Scatter Correction with Combined Single-Scatter Simulation and Monte Carlo Simulation Scaling Improved the Visual Artifacts and Quantification in 3-Dimensional Brain PET/CT Imaging with ¹⁵O-Gas Inhalation

Keiichi Magota¹, Tohru Shiga², Yukari Asano¹, Daiki Shinyama³, Jinghan Ye⁴, Amy E. Perkins⁴, Piotr J. Maniawski⁴, Takuya Toyonaga², Kentaro Kobayashi², Kenji Hirata², Chietsugu Katoh⁵, Naoya Hattori², and Nagara Tamaki²

¹Division of Medical Imaging and Technology, Hokkaido University Hospital, Sapporo, Japan; ²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan; ³Philips Electronics Japan, Tokyo, Japan; ⁴Philips Healthcare, Cleveland, Ohio; and ⁵Department of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

In 3-dimensional PET/CT imaging of the brain with ¹⁵O-gas inhalation, high radioactivity in the face mask creates cold artifacts and affects the quantitative accuracy when scatter is corrected by conventional methods (e.g., single-scatter simulation [SSS] with tailfitting scaling [TFS-SSS]). Here we examined the validity of a newly developed scatter-correction method that combines SSS with a scaling factor calculated by Monte Carlo simulation (MCS-SSS). Methods: We performed phantom experiments and patient studies. In the phantom experiments, a plastic bottle simulating a face mask was attached to a cylindric phantom simulating the brain. The cylindric phantom was filled with ¹⁸F-FDG solution (3.8-7.0 kBg/mL). The bottle was filled with nonradioactive air or various levels of ¹⁸F-FDG (0-170 kBq/mL). Images were corrected either by TFS-SSS or MCS-SSS using the CT data of the bottle filled with nonradioactive air. We compared the image activity concentration in the cylindric phantom with the true activity concentration. We also performed ¹⁵O-gas brain PET based on the steady-state method on patients with cerebrovascular disease to obtain quantitative images of cerebral blood flow and oxygen metabolism. Results: In the phantom experiments, a cold artifact was observed immediately next to the bottle on TFS-SSS images, where the image activity concentrations in the cylindric phantom were underestimated by 18%, 36%, and 70% at the bottle radioactivity levels of 2.4, 5.1, and 9.7 kBq/mL, respectively. At higher bottle radioactivity, the image activity concentrations in the cylindric phantom were greater than 98% underestimated. For the MCS-SSS, in contrast, the error was within 5% at each bottle radioactivity level, although the image generated slight high-activity artifacts around the bottle when the bottle contained significantly high radioactivity. In the patient imaging with ¹⁵O₂ and C¹⁵O₂ inhalation, cold artifacts were observed on TFS-SSS images, whereas no artifacts were observed on any of the MCS-SSS images. Conclusion: MCS-SSS accurately corrected the scatters in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET when the 3-dimensional acquisition mode was used, preventing the generation of cold artifacts, which were observed immediately next to a face mask on TFS-SSS images. The MCS-SSS method will contribute to accurate quantitative assessments.

Received Mar. 10, 2017; revision accepted Jun. 8, 2017.

E-mail: tshiga@med.hokudai.ac.jp

Key Words: scatter correction; Monte Carlo simulation; scatter fraction; ¹⁵O-gas brain PET; 3-dimensional PET

J Nucl Med 2017; 58:2020–2025 DOI: 10.2967/jnumed.117.193060

PET is useful for visualizing physiologic and pathologic conditions in the brain, similarly to MRI. In particular, brain PET with ¹⁵O-gas inhalation (¹⁵O-gas brain PET) has been used for the quantitative assessment of cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral blood volume (CBV), cerebral metabolic rate for oxygen (CMRO₂), and oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) (1-4). Despite attempts to quantify CBF, CMRO₂, and OEF values by MRI (5,6), PET is established as the gold standard, used clinically to predict prognoses and determine operative indications in cerebrovascular disease (7,8).

