

No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge Dr. Johannes Breuer, Dr. Geoffrey Warnock, Sandro Aidone, Prof. Adriaan Lammertsma, Dr. Masqsood Yaqub, and the Radiochemistry Group of the Werner Siemens Imaging Center.

REFERENCES

1. Castaneda Vega S, Weinl C, Calaminus C, et al. Characterization of a novel murine model for spontaneous hemorrhagic stroke using in vivo PET and MR multiparametric imaging. *Neuroimage*. 2017;155:245–256.
2. Maier FC, Wehr HF, Schmid AM, et al. Longitudinal PET-MRI reveals β -amyloid deposition and rCBF dynamics and connects vascular amyloidosis to quantitative loss of perfusion. *Nat Med*. 2014;20:1485–1492.
3. Mannheim JG, Judenhofer MS, Schmid A, et al. Quantification accuracy and partial volume effect in dependence of the attenuation correction of a state-of-the-art small animal PET scanner. *Phys Med Biol*. 2012;57:3981–3993.
4. Mannheim JG, Schmid AM, Pichler BJ. Influence of Co-57 and CT Transmission measurements on the quantification accuracy and partial volume effect of a small animal PET scanner. *Mol Imaging Biol*. 2017;19:825–836.
5. Morris ED, Endres CJ, Schmidt KC, Christian BT, Muzic RF, Fisher RE. Kinetic modeling in positron emission tomography. In: Wernick MN, Aarsvold JN, eds. *Emission Tomography: The Fundamentals of PET and SPECT*. San Diego, CA: Academic; 2004:499–540.
6. Weber B, Burger C, Biro P, Buck A. A femoral arteriovenous shunt facilitates arterial whole blood sampling in animals. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2002; 29:319–323.
7. Pain F, Laniece P, Mastripolito R, et al. SIC, an intracerebral radiosensitive probe for in vivo neuropharmacology investigations in small laboratory animals: theoretical considerations and practical characteristics. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*. 2000; 47:25–32.
8. Weber B, Späth N, Wyss M, et al. Quantitative cerebral blood flow measurements in the rat using a beta-probe and $H_2^{15}O$. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 2003;23: 1455–1460.
9. Alf MF, Wyss MT, Buck A, Weber B, Schibli R, Krämer SD. Quantification of brain glucose metabolism by ^{18}F -FDG PET with real-time arterial and image-derived input function in mice. *J Nucl Med*. 2013;54:132–138.
10. Roehrbacher F, Bankstahl JP, Bankstahl M, et al. Development and performance test of an online blood sampling system for determination of the arterial input function in rats. *EJNMMI Phys*. 2015;2:1–19.
11. Warnock G, Özbay PS, Kuhn FP, et al. Reduction of BOLD interference in pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling: towards quantitative fMRI. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. April 19, 2017 [Epub ahead of print].
12. Wehr HF, Wiehr S, Divine MR, et al. Preclinical and translational PET/MR imaging. *J Nucl Med*. 2014;55(suppl):11S–18S.
13. Shao Y, Cherry S, Farahani K, et al. Development of a PET detector system compatible with MRI/NMR systems. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci*. 1997;44:1167–1171.
14. Pichler BJ, Judenhofer MS, Catana C, et al. Performance test of an LSO-APD detector in a 7-T MRI scanner for simultaneous PET/MRI. *J Nucl Med*. 2006;47: 639–647.
15. Breuer J, Grazioso R, Zhang N, Schmand M, Wienhard K. Evaluation of an MR-compatible blood sampler for PET. *Phys Med Biol*. 2010;55:5883–5893.
16. Iida H, Kanno I, Miura S, Murakami M, Takahashi K, Uemura K. Error analysis of a quantitative cerebral blood flow measurement using $H_2^{15}O$ autoradiography and positron emission tomography, with respect to the dispersion of the input function. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. 1986;6:536–545.
17. Munk OL, Keiding S, Bass L. A method to estimate dispersion in sampling catheters and to calculate dispersion-free blood time-activity curves. *Med Phys*. 2008;35:3471–3481.
18. Tonietto M, Rizzo G, Veronese M, Bertoldo A. Modelling arterial input functions in positron emission tomography dynamic studies. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2015;2015:2247–2250.
19. Sokoloff L. Mapping cerebral functional activity with radioactive deoxyglucose. *Trends Neurosci*. 1978;1:75–79.
20. Ashworth S, Ranciar A, Bloomfield P. Development of an on-line blood detector system for PET studies in small animals. In: Myers R, Cunningham V, Bailey D, Terry J, eds. *Quantification of Brain Function Using PET*. London, U.K.: Academic Press; 1996:62–66.
21. Lee HB, Blaufox MD. Blood volume in the rat. *J Nucl Med*. 1985;26:72–76.
22. Fang Y-HD, Muzic RF. Spillover and partial-volume correction for image-derived input functions for small-animal ^{18}F -FDG PET studies. *J Nucl Med*. 2008;49:606–614.
23. Park M-J, Fung GS, Yamane T, Kaiser F, Fukushima K, Higuchi T. Assessment of partial volume effect in small animal cardiac PET imaging using Monte Carlo simulation [abstract]. *J Nucl Med*. 2013;54(suppl 2):1638.
24. Wu HM, Huang SC, Allada V, et al. Derivation of input function from FDG-PET studies in small hearts. *J Nucl Med*. 1996;37:1717–1722.
25. Yang Y, Bec J, Zhang M, et al. A prototype high-resolution small-animal PET scanner dedicated to mouse brain imaging. *J Nucl Med*. 2016;57:1130–1135.

Erratum

In the article “ $^{68}\text{Ga}/^{177}\text{Lu}$ -NeoBOMB1, A Novel Radiolabeled GRPR Antagonist for Theranostic Use in Oncology” by Dalm et al. (*J Nucl Med*. 2017;58:293–299), the pmol values listed for Figure callouts 3A and 3B were switched in the paragraph providing the biodistribution of ^{177}Lu -NeoBOMB1. The correct sentence should read “Figure 3 shows the results of the ^{177}Lu -NeoBOMB1 biodistribution studies with 10 pmol (Fig. 3A) and with 200 pmol (Fig. 3B).” In addition, the Figure 3 legend should read “(A and B) Biodistribution of 10 and 200 pmol ^{177}Lu -NeoBOMB1 in PC-3-xenografted animals, respectively.” The authors regret the errors.