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#### Abstract

A concise method to obtain cumulated radio activities from multicompartmental models with time delays is described. No differential equation solutions are required; the model and a solution to a set of linear algebraic equations is all that is necessary to obtain the inputs for dosimetric calculations. The method is illustrated by providing cumulated radioactivities for isotope distribution represented by a model with a flow loop and time delay.


Loevinger and Berman (1) mention the necessity of utilizing a model of radionuclide distribution and kinetics to calculate the absorbed radiation dose (2). In this note the cumulated activity is described and calculated for each compartment of a linear timeinvariant multicompartment model. Linearity is usually satisfied with tracer doses of the radionuclide. The time-invariance hypothesis requires that the physiologic system must not change its function appreciably over the length of time required for most of the radioactivity to either decay or flow out of the system. If appreciable changes occur in this time interval, more detailed calculations than those given here are necessary.

The equations describing the model need not be integrated to obtain the individual cumulated activities. In other approaches, individual activities are integrated to yield the cumulated activities (3). The present formulation which avoids the necessity of solution of the model equations and the subsequent integration of the solutions results in a considerable saving of computer time and code.

## RESULTS

Mathematical method. Assume that the tracer distribution in the body may be represented by an N -compartment model described by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} q_{i}(t)= & -\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{i j} q_{j}(t)-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{m i j} q_{j}\left(t-\tau_{m}\right) \\
& +u_{i}(t)-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{m i j} u_{j}\left(t-\tau_{m}\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \cdots, N$. The value of $q_{i}(t)$ is the amount of tracer (or the radioactivity referenced for physical decay to time, $t=0$ ) in compartment $i$ at time $t$. The individual $q_{1}$ are examples of the distribution function defined by Loevinger and Berman (3). Appropriate choice of the coefficients, $a_{i j}, b_{\text {mij }}$, and $c_{\text {mij }}$, allows for arbitrary intercompartment connection. For example, $a_{11}$ is the rate coefficient for flow from compartment $i$; $-a_{i j}$, with $i \neq j$, is the rate coefficient for flow from compartment $j$ into compartment i. Similarly, $b_{\text {mij }}$, with $i \neq j$, can be described as the rate coefficient for flow from compartment j out of the m-th time delay which has contents $\mathrm{q}_{1}$. Compartments which model tracer transit time delays are included via the double sums on the right of Eq. 1. The individual time delays have value $\tau_{\mathrm{m}} \geq 0$; a total of M of these delays is present in the model. Tracer is introduced into the i-th compartment at a rate $u_{1}(t)$; the units of $u_{1}$ are radioactivity per unit time with correction for physical decay to time, $\mathrm{t}=0$.

We define the total cumulated activity, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$, in the i-th compartment by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} q_{1}(t) e^{-\lambda t} d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{i}=1,2, \cdots, \mathrm{~N}$. The physical decay constant of the simply decaying radionuclide is $\lambda$. If the radionuclide has a complex branching decay scheme,

Received Dec. 5, 1973; revision accepted June 17, 1974.
For reprints contact: Paul O. Scheibe, ADAC, 10300 Bubb Rd., Cupertino, Calif. 95014.


FIG. 1. Model of orthoiodohippurate distribution with kidneys combined into one-nephron representation.
modifications of the results given here are required to obtain the appropriate cumulated activities. These modifications, which will not be included in this note, are direct extensions of the results given here.

Assume that $u_{1}(t)=q_{1}(t)=0$ for $t<0$ and that $\tau_{\mathrm{m}} \geqslant 0$. Also, assume that both $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ are bounded for $\mathrm{t} \geqslant 0$; then, multiplication of both sides of Eq. 1 by $\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \mathrm{t}}$, integrating, and using Eq. 2, the value of the cumulated activity, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$, in the i -th compartment may be found by solving the set of linear algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda r_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[a_{i j}+\right. & \left.\sum_{m=1}^{M} b_{m i j} e^{-\lambda \tau m}\right] r_{j}=q_{i}(0) \\
& +v_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_{m i j} e^{-\lambda \tau m} v_{j} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, N$. The value of $q_{1}(0)$ is the amount of tracer in compartment number $i$ at $t=0$; $q_{i}(0)=0$ for those values of $i$ for which $q_{i}$ represents the amount of tracer in a time-delay compartment.