A PET scanner is integrated into a CT system to acquire anatomic information and perform attenuation and scatter corrections. Today, because most commercially available PET/CT scanners are dedicated to 3-dimensional (3D) acquisition for whole-body imaging using ¹⁸F-FDG, it is important to update the imaging protocols in accord with the development of modern PET/CT scanners, toward the goal of maintaining ¹⁵O-gas brain PET as the gold standard. The 3D acquisition mode has high sensitivity, and with this mode the doses of radiotracers can thus be reduced or the acquisition time can be shortened. However, the increasing proportion of scatter events degrades the quantitative performance of PET scanning (*9*), necessitating accurate scatter correction for the 3D acquisition mode.

Conventionally, ¹⁵O-gas brain PET is performed using the 2dimensional (2D) acquisition mode with high quantitative accuracy. The accuracy of the 3D acquisition mode for quantitative brain imaging is controversial. Ibaraki et al. reported that the 3D acquisition mode with appropriate scatter correction provides high quantitative accuracy that is comparable to that in the 2D acquisition mode (*10*). Hori et al. observed cold artifacts on images corrected for scatter by CT-based tail-fitting scaling with single-scatter simulation (TFS-SSS) when a face mask for collecting ¹⁵O-gas as expired air was present in the field of view (FOV) in PET. Such cold artifacts may have originated from face-mask ¹⁵O-gas located outside the brain (*11*).

For correspondence or reprints contact: Tohru Shiga, Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Kita 15 Nishi 7, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8638, Japan.

Published online Jun. 23, 2017.

COPYRIGHT © 2017 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

A face mask itself has a low linear attenuation coefficient (the μ -value) due to low-atomic-number materials or partial-volume effects. TFS-SSS requires that regions with tracer uptake include tissues with a certain μ -value as in ¹⁸F-FDG PET. However, the face mask used in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET creates a region in which tracers accumulate in the air with a low μ -value. The TFS-SSS method relies on the μ -value to identify boundaries, outside which no tracer activity is expected. In ¹⁵O-gas brain PET, the identified boundaries exclude the face mask; this method treats the activities in the mask as scatter contributions, and it therefore overscales the scatter estimate in the scaling algorithm.

A new scatter-correction method based on Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) was developed in 2014 (*12*). It combines single-scatter simulation (SSS) for estimating the scatter contribution with MCS (MCS-SSS) for calculating the scaling factor. In 2 studies, artifacts were successfully reduced on whole-body ¹⁸F-FDG PET images with MCS-SSS, when the imaged object was large with respect to the FOV of the PET (*12,13*). Unlike TFS-SSS, MCS-SSS does not require CT images for identification of the boundaries. Therefore, errors from scatter correction in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET may be minimized by MCS-SSS.

In the present study, we performed phantom experiments simulating ¹⁵O-gas brain PET and the ¹⁵O-gas brain PET of patient studies with cerebrovascular disease to examine the degrees of artifact reduction and the improvement of quantitative accuracy using MCS-SSS in a comparison with TFS-SSS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PET Scanner

A Gemini TF PET/CT scanner (Philips Healthcare) with a 64detector-row CT system was used for all imaging (14). This scanner uses $4 \times 4 \times 22$ mm lutetium–yttrium oxyothosilicate crystals. The coincidence and energy windows were used at their fixed settings of 3.8 ns and 460–665 keV, respectively. Random events were corrected by the delayed-window method. The acquisition was in the 3D-mode only.

Image Reconstruction

Images were reconstructed by a 3D row action maximumlikelihood algorithm (15) with the following default settings: number of iterations, 2; relaxation parameter, 0.012; blob radius, 2.5 pixels. The image matrix size was 144×144 pixels for the 576-mm FOV, and the voxel size was $4 \times 4 \times 4$ mm. Attenuation correction was performed by the 4-segmented method using CT data. Scatter correction was performed on the PET console in TFS-SSS, and using a stand-alone Windows personal computer in MCS-SSS.

