

## Scintillation Crystal or Semiconductor $\gamma$ -Probes: An Open Debate

**TO THE EDITOR:** The invited perspective of Mariani et al. (1) and the article of Classe et al. (2) deal with intraoperative radioguided surgery, especially in sentinel lymph node detection. Without doubt, the principal characteristics of a high-performance intraoperative  $\gamma$ -probe in terms of sensitivity, spatial resolution, energy resolution, shielding, and practical handling are presented and summarized qualitatively in Table 1 of the invited perspective of Mariani et al. (1). Nevertheless, these articles lead us to make the following remarks and question 2 particular points.

In our definition, spatial resolution is directly related to the open diameter of the probe, which roughly defines the solid angle of detection. Mariani et al. (1) claim that a semiconductor probe certainly has a higher spatial resolution than a scintillator probe. This statement is strongly affirmed on 2 occasions, although the arguments on which this affirmation is based are not clear. Perhaps it is supported by the spatial resolution values quoted in Table 1 of the article of Classe et al. (2), which reports that a spatial resolution of 3 mm was reached with a probe having a 14-mm diameter. We believe that this is a printing error. In the interest of clarity, it is important that the authors provide the complete definition used for their so-called spatial resolution.

It is also not obvious to us that the electronics associated with a semiconductor probe are simpler than the electronics needed by a photodetector coupled to a scintillation crystal. Arguments have to be developed to support this statement.

As a contribution to the answer of the question expressed in the title of the invited perspective of Mariani et al. (1), we propose to shortly present results of our own work in the field of radioguided surgery. The Subatomic Research Institute in Strasbourg, France (<http://www.wires.in2p3.fr/ires/imabio>), has developed a prototype scintillator probe named CarolReS, based on the quickly decaying yttrium aluminum perovskite activated by a cerium (YAP:Ce) crystal of 4-mm diameter. A  $\gamma$ -ray counter with a geometric efficiency of  $26\% \pm 6\%$  was used to obtain the values of the total remaining activity of 20 sentinel lymph nodes at the time of their resection. The rate of events detected by the CarolReS probe belonging to the  $^{99m}\text{Tc}$  energy window selection was measured *ex vivo* on contact with these sentinel lymph nodes. When these 2 measurements are combined, the mean counting efficiency is evaluated to be 18.1 (2.1–100.0) cps·kBq<sup>-1</sup>. The spatial resolution measured with 2 sources located 10 mm from the probe head is 8 mm in full width at half maximum. Despite the high scintillation light yield of the crystal ( $1.8 \times 10^4$  photons·MeV<sup>-1</sup>), the low-energy resolution of 56% for 140-keV  $\gamma$ -rays is primarily due to the loss of light occurring in a quartz light guide placed between the crystal and the photocathode of the photomultiplier. The linearity in energy has been tested up to 511 keV. The optimized shielding has allowed the identification of an intramammary sentinel lymph node localized near the injection points (3). The electronics developed to process fast signals allow us to also equip the probe with other high-density and high-scintillation-yield crystals such as lutetium yttrium orthosilicate.

In summary, the affirmations of Mariani et al. (1) that semiconductor probes are characterized by higher spatial resolution than

scintillator probes and that the electronics connected to semiconductor probes are simpler than the electronics connected to scintillation crystal are open to debate.

## REFERENCES

1. Mariani G, Variano A, Nibale O, Rubello D. Is the "ideal"  $\gamma$ -probe for intraoperative radioguided surgery conceivable? *J Nucl Med.* 2005;46:388–390.
2. Classe J-M, Fiche M, Rousseau C, et al. Prospective comparison of 3  $\gamma$ -probes for sentinel lymph node detection in 200 breast cancer patients. *J Nucl Med.* 2005;46:395–399.
3. Mathelin C, Tomasetto C, Rio MC, Chenard MP, Brettes JP, Guyonnet JL. Improvement in intramammary sentinel lymph node removal using a novel prototype hand held probe during breast conservative surgery. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2005;89:305–308.

**Carole Mathelin, MD**  
Centre Paul Strauss  
Strasbourg, France

**Jean-Louis Guyonnet, PhD**  
Institut de Recherches Subatomiques  
Strasbourg, France

**REPLY:** We read with great interest the letter by Mathelin and Guyonnet entitled "Scintillation Crystal or Semiconductor  $\gamma$ -Probes: An Open Debate." This debate was the main subject of our referenced paper (1). Our main objective was to compare, for the first time, clinical results using a scintillator probe with those using a semiconductor probe.

In this study, we took the opportunity to measure counting-rate efficiency for each probe tested based on clinical values, such as axillary sentinel lymph node activity. In our experience, the scintillator probe provided a better detection rate than the semiconductor probe. We believe these results were partly due to the better counting efficiency of the scintillator probe, compared with that of the semiconductor probe.

Considering the low activity intake in a sentinel lymph node (50 kBq/0.15% of injected activity), we concluded that counting efficiency may be the dominant performance factor required for sentinel lymph node detection. Britten reached the same conclusion from an *in vitro* simulation study (2).

Spatial resolution represents the ability to accurately localize 2 hot sources close to each other. We agree with Mathelin and Guyonnet that spatial resolution is related to the open diameter of the probe, which roughly defines the solid angle of detection. Nevertheless, counting efficiency is also highly dependent on source detector geometry (3). The geometric sensitivity is the fraction of emitted radiation that intersects the detector relative to the solid angle.

We apologize for the inadvertent errors in the spatial resolution values quoted in Table 1. For the bismuth germanate probe, 5 mm is the detector diameter, but for probes B and C, the 14-mm measurement is the external diameter of the handheld device. Detector diameter and thickness are not communicated by the manufacturer. Spatial resolution (cm) reported as the full width at half maximum of the sensitivity profile in relation to the  $^{57}\text{Co}$  source close to the detector in water was 0.8 for probe C equipped with an external collimator.

Considering that counting efficiency and linear resolution vary inversely, we believe that the probe with the best counting