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An algorithm for the digital reconstruction of the Fresnel-coded gamma camera image consists of the spatial Fourier transform of the hologram after applying a quadratic phase shift. Background subtraction is implemented by use of positive and negative zone plates. Tomographic planes are reconstructed in 27 sec from a single zone-plate shadow image by successively varying a parameter in the reconstruction algorithm on a small computer system.

This paper presents an algorithm for the digital reconstruction of the Fresnel zone-plate coded image and also experimental results with the gamma camera showing the tomographic potential of coded aperture imaging, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) comparison between zone-plate imaging and pinhole imaging, and the use of positive and negative zone plates for background subtraction.

The transmission efficiency is in the range of only 0.05% or less for a conventional parallel-hole or pinhole collimator. New techniques of coding such as multiple random pinhole-coded aperture imaging (1-4) and Fresnel zone-plate coding (5-10) have been suggested for gamma source imaging because the geometric efficiency of these schemes is 1,000 times or more greater than that of collimators in use today. In nuclear medicine studies, however, the sources are relatively large and therefore the effective efficiency of coded apertures is considerably less than their geometric efficiency. Consider an aperture (collimator) with N pinholes. The SNR will be improved by \(\sqrt{N}\) times that of a single pinhole only if the image corresponding to each hole is separated from images of all other holes. A basic problem of coded aperture imaging in nuclear medicine is the fact that inherent noise increases as the side lobes of the spread function from one point source superimpose on the spread function of another point source. The advantage of increased collimator transmission for signal is lessened by the increase in inherent noise because of this spread function overlap. This fact has been recognized by Barrett and De Meester (11), who show that the SNR is reduced by a factor of \(M^{-1/2}\) where M is the number of resolution elements because all photons from each resolution element contribute to the noise but only those photons originating from one resolution element contribute to the signal. In the limit of a very large source this leads to a small disadvantage in exposure time required for the zone plate over the pinhole. Even an improvement in SNR by digital computer reconstruction cannot give results in less exposure time than the pinhole camera for extended objects. Moreover, Levy (12) argues that the gain in SNR of the Fresnel zone plate over that of the pinhole is proportional to the ratio of the intensity of the signal at each point to the average intensity in the whole image. There is real doubt, therefore, that the efficiency of the basic pinhole camera could be improved upon by Fresnel coding or other multi-aperture systems for simple two-dimensional imaging. Although there is no exposure time advantage of the Fresnel zone plate over the exposure time for a single pinhole aperture for extended objects, there is an inherent tomographic potential of the Fresnel-coded image (13) as well as the multiple random pinhole-coded image (14). To realize this potential for the Fresnel-coded image and to simplify reconstruction of the hologram without photographic transfer and optical bench manipulation, we developed a digital computer algorithm that will allow rapid reconstruction with most of the available new series of nuclear medicine computer systems interfaced to a gamma camera (15).

THEORY

Fresnel zone-plate imaging is done in two parts. First, the zone plate is used between the object and...
detector to form a coded shadow $H(x_1,y_1)$ of the object on the detector (Fig. 1). This shadowgram is then placed in a coherent beam of light of wavelength $\lambda$, and an image $I(x,y)$ of the object is formed on a screen using the diffraction and focusing properties of the individual zone-plate shadows that make up the shadowgram. The image produced, according to the Huygens-Fresnel diffraction principle, is

$$I(x,y) = \iiint H(x_1,y_1) A(x,y;x_1,y_1) \, dx_1 \, dy_1$$

where

$$A(x,y;x_1,y_1) = \frac{1}{i\lambda f} \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi f}{\lambda} \right)$$

$f$ being the distance between the shadowgram plane and the image plane and $\ell$ the path length traversed by a light ray between points in these two planes.

$$\ell = (f^2 + (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2)^{1/2} =$$

$$f\left(1 + \frac{(x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2}{f}\right)^{1/2}$$

(3)