Overview of MCS-SSS

MCS-SSS is a combination of SSS with a technique for scaling the results of SSS using the scaling factor calculated by MCS (12, 13, 16). Here, SSS estimates the scatter contribution from a source distribution map in combination with an attenuation map. The total scatter count ($T_{scatter}$) is defined using the following equations:

$$T_{scatter}$$
 = scatter fraction \times $T_{measured}$
 $T_{scatter}$ = scaling factor \times T_{SSS}

where $T_{measured}$ is the measured total count on the sonogram, and T_{SSS} is the total count on the scatter sinogram derived from SSS. The scaling factor for the SSS sinogram is then

Scaling factor = scatter fraction
$$\times T_{measured}/T_{SSS}$$

The scatter fraction (= total scatter events/total [true + scatter] events) is calculated by MCS to scale the sinogram derived from SSS. Because only the scatter fraction is required for estimating the scaling factor by the MCS, a large number of events for simulation are not required.

The simulation stabilizes normally reached 3-times iteratively updated until convergence, and then the MCS is terminated to reduce the processing time. Finally, the SSS sinogram scaled using the scaling factor is converted to an estimated scatter sinogram that matches the scatter contribution in the measured sinogram and used for the image reconstruction.

For 1-bed-position studies, MCS-SSS also assumes continuousactivity distribution beyond the axial FOV, to improve the accuracy of scatter estimation on the edge slices.

Phantom Experiments

We first prepared a NEMA (National Electrical Manufacturers Association) PET Phantom (NU2-1994; Data Spectrum Corp.) simulating the brain. This phantom is a circular cylinder (diameter, 20 cm; length, 19 cm), which removed air, water, and Teflon inserts. We filled the phantom with 3.8–7.0 kBq/mL of ¹⁸F-FDG solution.

Next, we filled a 100-mL plastic bottle with nonradioactive air or 0.0, 2.4, 5.1, 9.7, 24.5, 53.9, 78.9, 104.5, or 169.7 kBq/mL of ¹⁸F-FDG solution to simulate a face mask containing expired ¹⁵O-gas. The activity concentrations of ¹⁸F-FDG in the bottle were estimated from actual patient data. We measured the true activity concentrations of ¹⁸F-FDG in the cylindric phantom and bottles using an auto-well γ -counter (ARC-400; Hitachi). Decay was corrected to the start time of each PET imaging performed for each bottle attached to the cylindric phantom while its position was maintained during scanning. The 5-min PET acquisition was followed by CT imaging for attenuation and scatter corrections with the following parameters: tube voltage, 120 kVp; tube current, 300 mAs; slice thickness, 2 mm; FOV, 600 mm.

For the simulation of ¹⁵O-gas brain PET, we reconstructed attenuationand scatter-corrected PET images of the bottle filled with water or ¹⁸F-FDG solution using the wrong CT data of the bottle filled with nonradioactive air (Fig. 1, activity-in-air pattern). For comparison, images were also reconstructed PET images of the bottle filled with water or ¹⁸F-FDG solution using the correct CT data of the bottle filled with water or ¹⁸F-FDG solution, respectively (Fig. 1, activity-in-liquid pattern). Images with attenuation correction but without scatter correction (non-SC) were also reconstructed.

On 5 images showing and not showing the bottle, 50-mm-diameter regions of interests were placed on the cylindric phantom. We compared the average image activity concentration of the 5 regions of interest with the true activity concentration. The coefficient of variation was calculated for the evaluation of image uniformity using the same region-of-interest data. We also measured scatter fractions for TFS-SSS and MCS-SSS for each activity concentration.

