LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

both a K x-ray and a γ photon is therefore correctly given by the product of $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$. In van Damme's notation, $\gamma_{12} = \gamma_{12b}$, as used by Hudson et al. The essential point is that both the electron-capture process and the subsequent decay of the 77-keV state of Au-197 may occur via parallel pathways only one of which, in each case, can contribute to the potentially observable sum coincidence. This is not so in the simple cascade process discussed by van Damme (1), in which (in his notation) the gamma transition $\gamma_1$ invariably precedes the second gamma transition $\gamma_2$.

The same arguments may be applied to the decay of I-125 and to other nuclei where electron capture is the first stage of the decay process.

The good agreement found by Hudson et al. for the assay of Hg-197, and by Harper et al. for I-125, support this interpretation of the coincidence process. For complete accuracy some allowance should, of course, be made for any weak alternative modes of decay.

We conclude, accordingly, that both Hudson et al. and Harper et al. have used an appropriate formulation for coincidence rates in the decay of these nuclei. So have those other authors who have used the coincidence-assay technique for the assay of I-125—in particular, Eldridge and Crowther (4), Bordell, Sayeg and Wald (5), and Ackers (6).

We take this opportunity to draw attention to earlier work on the comparison of I-125 and Hg-197 by Eldridge (7) and by Taylor (8).

F. R. HUDSON
D. M. THOMSON
Mount Vernon Hospital
Northwood, Middlesex, United Kingdom
S. L. WATERS
Hammersmith Hospital
London, United Kingdom
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Inexpensive EKG Gate for Use with Computer-Processed Studies

A recent technical note by Kan (1) describes an inexpensive EKG gate for use with computer-processed studies. A similar device has been in use in this department for several months. There are a couple of enhancements that make the device easier to use. One is to add two monostable univibrators (74121s) in series to provide a delayed output. This delay allows the start of the data acquisition sequence to be placed anywhere within the cardiac cycle. Initiating the gate at the QRS complex tends to put the end diastolic image near the end of the image sequence. By delaying the gate, we ensure that both the systole and diastole images are well within the image sequence.

The second enhancement is related to display of the EKG signal and corresponding gate. Presently we are using a standard laboratory oscilloscope with a persistence screen and two vertical inputs. The EKG and gate signals are displayed in chopped mode with a horizontal trace rate of 20 msec/div. This displays two to three R-R intervals; and with the scope triggered by the EKG signal, one can easily observe any changes in interval by an appropriate setting of the persistence time. This display is especially convenient for setting the gate trigger level as one can observe both missed QRS complexes, as well as triggers erroneously generated by other parts of the complex.

In our department, this scope is used as a general laboratory scope, as well as a backup for some of our camera P-scopes when they need repair. We do, however, plan to add a closure-to-ground driver to activate the external marker on the EKG monitor. In this manner, EKG hard copy with gate superimposed will be obtained. Although this is not as convenient for setting up as the scope is, it will prevent blind operation.

J. R. TATARCZUK
L. H. FLESH
Veterans Administration Hospital
Albany, New York
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Reply

I thank J. R. Tatarczuk and L. H. Flesh for pointing out that the basic EKG gate (described in my earlier paper) can be conveniently modified to enhance its performance. One example is the use of a delay circuit as described in the above letter so that gating can be initiated at any selected portion of the heart cycle. This is true and delay gating has been employed for gating end-systolic images on film using the downslope of the T-wave, although this technique is not quite as accurate as prediction of end-systole by phono-cardiography (1). The use of delay circuitry in computer processed cardiac motion studies, as suggested by Tatarczuk and Flesh however, will pose some synchronization problems for the following reason.

In computer-processed cardiac motion studies, all scintillation events throughout the heart cycle are analyzed and they are usually sorted into 10–30 frames representing the sequence of events throughout the heart cycle. Because of the limited counts from each heart cycle, a reference point is necessary for synchronization for the collection and analysis of scintillation events spanning approximately 400–800 heart beats. At the end of the study the sorted frames represent an overall average of the sequential events in the heart cycle. In an ideal situation, each of the 400–800 heart beats would have the same duration. In this case, the delay
circuit will work properly and initiates the data acquisition at any chosen point of the heart cycle. In reality, however, the heart rate does change slightly during data acquisition. When the R-wave is used to indicate the beginning of the heart cycle, the data collected will be synchronized with the beginning of each heart beat. On the other hand, if prefixed time delay circuit is employed using monostable univibrators, the heart will be at a slightly different stage of contraction when the data acquisition sequence begins. The end result is some loss of synchronization and blurring of temporal events due to overlapping between adjacent frames.

