

DOSIMETRY OF ¹³²I

In their recent article in the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* (1), Levy and Ashburn rightly encourage the use of short-lived ¹³²I for diagnostic thyroid function studies. Unfortunately, their radiation dosimetry is incorrect and overestimates the thyroidal dose by a factor of four. Although the authors fail to state the principles upon which they base their estimate, I was able to arrive at the same answer by substituting the values for gamma dose-rate constant and average beta energy for ¹³²I given in their Table 1 into the Marinelli-Quimby-Hine formula (2). Presumably, the authors did the same. This formula, however, assumes instantaneous deposition of the radionuclide in the target organ, a valid approximation only when the uptake rate is rapid and the physical half-life long (2). This situation occurs when the thyroid gland concentrates ¹³¹I iodide. The unmodified formula is not applicable when the rates of uptake and decay are similar, as happens with ¹³²I. With this radionuclide, fewer than 0.4% of the original radioactive atoms remain when equilibrium is finally reached 18–24 hr postdose; the others have decayed, and the radiation dose has already been delivered prior to establishment of the conditions necessary for the application of the Marinelli formula.

The dosimetry of short-lived radionuclides has received the attention of several groups (2,3), and a practical solution to the problem has been given by Greenfield (3). If one assumes that the handling of ¹³¹I and ¹³²I by the thyroid gland is identical, then certain parameters relating to ¹³²I dosimetry can be developed from an analysis of the metabolism of ¹³¹I. Space precludes a complete solution to Greenfield's equations in this letter (4); suffice it to say that in this formulation $\alpha_0 = 0.005/\text{day}$; $\alpha_1 = 1.1088/\text{day}$; and $\alpha_{\text{ex}} = 4.349/\text{day}$, assuming a radioiodide uptake of 20% and a biologic half-life in the gland of 90 days. The radiation dose to the

thyroid gland from ¹³²I will therefore be 7.6 rads/mCi administered. This is $\frac{1}{150}$ the radiation dose delivered by the same millicurie dose of ¹³¹I, and about $\frac{1}{4}$ the dose for ¹³²I calculated by Levy and Ashburn.

¹³²I is thus even less hazardous than the authors claim.

N. DAVID CHARKES
Temple University Medical School
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

REFERENCES

1. LEVY, B. S. AND ASHBURN, W.: Thyroid-uptake studies using ¹³²I. *J. Nucl. Med.* **10**:286, 1969.
2. LOEVINGER, R., HOLT, J. G. AND HINE, G. J.: Internally administered radioisotopes. In *Radiation Dosimetry*, Hine, G. J. and Brownell, G. L., eds., Academic Press, New York, 1956, p. 803.
3. GREENFIELD, M. A.: Radioisotope dosimetry. In *Nuclear Medicine*, W. H. Bland, ed., 2nd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965, p. 116.
4. A complete solution to these equations will be supplied upon request.

THE AUTHORS' REPLY

We appreciate Dr. Charkes endorsement of the use of short-lived ¹³²I for diagnostic thyroid function and his calling to the readers' attention a logical method for computing the radiation dose of ¹³²I which gives an even more favorable reason for its use.

BERNARD LEVY
Harvard Medical School and
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

WILLIAM L. ASHBURN
University of California, San Diego
San Diego, California