Human Studies

¹⁵O-gas brain PET imaging based on the steady-state method was retrospectively performed on 3 patients with unilateral internal carotid artery stenosis (case 1, a man in his 40s, right carotid; case 2, a man in his 50s, left carotid; case 3, a woman in her 70s, right carotid). After the patient's head was securely fixed in place, ¹⁵O-gas–supplying nasal cannulas, a nonradioactive-oxygen mask, and an expired ¹⁵O-gas–collecting face mask were attached to the patient's face. The non-radioactive-oxygen mask supplied oxygen only when the patient felt dyspnea or the arterial oxygen saturation dropped (<95%). CT images were acquired first for attenuation and scatter corrections. The CT imaging parameters were identical to those in the phantom experiments except for the tube current (100 mAs). PET imaging was then performed as described (*2*,*17*). The details of the procedure are provided in the supplemental materials (supplemental materials are available at http://jmm.snmjournals.org).



FIGURE 1. Procedures used for data acquisition and image reconstruction in phantom experiments. Plastic bottle filled with nonradioactive air (scan 1) and water or an ¹⁸F-FDG solution (scan 2) was attached to cylindric phantom. Attenuation and scatter corrections were performed for PET data in scan 2 using the CT data obtained in scan 1 (activity-in-air pattern) and using CT and PET data in scan 2 (activity-in-liquid pattern).

Prompt, scatter, and random sinograms from the $C^{15}O$ and $C^{15}O_2$ data were acquired for the generation of count profiles for the prompt random and scatter sinograms. Because no sinograms were obtained from TFS-SSS, only those obtained from MCS-SSS were assessed. Activity concentration profiles for the axial direction were generated



FIGURE 2. Typical sagittal images of phantom for activity-in-air pattern, activity-in-liquid pattern, and case without scatter correction, when bottle radioactivity levels were 0.0, 9.7, and 53.9 kBq/mL. Black arrowhead = slight high-activity artifact.

from sagittal images. Images for the quantitative assessments of CBF, CBV, $CMRO_2$, and OEF were also generated. The institutional review board of the Hokkaido University Hospital approved the study.

RESULTS

Phantom Experiments

Figure 2 shows typical images of the phantom at the bottle radioactivity levels of 0.0, 9.7, and 53.9 kBq/mL. For the activityin-air pattern, cold artifacts were observed immediately below the bottle on the TFS-SSS images at the radioactivity levels of 9.7 and 53.9 kBq/mL. The artifacts were more evident at 53.9 kBq/mL than at 9.7 kBq/mL. In contrast, the cylindric phantom on the MCS-SSS images generated no cold artifacts at any activity concentration. However, the image generated slight high-activity artifacts around the bottle when the bottle contained significantly high radioactivity (Supplemental Fig. 1).

At the activity concentration of 0.0 kBq/mL, no artifacts were observed under any conditions. The activity-in-liquid pattern for TFS-SSS or MCS-SSS and the non-SC images showed no artifacts regardless of the bottle radioactivity.

Figures 3A and 3B show the percentage errors of the activity concentration in the cylindric phantom and the true activity concentration with respect to the bottle radioactivity for the activityin-air and activity-in-liquid patterns.

The TFS-SSS on the bottle's slices alone resulted in significant underestimations by 18%, 36%, and 70% at the bottle radioactivity levels of 2.4, 5.1, and 9.7 kBq/mL, respectively. At higher levels of bottle radioactivity, the image activity concentrations were greater than 98% underestimated. For the slices without the bottle in TFS-SSS, the underestimation was generally within 5%, although the error was -11% at 169.7 kBq/mL. For the MCS-SSS, in contrast, the error was always within 5% at any activity concentrations.

The activity-in-liquid pattern generated different results. The error was approximately 5% at all bottle radioactivity levels regardless of the method used at all slices.

Figures 3C and 3D show the scatter fractions for the activity-in-air and activity-inliquid patterns. For the TFS-SSS of the activity-in-air pattern, the scatter fraction increased with increasing bottle radioactivity similarly to the tendency of underestimation of the image activity concentration, and it reached 50% at the image activity concentrations with greater than 98% underestimation. For the MCS-SSS, in contrast, the scatter fractions were 14%-27%. For both the TFS-SSS and the MCS-SSS of the activity-in-liquid pattern, the scatter fractions were 18%-27%, which corresponded to those in the MCS-SSS of the activity-in-air pattern. These results indicated that the scatter fractions were reasonable for the MCS-SSS of the activity-in-air pattern.