Assume that the square root term in Eq. 3 is adequately approximated by the first two terms in its binomial expansion. This is the Fresnel approximation and in effect is a replacement of the spherical Huygens' wavelet by quadratic surfaces $(16,17)$. If we drop the constant phase term $\exp(i2\pi f/\lambda)/i\lambda f$, Eq. 2 becomes

$$A(x,y;x_1,y_1) =$$

$$\exp\left(\frac{i2\pi}{2\lambda f} (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2\right)$$

(4)

and Eq. 1 becomes

$$I(x,y) = \iiint H(x_1,y_1)$$

$$\exp\left(\frac{i\pi((x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2)}{\lambda f}\right) \, dx_1 \, dy_1$$

(5)

By regrouping terms after expanding the exponential, we have

$$I(x,y) = \exp\left(\frac{i\pi(x^2 + y^2)}{\lambda f}\right)$$

$$\iiint H(x_1,y_1) \exp\left(-\frac{i\pi(x_1^2 + y_1^2)}{\lambda f}\right)$$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{i2\pi(x_1 x + y_1 y)}{f\lambda}\right) \, dx_1 \, dy_1$$

(6)

Note the operations of Eq. 6 involve merely the Fourier transform of the shadowgram times a phase shift function that is quadratic in the distance in the shadowgram plane from the optic axis.

It is well known that a Fresnel zone plate acts as a lens, and thus the image $I(x,y)$ is in focus when the distance $f$ in Eq. 6 is chosen as the focal length of the shadow zone plate

$$f = \pm \frac{R_1^2}{\lambda}$$

(7)

where $R_1$ is the radius of the shadow of the first zone and $\lambda$ is the wavelength. The radius of the first zone's shadow depends on the distance $s_1$ between the object plane and the zone plate and the distance $s_2$ between the zone plate and the camera (Fig. 1).

$$R_1 = R_0 \left(1 + \frac{s_2}{s_1}\right)$$

(8)

where $R_0$ is the true radius of the zone plate. Substitution of Eq. 8 for the term $\lambda f$ in Eq. 6 gives the final algorithm:

$$I(x,y) = \mathcal{F}_2 \left[H(x_1,y_1) \exp\left(\frac{i\pi(x_1^2 + y_1^2)}{R_1^2}\right)\right]$$

(9)

where $\mathcal{F}_2$ denotes a two-dimensional spatial Fourier transform that is easily implemented with a computer using the fast Fourier transform (FFT).

For the process described here with the on-axis zone plate, the virtual image, due to the dual sign in Eq. 7, is also present and superimposed on the true image. More important is a large DC component caused by object intensity and the zone-plate transmission both being non-negative. This background can be effectively eliminated by a method that does not involve the use of an off-axis zone plate with its attendant loss of resolution. The technique consists of subtracting the shadowgram obtained using a negative zone plate from that obtained using a positive zone plate (Fig. 2) $(18)$. A similar procedure is applicable for random pinhole encoding $(19)$. The basis for the method can be seen from an examination of the equations that govern image formation with the zone-plate system. The shadowgram $H$ in Eq. 1 is just the convolution of the object intensity $O$ with the transmission of the zone plate, either $1 + Z$ for a positive zone plate or $1 - Z$ for a negative zone plate. Thus, a positive zone plate shadowgram is

$I(x,y)$ is a complex amplitude. The image recorded is the modulus squared.
The zone-plate plane and camera plane are established perpendicular to and centered on the "optical" axis (Fig. 1). We used positive and negative zone plates with the center zone of 2.5-cm diam and only three rings in order to match the resolution of the gamma camera (Fig. 2). The camera events from $^{99m}$Tc point sources were digitized in a $64 \times 64$ array using the Hewlett-Packard scintigraphic system 5407. The gains of the system were set to give 0.4 cm per picture element. Ten tomographic planes were established by varying $R_i$, of Eq. 9 in accordance with Eq. 8. For the results of Figs. 2 and 3, the distance from the zone plate to camera was 60 cm and the distance between the zone plate and object planes was varied between 15 and 25 cm in 1-cm increments.