The value of $v_{1}$ given by Eq. 4 is the total amount of radionuclide injected into the i-th compartment

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=\int_{0}^{\infty} u_{1}(t) e^{-\lambda t} d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that instead of requiring the solution of the set of differential-difference Eq. 1 to obtain the $\mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ for Eq. 2, one need merely solve the set of linear algebraic Eqs. 3 to obtain the cumulated activity, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}$, for the i -th compartment. This observation
has proven to provide considerable saving of computational effort when calculating the inputs required for dose calculations.

Sample calculation. A possible model (4) of the renal system for orthoiodohippurate distribution is shown in Fig. 1. In the model each of the kidneys, which are assumed identical, are combined into a common nephron model.

The compartment number/physiologic pool relations are: (A) blood plasma, (B) renal proximal tubular cells, (C) red blood cells and extravascular pools, (D) renal tubular lumen, and (E) urinary bladder. Using dots to represent time derivatives, the defining differential equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\alpha_{4} \mathrm{q}_{3}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\alpha_{2} \mathrm{q}_{2}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{3}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{3} \mathrm{q}_{1}(\mathrm{t})-\alpha_{4} \mathrm{q}_{3}(\mathrm{t})  \tag{5}\\
& \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{4}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{2} \mathrm{q}_{2}(\mathrm{t})-\alpha_{2} \mathrm{q}_{2}(\mathrm{t}-\tau) \\
& \dot{\mathrm{q}}_{5}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{2} \mathrm{q}_{2}(\mathrm{t}-\tau)
\end{align*}
$$

The initial conditions associated with these equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}_{1}(0)=\left(1-\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{2}(0)=\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~A} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{3}(0)=\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{~A}  \tag{6}\\
& \mathrm{q}_{4}(0)=0 \\
& \mathbf{q}_{:}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is the total injected radioactivity at $t=0$ and the $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are fractions of the injected radioactivity initially deposited in Compartments 2 and 3.

Comparing Eqs. 1 and 5 one obtains $M=1$, and $\mathrm{N}=5$; the corresponding values of the rate coefficients are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a}_{11}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3} & \mathrm{a}_{22}=\alpha_{2} & \mathrm{a}_{42}=-\alpha_{2} \\
\mathrm{a}_{13}=-\alpha_{4} & \mathrm{a}_{31}=-\alpha_{3} & \mathrm{~b}_{142}=\alpha_{2}  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{a}_{21}=-\alpha_{1} & \mathrm{a}_{33}=\alpha_{4} & \mathrm{~b}_{152}=-\alpha_{2}
\end{array}
$$

The time delay is given by $\tau_{1}=r$ with the $u_{i}$, and the remaining $a_{i j}, b_{m i j}$, and $c_{m i j}$, all equal to zero. Substituting these relationships into Eqs. 3 and 4 yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\lambda+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \mathrm{r}_{1}-\alpha_{4} \mathrm{r}_{3} & =\left(1-\mathrm{f}_{1}-\mathrm{f}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A} \\
-\alpha_{1} \mathbf{r}_{1}+\left(\lambda+\alpha_{2}\right) \mathrm{r}_{2} & =\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{~A} \\
-\alpha_{3} \mathbf{r}_{1}+\left(\lambda+\alpha_{4}\right) \mathrm{r}_{3} & =\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{~A}  \tag{8}\\
-\alpha_{2}\left(1-\mathbf{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right) \mathrm{r}_{2}+\lambda \mathbf{r}_{4} & =0 \\
-\alpha_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau} \mathbf{r}_{2}+\lambda \mathbf{r}_{5} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

Values of the $\alpha_{k}, f_{1}, f_{2}, A$, and $\lambda$ allow solution of this set of equations.