The coefficient of variation for the TFS-SSS and MCS-SSS images was similar under any conditions, but marked differences were



FIGURE 3. (A and B) Percentage errors of activity concentration in cylindric phantom and true activity concentration with respect to bottle radioactivity. Dotted line = TFS-SSS; solid line = MCS-SSS. Open and closed symbols represent slices with and without bottle, respectively. Scatter fractions in A and B are shown in C and D, respectively. Activity-in-air pattern (A and C); activity-in-liquid pattern (B and D).

observed on the bottle slice in the activity-in-air pattern at high bottleradioactivity (Supplemental Table 1). The marked differences were because the number of zero values of the image activity concentration increased with the increase in bottle radioactivity.

Human Studies

Representative CT, μ -map, and PET images of the patient case 1 are shown in Figure 4. The face mask is clearly shown in the CT image (Fig. 4A, arrow), but not in the μ -map (Fig. 4B). In the ¹⁵O₂ and C¹⁵O₂ PET images reconstructed by TFS-SSS, cold artifacts were generated on the slices with the face mask, underestimating the cerebellum (Fig. 4D, arrows). Figure 4C shows the C¹⁵O₂ PET image superimposed on the μ -map. Radioactivity was clearly observed in the air region, and artifacts were generated at the same level. In contrast, the MCS-SSS and the non-SC image showed no artifacts. No artifacts were found on any images obtained with the inhalation of C¹⁵O regardless of the correction method used.

The image count was significantly smaller for the slices with the face mask (slices 0–10) on the ${}^{15}O_2$ and $C{}^{15}O_2$ PET images reconstructed by TFS-SSS than the count reconstructed by MCS-SSS (Supplemental Figs. 2B and 2C). For C ${}^{15}O$, the image count did not decrease even on the slices with the face mask in both TFS-SSS and MCS-SSS (Supplemental Fig. 2A).

The results of the sinogram analysis, shown in Supplemental Figure 3, revealed that the scatter contribution was reasonably estimated by MCS-SSS even on the sinogram level.

Figure 5 shows quantitative images derived from the C¹⁵O, ¹⁵O₂, and C¹⁵O₂ images. Cold artifacts were generated on the slices with the face mask for the CBF, CMRO₂, and OEF obtained by TFS-SSS, degrading the quantitative values in the cerebellar region. In contrast, no artifacts were found on any MCS-SSS images.

We also found similar results for the other 2 patients' cases (Supplemental Fig. 4).

DISCUSSION

We evaluated the applicability of the newly developed scatter-correction algorithm (MCS-SSS) in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET. The results presented here showed that MCS-SSS accurately corrected scatters in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET using the 3D acquisition mode, preventing the generation of cold artifacts, which were observed immediately next to a face mask on the conventional method (TFS-SSS) images, and that the MCS-SSS contributed to the accurate quantitative assessment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on MCS-SSS in a ¹⁵O-gas PET study.

In 3D PET imaging, typically 40% or more of the detected coincidence events encounter at least 1 Compton scattering before detection. Scatter events reduce the image contrast and degrade quantitation (18,19). SSS is currently widely used for estimating the scatter contribution in PET, which considers single Compton scattering effects calculated using the Klein-Nishina formula. The method is accurate as long as

most scatter events are single Compton scatters. For a typical head imaging in the 3D acquisition mode, approximately 20% of the detected scatter events are multiple scatters (20,21). SSS should thus be scaled to compensate for the contribution from multiple scattering.