Tatarczuk and Flesh correctly point out that part of the diastole will be placed at the end of the image sequence when the framing process begins at the R-wave. The computer programs currently available to us use fixed time intervals for framing so that some of the frames in diastole toward the end of image sequence have fewer counts than the other frames. At present these frames have to be sacrificed or normalized before the heart motion can be displayed smoothly by the computer. This drawback may be partly overcome by modification of the framing algorithm and rejection of data from irregular heart beats.

Related to the topic is a recent paper by Bacharach et al. (2) who used both forward and backward analysis of R-wave synchronized data from a scintillation probe. By merging the first two-thirds of the forward time-activity curve with the late diastolic portion (or first third) of the backward curve, the merged curve would more closely approximate the ventricular volume changes throughout the entire heart cycle including atrial contractions and other events prior to the R-wave. The same principle can be applied to analysis of scintillation camera data from cardiac motion studies, although it would put considerable burden on the memory requirements and processing speed of the computer.
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Measurement of Regional Ventilation and Lung Perfusion with Xe-133

We were pleased to read the recent article by Wilson et al. (1), which showed that regional ventilation measured with Xe-133 during tidal breathing is more sensitive for the detection of abnormalities than is the method that requires a deep breath and subsequent breath-holding at total lung capacity (TLC). Their demonstration of this technique in patients with bullous disease is similar to our experience in patients with bronchial asthma (2).

We were curious, however, about the regional perfusion data for normal upright humans studied recently in our laboratory, perfusion indices obtained during tidal breathing were 0.58 ± 0.11, 0.86 ± 0.08, 1.13 ± 0.07, and 1.35 ± 0.12 from top to bottom of the left lung. The right lung showed a similar distribution.

We have also measured regional perfusion during breathing holding at TLC and indexed this to regional volume at TLC. We found that at TLC the perfusion index is significantly lower in the upper zones compared to values obtained during tidal breathing. In addition, regional ventilation measured during deep breathing with subsequent breathing holding at TLC resulted in a significantly higher ventilation index in the upper zone compared to that obtained after inhalation of two or three tidal breaths of Xe-133. Consequently, ventilation-perfusion ratios at TLC were 2.01, 1.12, 0.84, and 0.81 in the four regions top to bottom, respectively. Although Wilson et al. (1) made the necessary measurements, this data was not included in their paper, and we wonder whether they also found higher ventilation-perfusion ratios in the upper zone at TLC compared to those obtained during tidal breathing.

RICHARD L. JONES
BRIAN J. SPROULE
THOMAS R. OVERTON
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
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We were interested in the comments of Jones, Sproule, and Overton and appreciate the opportunity to see the results of their studies. The smaller standard deviations and failure to see a zone of decreased perfusion at the lung base suggest that the bottom scintillation probes used in their studies were not as low as in our study. Our top scintillation probe was centered on the second anterior rib and the center of the bottom probe was 17 cm lower. At this position it is surprising that our bottom probe did not detect more often the "zone 4" of decreased perfusion described in upright humans by Hughes et al. (1). They found the zone 4 to extend upward as far as 10 cm below the second rib when breathholding studies were done at functional residual capacity (FRC). When breath was held at total lung capacity, zone 4 extended upward from the base only to about 16 cm below the second rib. Our study suggests that a zone 4 exists at the base during normal tidal breathing at FRC but that it may not extend upward as far as during breathholding studies at FRC, and therefore is not consistently detected. We have studied eight more normal upright subjects with the same technique and found a zone 4 of decreased perfusion at the left base only once, but it was present at the right base in three subjects. The means and standard deviations of perfusion indices for all 15 subjects are as follows: L₁: 0.64 ± 0.22; L₂: 0.91 ± 0.16; L₃: 1.16 ± 0.26; L₄: 1.04 ± 0.29; R₁: 0.64 ± 0.31; R₂: 0.98 ± 0.12; R₃: 1.25 ± 0.22 and R₄: 1.23 ± 0.28.

None of the potential explanations of this zone 4 decreased perfusion has been completely satisfactory, but in-