Several sample solutions to the set of Eqs. 8 are given in Table 1. Actual determinations of the parameters of a more detailed model (4) have provided the model parameters given in Table 1. For sim-

| Clinical diagnosis | ABLE 1. CUMULATED RADIOACTIVITIES FOR 123I OR ${ }^{131 \mid}$ FOR ORTHOIODOHIPPURATE AND UNIT-INJECTED RADIOACTIVITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Model parameters |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cumulated radioactivity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{1} \\ / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{2} \\ / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{8} \\ / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{4} \\ / \mathrm{min} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\min }{\tau}$ | Isotope | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{r}_{1} \\ \min \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{3} \\ \min \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{3}} \\ \mathbf{m i n} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{r a}_{1} \\ & \text { min } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{5} \\ \min \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hat{r}_{5} \\ \min \end{gathered}$ |
| Normal | 0.193 | 0.110 | 0.284 | 0.236 | 0.183 | 0.0784 | 1.81 | $\begin{aligned} & 1281 \\ & 1281 \end{aligned}$ | 2.84 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.24 \\ & 4.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.05 \\ & 7.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.81 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16748.3 \\ 1131.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 235.1 \\ & 173.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Ureteral obstruction | 0.181 | 0.070 | 0.162 | 0.0360 | 0.0753 | 0.0306 | 12.45 | 181 181 | 5.05 4.96 | 27.76 26.73 | 14.69 14.08 | 12.40 11.88 | 16705.3 1090.7 | 234.7 169.2 |
| Glomerulonephritis | 0.369 | 0.151 | 0.269 | 0.0414 | 0.187 | 0.0510 | 3.22 | 181 1281 | 2.34 2.30 | 24.11 23.39 | 11.48 11.15 | 3.21 3.11 | 16724.1 1108.4 | 234.5 170.3 |
| Low perfusion and hypertension | 0.124 | 0.019 | 0.0762 | 0.342 | 0.0254 | 0.0031 | 5.59 | 131 121 | 11.40 10.53 | 2.90 | 99.63 73.43 | 5.55 5.15 | 16645.7 1056.6 | 233.0 162.4 |
| Transplant acute fubular necrosis | 0.140 | 0.071 | 0.0843 | 0.198 | 0.0639 | 0.0634 | 1.47 | 1311 1231 | 10.19 9.98 | 5.05 | 11.34 10.99 | 1.47 | 16737.2 1121.0 | 234.9 172.0 |
| Transplant immunologic rejection | 0.075 | 0.180 | 0.138 | 0.0958 | 0.246 | 0.0458 | 6.66 | 1311 121 | 6.69 | 10.41 9.95 | 39.81 37.76 | 6.63 6.32 | 16701.7 1087.9 | 234.3 167.6 |
| Transplant normal | 0.144 | 0.164 | 0.187 | 0.266 | 0.152 | 0.0461 | 3.22 | $\begin{aligned} & 1811 \\ & 1231 \end{aligned}$ | 4.57 | 3.77 3.69 | 18.54 17.91 | 3.22 3.15 | $\begin{array}{r} 16735.1 \\ 1119.2 \end{array}$ | 235.6 172.6 |

plicity, only one of the kidneys has been included in the model. The cumulated radioactivities per unit initial radioactivity are given in minutes, e.g., micro-curie-minutes per microcurie of injected radionuclide. Since the model compartment representing the bladder has no outlet (a quite unrealistic assumption in most circumstances), the volume of cumulated radioactivity for a transit time through the bladder of 4 hr has also been calculated and is reported as $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{5}$. The value of $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{5}$ may be a more realistic value of the cumulated radioactivity in the bladder.

Dose estimates based on the values of cumulated activity obtained above may be calculated by the method outlined in Loevinger and Berman (1); other MIRD data $(5,6)$, and tabulations of Lederer, et al (7) may be used for the nuclear parameters and decay schemes to obtain the absorbed fractions given by Snyder, et al (8).
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