In TFS-SSS, the regions corresponding to the outside of the object on the sinogram, called the tail part, are used to estimate the scaling factor for SSS. The tail parts are determined from transmission images and are assumed to contain only the contributions from scatter events. The scaling factor is estimated by tail-fitting with the scatter contribution to the measured sinogram (22). This procedure is, however, often not robust because of incorrect selection of the tail parts, especially the small, noisy, or absent parts on the sinogram, resulting in significant fitting errors and the overcorrection of scatters (12,23,24). The MCS-SSS prevents artifact generation induced by the tail parts being smaller or disappearing (12,13).

The face mask used in the present study is made of polyvinyl chloride, and its CT value is approximately 200 Hounsfield units. Because this value is as high as that of the bone marrow in the human body, the regions can be delineated on the μ -map. However, the face mask is approximately 1 mm thick and is absent in the resultant μ -map (Fig. 4B), because the CT images are downsized to the PET matrix size, smoothed, and then converted to the μ -map by the bilinear or segment method (25,26). In this study, we designed our phantom experiments considering this phenomenon (Fig. 1, activity-in-air pattern).



FIGURE 4. CT image (A), μ -map (B), image obtained by superimposing μ -map and $C^{15}O_2$ PET image (C), and PET images (D) of patient in case 1 in sagittal view. (D) Axial (rows 1–3) and sagittal (row 4) images of $C^{15}O_1$, $^{15}O_2$, and $C^{15}O_2$ PET. Yellow arrow = face mask; black arrows = cold artifacts.

The ¹⁵O-gas brain PET of the patients in this study was performed using the steady-state method. No activity existed in the face mask during the C¹⁵O PET imaging, whereas activity existed during the ¹⁵O₂ or C¹⁵O₂ PET imaging. In the TFS-SSS, artifacts were generated on the slices showing the face mask for the ¹⁵O₂ or C¹⁵O₂ PET imaging (Fig. 4D). This was because of the region with PET tracer uptake in air with a low μ -value (Fig. 4C), as similarly observed in the phantom experiments, which showed errors in scatter estimation.



FIGURE 5. Axial (rows 1–3) and sagittal (row 4) images for assessment of CBF, CBV, CMRO₂, and OEF of patient case 1. Yellow arrows = cold artifacts.

In the steady-state method, the images for quantitative assessments of CBF and CBV were generated from $C^{15}O_2$ and $C^{15}O$ PET images, respectively, and those for CMRO₂ and OEF assessments were generated from all $C^{15}O$, $^{15}O_2$, and $C^{15}O_2$ PET images (with CBV correction). Therefore, cold artifacts were also observed on the images for the CBF, CMRO₂, and OEF assessments because the images were based on the cold artifact–containing images obtained by TFS-SSS.

In the MCS-SSS of the activity-in-air pattern, we found that the image contained slight high-activity artifacts around the bottle when the bottle contained high radioactivity (Fig. 2, Supplemental Fig. 1). The artifacts were generated probably due to the scatters, which were underestimated in the area next to the high-activity area (Supplemental Fig. 5). Thus, high-activity material such as the face mask must be positioned as distantly from the brain as possible. From the results of our phantom experiments, the underestimated scatters were minimal at more than 135 mm from the high-activity area. In the

patient studies, the underestimation of scatters would be negligible because the distance between the face mask and the brain is approximately 130–170 mm.

In general, cold artifacts are generated during attenuation correction by the spatial deviation of CT and PET data due to respiratory motion and heart beating (27,28). In our phantom experiments, neither artifacts generated from the errors of attenuation correction nor those generated from errors of activity concentration were ob-

served when the bottle radioactivity was 0.0 kBq/mL, as shown in Figures 2 and 3A. Hence, the effect of attenuation by water in the bottle is negligible.

A previous investigation showed that the misregistration in whole-body PET/CT caused by arm motion induced errors of scatter correction by TFS-SSS and generated cold artifacts similar to those created in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET (24). The MCS-SSS would likely be advantageous in wholebody imaging.

TFS-SSS estimates the scaling factor in slice-by-slice and tilt-by-tilt manners, whereas MCS estimates the factor from the entire volume. A disadvantage of MCS-SSS is that it may not be accurate when the activity distribution abruptly changes at the FOV boundaries in a 1-bed position study (i.e., for the head and neck region), because the number of scatter events also depends on the activity distribution beyond the axial FOV.

