and when the sample volume is such that close to 4π geometry is obtained.

The consideration of the decay of Hg-197 is also made somewhat simpler, since there are no K x-rays produced from internal conversion of the 77 keV gamma, and angular correlation effects must be minimal.

> F. R. HUDSON Mount Vernon Hospital Northwood, Middlesex, United Kingdom S. L. WATERS Hammersmith Hospital London, United Kingdom J. B. DAVIS Hopital Cantonal Bertigny, Fribourg, Switzerland

REFERENCES

1. VAN DAMME KJ: Method to calculate activity of a source from counting rates in single and coincidence photopeaks. J Nucl Med 18: 1043-1044, 1977

2. HARPER PV, LATHROP KA: Method to calculate activity of a source from counting rates in single and coincidence photopeaks (Reply). J Nucl Med 18: 1044, 1977

3. HUDSON FR, WATERS SL, DAVIS JB: The absolute assay of ¹⁹⁷Hg by coincidence summing. Proceedings of the XV International Annual Meeting of the Society of Nuclear Medicine, Groningen, 1977

4. DILLMAN LT, VON DER LAGE FC: Radionuclide decay schemes and nuclear parameters for use in radiation-dose estimates, MIRD Pamphlet No 10, New York, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 1975, p 105

5. ELDRIDGE JS, CROWTHER P: Absolute determination of I-125. Nucleonics 22: 56-59, 1964

6. HARPER PV, SIEMENS WD, LATHROP KA, et al: Production and use of Iodine-125. J Nucl Med 4: 277-281, 1963

7. MARTIN MJ, BLICKERTOFT PH: Nuclear Data Tables, A8, New York, Academic Press, 1970, p 120

8. HARE DL, HENDEE WR, WHITNEY WP, et al: Accuracy of well ionisation chamber isotope calibrators. J Nucl Med 15: 1138-1141, 1974

9. PLCH J, ZDERADICKA J, BUCINA I: Nuclear Science Abstracts, 26, United States Atomic Energy Commission, 1972, No 142

REFERENCE

1. VAN DAMME KJ: Method to calculate activity of a source from counting rates in single and coincidence photopeaks. J Nucl Med 18: 1043-1044, 1977

Coincidence-Counting Techniques

A number of letters have recently appeared in this journal (1-3) discussing the basis of the coincidence technique as applied to the absolute assay of I-125, I-123, and Hg-197. Van Damme (1) has questioned the validity of the use of a random coincidence term in calculating the sum-peak intensity, and has drawn attention to the possibility of angular correlation effects.

Harper and Lathrop (2) and Hudson, Waters, and Davis (3) have justified the application of their approach to I-125 and to Hg-197, but there appears to be value in amplifying their comments to draw particular attention to the physical situation met in $x - \gamma$ and in x - x coincidence summing and to contrast this with $\gamma - \gamma$ cascade summing.

We may do this by considering the decay of Hg-197 (Fig. 1). More than 98% of the disintegrations proceed via EC₁ to the 77.3-keV level of Au-197. Thus, to an acceptable degree of accuracy, those transitions proceeding via EC₂ may be ignored. Considering the electron-capture process that occurs in EC₁, we should note that this may proceed *either* by K capture, subsequently yielding a K x-ray, or by other modes such as L capture, which do not yield a K x-ray. Nonetheless, all these disintegrations lead to the 77-keV level whether or not a K x-ray was emitted in the process. The fraction yielding K x-rays, $\eta_1 = 0.72$.

The decay of the 77-keV state of Au-197 proceeds by the emission of γ_1 , which may be internally converted so that the yield of externally detectable gamma photons *per disintegration* is reduced to $\eta_2 = 0.195$. The probability of internal conversion is clearly independent of whether or not a K x-ray was emitted in the previous electron-capture process.

The probability, per disintegration, for the detection of

Reply

In my letter to the editor (1) I pointed out a fundamental error in a formula by Harper et al. Although their expressions (a) and (b) are correct, expression (c) is not.

This is demonstrated in the special case of 4π geometry, 100% photoelectric detection efficiency, no attenuation, and all photons occurring in pairs cascaded, γ_1 and γ_2 , with abundances $\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_{12}$. This means that in formulae (a) and (b) of the referenced paper, $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1$ (i = 1,2). For the counting rate in the coincidence peak, however, the following expression holds: N₁₂ = A. η_{12} and not according to (c) N₁₂ = A. $\eta_1 \times \eta_2$, where A is the source activity.

It will be the task of Hudson, Waters, and Davis to explain their procurement of satisfactory results while using an erroneous equation.

> K. J. VAN DAMME University Hospital Leiden, The Netherlands



FIG. 1. The decay scheme of Hg-197.