with metabolic bone disease—where abnormality on the bone scan is generally assessed by an awareness of diffusely increased tracer uptake throughout the skeleton—HEDP may be the radiopharmaceutical of choice. Furthermore, if there is high uptake of tracer in normal bone, focal skeletal abnormality may be less striking against such a background, and we have indeed shown that tumour-to-bone ratios are higher using HEDP than with MDP (4).

Just because one agent produces "pretty pictures" in the normal situation, it does not necessarily follow that such an agent is su-

**TABLE 1. REPEAT WHOLE-BODY RETENTION MEASUREMENTS USING HYDROXYETHYLIDENE DIPHOSPHONATE (HEDP) AND METHYLENE DIPHOSPHONATE (MDP)**

Subject	Age	Sex	24-hr WBR HEDP (%)	24-hr WBR MDP (%)
1	33	M	15.46	25.1
2	62	F	14.48	27.48
3	59	F	21.82	36.32
4	62	M	19.18	30.94
5 (Paget's disease)	76	F	72.21	79.63

prior in the detection of abnormality. What matters more than high bone-to-background ratios is a high ratio of abnormal to normal tracer uptake in bone. In clinical practice, both HEDP and MDP are excellent bone-scanning agents, but in the search for metastatic disease, HEDP may prove to be the more sensitive.

IGNAC FOGELMAN  
RODNEY G. BESSENT  
Royal Infirmary  
Glasgow, Scotland

#### REFERENCES

1. FOGELMAN I, BESSENT RG, TURNER JG, et al: The use of whole-body retention of Tc-99m diphosphonate in the diagnosis of metabolic bone disease. *J Nucl Med* 19:270-275, 1978
2. SUBRAMANIAN G, MCAFEE JG, BLAIR RJ, et al: Technetium-99m-methylene diphosphonate—a superior agent for skeletal imaging: Comparison with other technetium complexes. *J Nucl Med* 16: 744-755, 1975
3. RUDD TG, ALLEN DR, SMITH FD: Technetium-99m-labeled methylene diphosphonate and hydroxyethylidene diphosphonate—biologic and clinical comparison: concise communication. *J Nucl Med* 20: 821-826, 1979
4. FOGELMAN I, CITRIN DL, MCKILLOP JH, et al: A clinical comparison of Tc-99m HEDP and Tc-99m MDP in the detection of bone metastases: concise communication. *J Nucl Med* 20: 98-101, 1979

#### Re: Thyroid Carcinoma in an Autonomously Functioning Nodule

The recent case of functioning thyroid cancer reported by Drs. Abdel-Razzak and Christie is interesting (1). This patient and the one reported by Hopwood et al. (2) would seem to be the only ones with cancer that suppressed function in normal thyroid tissue without aid from functioning metastases. The size of this cancer and the modest suppression of extranodular tissue indicate that the functional activity was of a low order, perhaps making the benign nature of the nodule moderately suspect. With thyro-pathologists, Robert C. Horn, Jr., M.D., and William Meissner, M.D., we have reviewed the histology of a half dozen published (or to be published) similar cases. The diagnosis of cancer in two instances from the probable thousand nodules of this type removed surgically in the United States makes this test for thyroid cancer of great specificity. Doubts should be resolved by needle biopsy (3) rather than by wholesale surgical removal of such nodules.

A final note—in our experience, colloid production by a thyroid cancer is no guarantee of the presence of the enzyme systems necessary for iodine binding.

J. MARTIN MILLER  
Henry Ford Hospital  
Detroit, Michigan

REFERENCES

1. ABDEL-RAZZAK M, CHRISTIE J: Thyroid carcinoma in an

autonomously functioning nodule. *J Nucl Med* 20: 1001-1002, 1979

2. HOPWOOD NJ, CARROLL RG, KENNY FM, et al: Functioning thyroid masses in childhood and adolescence. Clinical, surgical, and pathologic correlations. *J Pediatr* 89: 710-718, 1976

3. MILLER JM, HAMBURGER JI, KINI S: Diagnosis of thyroid nodules. Use of fine-needle aspiration and needle biopsy. *JAMA* 241: 481-484, 1979

**MIDEASTERN CHAPTER  
SOCIETY OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE  
10th ANNUAL MEETING**

**April 17-19, 1980**

**Convention Center**

**Ocean City, Maryland**

The 10th Annual Meeting of the Mideastern Chapter of the Society of Nuclear Medicine will be held April 17-19, 1980 at the Convention Center in Ocean City, Maryland.

The program will include submitted papers, invited speakers, and exhibits. Prizes to be awarded to best three original pieces.

Teaching sessions on (1) Update of techniques and clinical applications of hepatobiliary imaging, (2) Tumor scanning by radioimmuno detection of tumor-associated antigens, (3) Scintigraphic evaluation of gastrointestinal bleeding, and (4) Myocardial perfusion and wall-motion studies will be offered.

The program is approved for credit toward the AMA Physician's Recognition Award under Continuing Medical Education Category 1, through the Society of Nuclear Medicine.

For further information contact:

Pablo E. Dibos, M.D.  
Department of Nuclear Medicine  
Franklin Square Hospital  
Baltimore, Maryland 21237  
Tel: (301) 391-3900  
Or contact E.U. Buddemeyer, Sc. D. at (301) 528-6890.

**SOUTHWESTERN CHAPTER  
SOCIETY OF NUCLEAR MEDICINE  
25th ANNUAL MEETING**

**March 28-30, 1980**

**Shamrock Hilton Hotel**

**Houston, Texas**

**ANNOUNCEMENT**

The Southwestern Chapter of the Society of Nuclear Medicine invites members and nonmembers of the Society of Nuclear Medicine to attend the 25th Annual Meeting to be held March 28-30, 1980 at the Shamrock Hilton Hotel in Houston, Texas.

The program will include submitted scientific papers, invited speakers, and teaching sessions covering areas of current interest in Nuclear Medicine. The program will be approved for credit toward the AMA Physician Recognition Award under Continuing Medical Education Category 1 through the Society of Nuclear Medicine.

Information concerning the program and meeting may be obtained by contacting the Program Chairman:

Raleigh F. Johnson, Jr., Ph.D.  
Nuclear Medicine Division  
University of Texas Medical Branch  
Galveston, TX 77550  
Tel: (713) 765-2926