The limitations of this study are as follows. First, we did not compare our present findings with those obtained in the 2D acquisition mode. However, most commercially available PET/CT scanners are dedicated to 3D acquisition. Such a scanner was used in this study, and a comparison with the 2D acquisition mode was not possible. Second, we did not consider the effect of placing the face mask and the ¹⁵O-gas-supplying tube outside the FOV. Teuho et al. showed that the error between MCS-SSS and TFS-SSS did not differ significantly regardless of an outside external radiation source (*13*), but they did not assume the use of ¹⁵O-gas PET studies. Further investigations are needed to address this limitation.

CONCLUSION

In the TFS-SSS, cold artifacts were observed on the images containing the region with PET tracer uptake from air with a low μ -value, that is, the face mask for collecting ¹⁵O-gas. The generation of such artifacts was prevented by MCS-SSS, which does not require the detection of the tail parts on sinograms in the scaling algorithm. Our results suggest that using MCS-SSS enables accurate scatter correction and helps increase the quantitative accuracy in ¹⁵O-gas brain PET using the 3D acquisition mode.

DISCLOSURE

This work and the Windows-PC for MCS-SSS correction at Hokkaido University were funded through a sponsored research agreement with Philips Healthcare. Only non-Philips employees had control over the inclusion of data and information that might present a conflict of interest for the authors who are Philips employees. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kouichi Nitta and Nobuyuki Kayanuma from Hitachi, and Keisuke Fukuda, Teruki Iguchi, and Ko Higuchi from Philips Electronics Japan. We also thank the staff of the Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine.

REFERENCES

- Frackowiak RSJ, Lenzi GL, Jones T, Heather JD. Quantitative measurement of regional cerebral blood flow and oxygen metabolism in man using ¹⁵O and positron emission tomography: theory, procedure, and normal values. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 1980;4:727–736.
- Sette G, Baron JC, Mazoyer B, Levasseur M, Pappata S, Crouzel C. Local brain haemodynamics and oxygen metabolism in cerebrovascular disease: positron emission tomography. *Brain.* 1989;112:931–951.
- Ibaraki M, Shimosegawa E, Miura S, et al. PET measurements of CBF, OEF, and CMRO₂ without arterial sampling in hyperacute ischemic stroke: method and error analysis. *Ann Nucl Med.* 2004;18:35–44.
- Kuroda S, Kashiwazaki D, Hirata K, Shiga T, Houkin K, Tamaki N. Effects of surgical revascularization on cerebral oxygen metabolism in patients with Moyamoya disease an ¹⁵O-gas positron emission tomographic study. *Stroke*. 2014;45:2717–2721.
- Hoge RD, Atkinson J, Gill B, Crelier GR, Marrett S, Pike GB. Investigation of BOLD signal dependence on cerebral blood flow and oxygen consumption: the deoxyhemoglobin dilution model. *Magn Reson Med.* 1999;42:849–863.