After the digital phase shift is performed, the complex array is Fourier-transformed using a FFT algorithm which requires about 25 sec for a $64 \times 64$ complex array. The result for each plane is stored as an image frame and returned for viewing on a CRT from a disk file of image frames. The total operation takes 27 sec for each plane.

Positive-negative zone-plate subtraction technique for background elimination was accomplished by acquiring two shadowgrams, one with the positive zone plate and the other using the negative zone plate. Both were accurately centered. Distance from the zone plate to the camera was 73 cm and from zone plate to the sources was 62 cm. The result was obtained by subtracting the two shadowgrams and using the difference shadowgram to reconstruct the image. For comparison, a 3-mm pinhole collimator was used with the distance between the pinhole aperture and camera of 16 cm and the distance between aperture and sources of 13 cm.

The zone-plate plane and camera plane are established perpendicular to and centered on the "optical" axis (Fig. 1). We used positive and negative zone plates with the center zone of 2.5-cm diam and only three rings in order to match the resolution of the gamma camera (Fig. 2). The camera events from $^{99m}$Tc point sources were digitized in a $64 \times 64$ array using the Hewlett-Packard scintigraphic system 5407. The gains of the system were set to give 0.4 cm per picture element. Ten tomographic planes were established by varying $R_i$ of Eq. 9 in accordance with Eq. 8. For the results of Figs. 2 and 3, the distance from the zone plate to camera was 60 cm and the distance between the zone plate and object planes was varied between 15 and 25 cm in 1-cm increments.

After the digital phase shift is performed, the complex array is Fourier-transformed using a FFT algorithm which requires about 25 sec for a $64 \times 64$ complex array. The result for each plane is stored as an image frame and returned for viewing on a CRT from a disk file of image frames. The total operation takes 27 sec for each plane.

Positive-negative zone-plate subtraction technique for background elimination was accomplished by acquiring two shadowgrams, one with the positive zone plate and the other using the negative zone plate. Both were accurately centered. Distance from the zone plate to the camera was 73 cm and from zone plate to the sources was 62 cm. The result was obtained by subtracting the two shadowgrams and using the difference shadowgram to reconstruct the image. For comparison, a 3-mm pinhole collimator was used with the distance between the pinhole aperture and camera of 16 cm and the distance between aperture and sources of 13 cm.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reconstruction of five small (2 mm) sources of $^{99m}$Tc separated by about 2.0 cm is shown in Fig. 3. Background subtraction shown below the center image was performed by thresholding all intensities less than one-fourth the maximum intensity. A better method of background subtraction is to use the positive and negative zone-plate system (Fig. 4). A comparison of the positive/negative zone-plate image with the image using a pinhole collimator is given in Fig. 5. Using the zone plates 49,000 counts were detected from the five sources in 25 sec and the calculated (11) SNR was 40:1 with an expected lateral resolution of 5.5 mm FWHM. For the pinhole, 4,890 counts were collected from the five sources in 30 sec and the expected SNR is 31:1 with a lateral resolution of 5 mm.

This objective means of background subtraction makes easier a comparison between zone-plate and pinhole imaging. A complete analysis of the efficiency of a zone plate relative to a pinhole must take into account the effective field of view as well as the relative exposure times, the SNR, and the lateral resolution. With the same detector and geometry, the zone-plate field of view is much smaller than that for the single pinhole. The field of view can be increased by the use of a large multiwire chamber (18) in which case the lowered intrinsic efficiency of the gas proportional camera and the SNR for the Fresnel technique over the simple pinhole must be considered in assessing the relative efficiencies.

Quite apart from the efficiency question, Fresnel or other coded aperture systems have a potential value for tomography in nuclear medicine. The tomographic ability of this system is demonstrated in Fig. 6 where the Fresnel hologram of two point sources was processed. The blurring of the sources on planes adjacent to the focal plane can be quantitatively evaluated to give a measure of the tomographic capability of a particular zone-plate camera system.
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