- Kudo K, Liu T, Murakami T, et al. Oxygen extraction fraction measurement using quantitative susceptibility mapping: comparison with positron emission tomography. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 2016;36:1424–1433.
- Grubb RL Jr, Derdeyn CP, Fritsch SM, et al. Importance of hemodynamic factors in the prognosis of symptomatic carotid occlusion. *JAMA*. 1998;280: 1055–1060.
- Hokari M, Kuroda S, Shiga T, Nakayama N, Tamaki N, Iwasaki Y. Impact of oxygen extraction fraction on long-term prognosis in patients with reduced blood flow and vasoreactivity because of occlusive carotid artery disease. *Surg Neurol.* 2009;71:532–538.
- Adam LE, Zaers J, Ostertag H, Torojan H, Bellemann ME, Brix G. Performance evaluation of the whole-body PET scanner ECAT EXACT HR+ following the IEC standard. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 1997;44:1172–1179.
- Ibaraki M, Miura S, Shimosegawa E, et al. Quantification of cerebral blood flow and oxygen metabolism with 3-dimensional PET and ¹⁵O: validation by comparison with 2-dimensional PET. J Nucl Med. 2008;49:50–59.
- Hori Y, Hirano Y, Koshino K, et al. Validity of using a 3-dimensional PET scanner during inhalation of ¹⁵O-labeled oxygen for quantitative assessment of regional metabolic rate of oxygen in man. *Phys Med Biol.* 2014;59:5593–5609.
- Ye J, Song X, Hu Z. Scatter correction with combined single-scatter simulation and Monte Carlo simulation for 3D PET. *IEEE Nucl Sci Symp Conf Rec.* 2014;1–3.
- Teuho J, Johansson J, Linden J, Saunavaara Y, Teräs M. Comparison of singlescatter simulation and Monte Carlo single-scatter simulation on Philips Ingenuity TF PET/MR. *IEEE Nucl Sci Symp Conf Rec.* 2014;1–3.
- Surti S, Kuhn A, Werner ME, Perkins AE, Kolthammer J, Karp JS. Performance of Philips Gemini TF PET/CT scanner with special consideration for its time-offlight imaging capabilities. J Nucl Med. 2007;48:471–480.
- Browne J, De Pierro AR. A row-action alternative to the EM algorithm for maximizing likelihoods in emission tomography. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 1996;15:687–699.
- Teuho J, Saunavaara V, Tolvanen T, et al. Quantitative evaluation of two scatter correction techniques for ¹⁸F-FDG brain PET/MR imaging in regard to MRbased attenuation correction. *J Nucl Med.* 2017;58:1691–1698.
- Lammertsma AA, Jones T, Frackowiak RSJ, Lenzi GL. A theoretical study of the steady-state model for measuring regional cerebral blood flow and oxygen utilisation using oxygen-15. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1981;5:544–550.
- Cherry SR, Huang SC. Effects of scatter on model parameter estimates in 3D PET studies of the human brain. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 1995;42:1174–1179.
- Holdsworth CH, Levin CS, Farquhar TH, Dahlbom M, Hoffman EJ. Investigation of accelerated Monte Carlo techniques for PET simulation and 3D PET scatter correction. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 2001;48:74–81.
- Ollinger JM. Model-based scatter correction for fully 3D PET. *Phys Med Biol.* 1996;41:153–176.
- Zaidi H, Koral KF. Scatter modelling and compensation in emission tomography. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2004;31:761–782.
- Accorsi R, Adam LE, Werner ME, et al. Optimization of a fully 3D single scatter simulation algorithm for 3D PET. *Phys Med Biol.* 2004;49:2577–2598.
- Watson CC, Casey ME, Michel C, Bendriem B. Advances in scatter correction for 3D PET/CT. *IEEE Nucl Sci Symp Conf Rec.* 2004;3008–3012.
- Lodge MA, Mhlanga JC, Cho SY, Wahl RL. Effect of patient arm motion in whole-body PET/CT. J Nucl Med. 2011;52:1891–1897.
- Burger C, Goerres G, Schoenes S, Buck A, Lonn AHR, von Schulthess GK. PET attenuation coefficients from CT images: experimental evaluation of the transformation of CT into PET 511-keV attenuation coefficients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2002;29:922–927.
- Kinahan PE, Hasegawa BH, Beyer T. X-ray-based attenuation correction for positron emission tomography/computed tomography scanners. *Semin Nucl Med.* 2003;33:166–179.
- Osman MM, Cohade C, Nakamoto Y, Wahl RL. Respiratory motion artifacts on PET emission images obtained using CT attenuation correction on PET-CT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2003;30:603–606.
- Rajaram M, Tahari AK, Lee AH, et al. Cardiac PET/CT misregistration causes significant changes in estimated myocardial blood flow. J Nucl Med. 2013; 54:50–54.